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</tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td>TAM</td>
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<td>United Nations High Commission on Refugees</td>
</tr>
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Executive Summary

While the need for inclusive and equitable Sustainable Agricultural Intensification (SAI) in African countries is no longer contested, decision-makers involved in gender and youth issues do not have enough capacity to effectively utilize analysis tools to address gender and youth issues. This underscores the need to develop tools to assess the effect of SAI on women and youths. The Africa RISING Sustainable Agricultural Intensification Research and Learning in Africa (AR-SAIRLA) seeks to achieve this through the development of tools that will match the resource capacity of decision-makers and which are not too complex to use. This will improve the effective use of tools and metrics to ensure more equitable results from decision-makers’ investments in sustainable agriculture.

In June 2018, conducted three mid-project workshops in Ghana (in Tamale and Accra) and in Malawi (Lilongwe), engaging 84 participants. The workshops focused on four key sessions: (1) tools for data collection on inclusive SAI; (2) participatory indicator development for SAI assessment; (3) tools for making decisions on inclusive SAI and gender transformative approaches to foster inclusive SAI points to increase knowledge and capacity to use methods; and (4) tools for assessing the effect of SAI on women and youths.

Qualitative evidence from the workshops and post-workshop interviews pointed to an increase in knowledge and capacity to: (1) use information about the applicability, costs, and limitations of various tools and metrics relating to effects of agricultural change on gender and youth; (2) use improved locally adapted tools for gender and intergenerational analysis; and (3) integrate gender equity monitoring tools with gender transformative approaches.

The evidence also pointed to considerable interest in the decision-makers’ guide. Additionally, preliminary results point to the project’s potential to trigger changes in processes that will lead to equitable benefits for women and the youth beyond the project.

Key feedback from the workshops that will be incorporated into the final version of the manual:

- Include a section on facilitation skills for each tool because results from using a tool will depend on how it is facilitated, and a more structured and detailed instruction on how to use the tools effectively and consistently.
- Tools should not look biased towards a social group and not openly challenge gender-based roles that are culturally acceptable within the household to avoid resistance.
- Align the tools with gender-analysis guides of the Ministry of Agriculture in Malawi (and Ghana), if they exist.
- There is a need to use examples to illustrate the benefits of using a tool for both gender analysis and decision-making. The project plans to include examples from INVC and NASFAM projects in Malawi to show how couples changed their attitudes and behaviors because of the tool.
1 Introduction

While the need for inclusive and equitable Sustainable Agricultural Intensification (SAI) in African countries is no longer contested, decision-makers involved in gender and youth issues do not have enough capacity to effectively utilize analysis tools to address gender and youth issues. This underscores the need to develop tools to assess the effect of SAI for women and youth. The Africa RISING Sustainable Agricultural Intensification Research and Learning in Africa (AR-SAIRLA) seeks to achieve this through development of tools that will match the resource capacity of decision-makers and which are not too complex to use. This will improve the use of tools and metrics to ensure more equitable results from decision-makers’ investments in sustainable agriculture.

The project was implemented in Ghana and Malawi and was organized around three themes tailored to answer three research questions. **Theme 1** seeks to answer the question “**What are the most effective and feasible tools for detecting gender and youth inequities that may occur during SAI?**”. Under this theme, a comprehensive literature review, combined with interviews with decision-makers in Ghana and Malawi and international experts, will reveal the knowledge and capacity gaps of decision makers in assessing and using gender and youth-related tools. Five promising tools for analyzing and anticipating gendered and inter-generational impacts of agricultural investments were selected:

1. Gender and youth balance tree: A tool that uses a tree to symbolize the work of each gender and age group in a household (the roots) and the rewards to each member of the household (the branches);

2. Participatory mapping tools: This comprises of participatory approaches that broadly measure access to resources by gender type and suitable to assess patterns of resource ownership, access to resources, and control over resources;

3. Time allocation tools: This comprises of tools that illustrate household gender division of labor and can be used to examine the time spent on various tasks assessing gender equity by comparing amounts of leisure time or time spent on the least desirable or most taxing tasks;

4. Gender and youth inclusive value chain analysis: A tool suitable to measure dimensions of equity related to market participation and beliefs and perceptions; and

5. Youth and land responsiveness: A tool that can be used to illustrate youth inclusion in SAI, address the concerns of achieving both agriculture improvements and sustainability while enhancing youth development through job creation, capacity development, and access to productive resources such as finance and land for self-employment and entrepreneurship.

For **Theme 2**, which aims to answer the question “**What is the comparative advantage of contextualizing these tools with indicators developed through participatory processes with farmers?**”, the project evaluated selected Africa RISING Research for Development (R4D) Platforms, conducted focus group discussions with farmers, and held key informant interviews (KIIs) with stakeholders. Participatory indicators for SAI assessment have been developed and will be customized to facilitate the development of a context specific manual.

Under **Theme 3**, which seeks to answer the questions “**To what extent and in which contexts can the use of tools (studied in the previous research questions) actually result in equitable benefits from sustainable intensification?**” and “**Under what socio-cultural conditions and in what policy contexts are transformative gender approaches needed?**”, the project has completed fieldwork on gender transformative case studies in Ghana and Malawi.

In June 2018, the project held three mid-project workshops in Ghana (Tamale, Accra) and in Malawi (Lilongwe) which engaged 84 participants (14 actors from NGOs, 24 researchers from NRS and academia, 32 governmental staff -- 7 from national level and 25 subnational level from Africa RISING research-for-development platforms [R4D] in SAIRLA project research locations, 6 farmers/civil society actors, 5 private sector actors, 2 media people, and 1 traditional ruler) (see Appendix 3). The list also included seven members of SAIRLA National Learning Alliances (NLAs).
The workshops focused on four key sessions: (1) tools for data collection on inclusive SAI; (2) participatory indicator development for SAI assessment; (3) tools for making decisions on inclusive SAI and Gender transformative approaches to foster inclusive SAI points to increase knowledge and capacity to use methods; and (4) tools for assessing the effect of SAI on women and youths. The participants discussed the relevance of the selected tools, shared their experiences in using similar tools, and provided valuable feedback that will be incorporated into the final version of the manual for decision-makers.

This report focuses on the qualitative evidence towards progress to achieving project outcomes from the mid-project workshops and follow-up interviews conducted in October 2018.

2 Knowledge and capacity to use methods and tools for assessing the effect of SAI for women and the youth

The success of the SAIRLA project will be measured based on three outcome indicators:

1. **Outcome Indicator 1**: "Percentage of decision-makers using information about the applicability, costs, and limitations of various tools and metrics relating to effects of agricultural change on gender and youth to address gender and intergenerational inequities per country";

2. **Outcome Indicator 2**: "Percentage of decision-makers requesting the use of improved locally adapted tools for gender and intergenerational analysis grounded in smallholders’ reality to address gender and intergenerational inequities"; and

3. **Outcome Indicator 3**: "Percentage of decision-makers integrating gender equity monitoring tools with gender transformative approaches to address gender and intergenerational inequities per country".

Preliminary interactions with decision-makers involved in gender and youth issues revealed that they do not have enough capacity to effectively utilize analysis tools to address gender and youth inequities. However, a comparison of the pre- and post-workshop assessment revealed a marked improvement in: (1) awareness of tools or methods for assessing the effects of agricultural change for women and the youth; (2) capacity in the use of information about the applicability, costs, and limitations of tools and metrics; (3) knowledge and capacity to use improved locally adapted tools; and (4) use of gender transformative approaches to achieve long-term gains in inclusive SAI. Assessment results are shown in Appendix 1. While the project is unable to quantitatively measure these indicators at this stage, qualitative evidence points to progress towards achieving the three outcome indicators.

2.1 Use of information about the applicability, costs, and limitations of various tools and metrics relating to effects of agricultural change on gender and youth

The effective use of tools requires knowledge and capacity on: (1) how to apply the tools; (2) the cost in terms of finances, human, and time required; and (3) the limitations in using a particular tool. The baseline value for Outcome Indicator 1 was 7% for Ghana and 8% for Malawi, which showed that decision-makers did not have specific information on the limitations and cost of using gender analysis tools. In terms of awareness and use of tools for assessing the effects of agricultural change for women and the youth, out of the five selected tools, the most familiar to decision-makers in both Ghana and Malawi was the time allocation tool. On the other hand, the least known tool to them was the Gender and Youth Balance Tree. In Ghana, no participant had used this tool; while in Malawi, only two participants had experience using it.

Based on the results of the three workshops, decision-makers at the Tamale workshop were more aware of methods or tools than the decision-makers at the Accra and Malawi workshops. In Tamale, only 16% reported...
no knowledge/capacity before the workshop as compared to 31% and 37% at the Accra and Malawi workshops, respectively (see Appendix 1). This may be explained by the composition of participants in the three locations. District-level actors, who are largely involved in implementation, dominated the Tamale group. Implementation activities were partly related to the actual use of the tools. The Accra and Malawi workshop participants were more engaged in research- and policy-level activities.

Left – right: Participants in Malawi and Ghana discussing selected tools in groups. Photo credit: Jimah Kipo/IITA.

Qualitative evidence reveals that the knowledge and capacity of decision-makers in the use and effectiveness of SAI analysis tools has been strengthened, indicating positive progress towards Outcome Indicator 1. For example, in a post-workshop interview in Ghana, a participant who reported she was not aware of such tools in 2016, said, "...when we came to your training and you were having these tools, through the workshop, I'm now aware of such tools" (TAM08, October 2018).

The following remarks made by decision-makers after the Malawi workshop point to their keen interest and preparedness to use the information gained on the applicability, costs, and limitations of the SAI tools:

- "The workshop helped me to understand tools that hopefully will help to fill agricultural development gaps and, hence, ensure equity among men women and the youth in agriculture activities and benefit sharing. Also, the workshop has increased my understanding of SAI objectives and need for science and arts in decision-making process. Benefits of this workshop are more" (MAL01).
- "If societies are to develop a program, it needs to encompass all sectors of society – male, female, and the youth. This can be achieved by using some tools which we have learned from this workshop on SAI” (MAL04).
- "This training has been superb. I have learned different tools which will help us to articulate gender issues in various communities which will lead to all categories having equality in access control and decision-making over resources” (MAL08).
- "What I have learned more is the use of youth and land responsiveness criteria tool as one way of capturing data or information that will inform policy direction and project design as regard youth. Also, how gender issues can be addressed or prioritized in issues of land access” (MAL33).

Appendix 2 contains these and more quotes from decision-makers who participated in the Malawi workshop. The facilitation team collected expressions of this kind in the Malawi workshop only. Therefore, no transcriptions are provided from the Ghana workshop.

Decision-makers also provided useful inputs to facilitate the effective use of the tools. For example, they suggested some points to improve the participatory mapping tool that could be included in the final version of the guide. These included:

- The time and financial resources that the tool would require to implement. This is because in a participatory mapping exercise, all community members engaged may want to contribute.
- The capacity required to facilitate participatory mapping effectively in terms of appropriate language, navigating cultural barriers, and avoiding unnecessarily raising community expectations through the process.
• Ways that the tool could be used to effectively analyze differential access to, or ownership of, land by men, women, and youth, particularly making the tool more youth-sensitive.

The participants also forwarded suggestions for further training to enrich the tools. In post-workshop interviews, the participants in Ghana suggested:

• Intensify stakeholder engagement so that they will be able to master the use of the tools. This is vital if you are going to train farmers using a particular tool. More work needs to be done so that the stakeholders themselves will understand very well the tools before they even use them (TAM08, October 2018).

• We encourage the project to do more and, if possible, implement it at the community level. The project should involve budgets so that instead of ending it at the workshop with us, there should be activities at the farmers’ end, just like the way we do in field schools (TAM10, October 2018).

These support the impression that decision-makers in Ghana and Malawi are interested in the tools and are willing to further strengthen their capacity in using them.

2.2 Knowledge and capacity to use improved locally adapted tools for gender and intergenerational analysis

Outcome Indicator 2 relates to the knowledge and capacity to use improved locally adapted tools for gender and intergenerational analysis. The baseline value for this outcome indicator was 30% for both Ghana and Malawi. Though progress has been made in the contextualization of the five tools selected, the project, at this stage, is not yet able to quantitatively report on this outcome indicator. However, qualitative evidence points to progress towards its achievement. For example, decision-makers in Ghana and Malawi expressed keen interest to use improved locally adapted tools as demonstrated in the discussions of the five SAI tools at the mid-project workshops. Below is an excerpt of the discussion on the Youth and Gender Balance Tree in Ghana:

"The Youth and Gender Balance Tree (promotes) youth inclusiveness in decision-making. The tool will improve transparency and accountability of decisions at household level... it will unite the family as the goal setting is done together, it will increase commitment of household members to achieve household goals.... It will take away competitiveness in the household and promotes collectively supporting each other.... The tool can help in attitudinal and behavioral change at household/community level. The role of each member will be appreciated more, even if they do not provide cash income. It brings out the ratios of labor input/contribution for every individual at household level and how the labor input translates into equitable benefits."

The Malawi group reflected on the youth and land responsiveness criteria, saying:

"It is a welcome tool because it will help to understand youth struggles about land issues and even enlighten the youth about land information and land governance. The tool can help to understand the different land access and rights aspects in any community as well as the responsibilities that youth carry. It will provide an opportunity for different stakeholders to understand the challenges related to land access in a community and think about ways to address them. It is good that the tool is oriented to the youth because, so far, youth needs have been included in households needs. Using this tool, it will be possible to highlight youth vulnerabilities, needs, and interests in agriculture, especially the youth-headed households. It is a useful tool that can be combined with the household approach – a method that is being used in extension work to understand intra-household needs. Thus, it will provide awareness about youth needs."

A national research participant commented on the use of the participatory mapping approaches:

"What the project went through with us, some of the things we learned from the project, for example, like the community mapping, previously we were doing research, we just take it that way, oh northern region we are lacking this so whatever we hear about, we will do research on station and send it to
the farmers whether they like it or not but now the project has impacted this on us. Since the project have knocked this on us as one of the best ways of going into things, we also took seriously on our research (TAM10, October 2018).

An NGO participant also chimed in on the use of participatory approaches:

“I think we have had a fair idea and so we have begun using these in some of the projects we are already embarking on such as with the UNHCR where we have some livelihoods projects for Ivorian refugees. We saw that it is a good tool as it includes the people in reviewing and evaluating successes and achievement or impact, so we have adapted participatory methods in evaluation and participatory gender analysis.” (ACC01, October 2018).

These reflections point to the relevance of the tools and reveal decision-makers’ interest to use the locally adapted tools once the manual is completed and disseminated.

### 2.3 Knowledge and capacity integrating gender equity monitoring tools with gender transformative approaches

A gender transformative approach is about understanding the underlying causes of gender inequity\(^1\). The knowledge and capacity to integrate gender equity monitoring tools with gender transformative approaches relates to Outcome Indicator 3. From the baseline, about 13% of decision-makers in Ghana (3%) and Malawi (22%) reported integrating gender equity monitoring tools with gender transformative approaches to address gender and intergenerational inequities. Similarly, progress towards this outcome indicator cannot yet be quantitated. However, the capacity of decision makers in Ghana and Malawi to address the root causes of inequity by changing the rules governing access to resources has been improved. At project start, decision-makers had reported gender-sensitive agricultural programming would not address the underlying root causes of inequality such as access to land. Having been exposed to Kabeer’s four domains of institutional analysis (household, community, government, and market) at the mid-project workshops, decision-makers in both Ghana and Malawi appreciated the importance of integrating gender analysis for gender-sensitive programming with gender transformative approaches to address such core issues to benefit women and youth. They recognized that “unwritten rules” assign women and the youth to disadvantaged positions in terms of land access and use and demonstrated their understanding of the interdependency of changes in all the domains for gender and youth transformational change to occur.

Left – right: Participants in Ghana and Malawi discussing selected tools in groups. Photo credit: Jimah Kipo/IITA.

---

Qualitative evidence similarly points to indications of use of a gender-transformative approach to promote women participation in on-farm research activities in Ghana. The tendency for on-farm adaptive research targeting male farmers was high because females had no land to participate in on-farm trials. In the baseline study in 2016, two interviewees reported that:

"It is not easy for women to get enough land for farming, ...where there is enough land, it is far for the women who do not have means to get there to farm... women's access to land where they are is not all that good [the quality is not good], you can only get land at far places, but bad roads make it difficult to get to those lands. (TAM08, TAM10, 2016).

In a post-workshop interview, the two interviewees reported that engaging in SAIRLA project activities have led to improvements in women's access to land and information to participate in on-farm trials and soybean cultivation. As one remarked:

"We normally go and look for land from farmers to do our demonstrations. When we go we look for the men, is the men who normal give us the land. Now, when we go to the community, we also encourage the men to give out land to the women, so at least with that knowledge it also helps. Some of these things would prick you to remind the farmers, especially the men, to give out land for the women to engage in agricultural activities.” (TAM08, 17 October 2018)

The other said:

“The communities we move to... land was limited to only the landlords, women were not involved in farming. But now, women are involved. We encouraged the men who can move far to do so to acquire land especially in the Gonja lands and others. So, this farming season, when most of the men leave their communities to till far-away lands that are still fertile, they share the land within their communities to the women. This provides the women some opportunity to access land to plant vegetables such as pepper, with some even raising soybean. After the planting season, the men come back."

"The on-farm activities are like demonstrations to the women. So when we involve the women in the production, they now become used to it. They gain knowledge and learn how to produce crops. That encourages women to get involved in farming. That is one of the approaches we have adopted. Previously, it was rare to see women involved in our demonstrations.” (TAM10, 17 October 2018).

3 Key feedback from the workshops

Discussions at the mid-project workshops yielded information the familiarity of the participants/potential users with the tools and their concerns regarding tool application. Participants discussed the relevance of the tools in their contexts and shared their experiences on using similar tools. This provided valuable feedback to improve the final version of the manual. These suggestions include:

- Incorporating a section on facilitation skills for each tool because the effectiveness of using a tool largely depends on how it is facilitated. Participants stressed the need for more structured and detailed instructions to use the tools effectively and consistently.

- Relatedly, the facilitator should be aware of relevant policies operational within the particular setting and a strong knowledge of both the policy environment and the cultural context. This is important to guide how questions are asked. For example, many participants requested for more guidance on what questions to ask to make the value-chain analysis more gender- and youth-sensitive.

- Use examples to illustrate the benefits of using a tool for both gender analysis and decision-making. The project plans to include examples from INVC and NASFAM projects in Malawi to show how couples changed their attitude and behavior because of the tool.

- Consider applying the WEAI framework to youth analysis within the tool as participants noted some similarities between the youth and land responsiveness criteria tool with the five domains of WEAI.
• Reorient the tools so that they do not look biased towards one social group and also avoid openly challenging gender-based roles that are culturally acceptable within the household to promote their acceptance. The tools should be gender-sensitive and not limited to youth. It was emphasized that examples of inequities between men and women (and youth) be cited so that the tool does not seem to favor one social group.

• Align the tools with gender-analysis guides of the Ministry of Agriculture in Malawi and Ghana, if they exist.

• Consider religious orientation and cultural practices such as polygamy or the unique nature of intra-household relationships as they have the potential to affect gendered outcomes of SAI investments. In the discussions during the workshops, it emerged that there are factors beyond patrilineal and matrilineal socio-cultural systems that could influence agricultural activities and would affect gendered outcomes of SAI investments.

• Longer training workshops should be offered on the use of the decision-making tools. This came about as participants considered the one-day duration of the workshops as inadequate. The research team plans to conduct the use-training and dissemination workshops for the completed decision-makers’ guide in mid-2019. Barring financial limitations, at least two-day training sessions will be explored for the end-of-project workshops in both Ghana and Malawi. To this end, the team will explore co-training and co-financing arrangements with the National Learning Alliances in both countries.

The above qualitative evidence points to the potential of the project to contribute to increased gender equality and equity of access to resources for SAI, as well as indicates a strong interest in the decision-makers’ guide. Early signs also point to the project’s potential to trigger changes in processes that will lead to equitable benefits for women and the youth even after project-end.
## 4 Appendices

### Appendix 1: Monitoring and evaluation tables

**Table 1:** Awareness of methods or tools for assessing the effects of agricultural change for women and the youth (per location, before and after the workshops)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment option</th>
<th>Before Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th>After Workshop</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>Tamale</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No knowledge/skills</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some knowledge/skills</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good knowledge/skills</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent knowledge/skills</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment option</th>
<th>Before Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th>After Workshop</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No knowledge/skills</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some knowledge/skills</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good knowledge/skills</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent knowledge/skills</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Use of methods or tools for assessing the effects of agricultural change for women and the youth (per location, before and after the workshops)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment option</th>
<th>Before Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>After Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>Tamale</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>Tamale</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No knowledge/skills</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some knowledge/skills</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good knowledge/skills</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent knowledge/skills</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Use of methods or tools for assessing the effects of agricultural change for women and the youth (per gender, before and after the workshops)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment option</th>
<th>Before Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>After Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No knowledge/skills</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some knowledge/skills</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good knowledge/skills</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent knowledge/skills</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3: Use of gender transformative approaches (per location, before and after the workshops)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment option</th>
<th>Before Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>After Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>Tamale</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>Tamale</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No knowledge/skills</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some knowledge/skills</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good knowledge/skills</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent knowledge/skills</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3.1: Use of gender transformative approaches (per gender, before and after the workshops)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment option</th>
<th>Before Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>After Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No knowledge/skills</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some knowledge/skills</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td></td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good knowledge/skills</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td></td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent knowledge/skills</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Costs and limitations of various tools used for assessing effects of agricultural change on gender and youth (per location, before and after the workshops)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment option</th>
<th>Before Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th>After Workshop</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accra</td>
<td>Tamale</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No knowledge/skills</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some knowledge/skills</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good knowledge/skills</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent knowledge/skills</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1: Costs and limitations of various tools used for assessing effects of agricultural change on gender and youth (per gender, before and after the workshops)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment option</th>
<th>Before Workshop</th>
<th></th>
<th>After Workshop</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No knowledge/skills</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some knowledge/skills</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good knowledge/skills</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent knowledge/skills</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 2: Transcripts of participants’ comments on what they learned from the workshop and the usefulness of the tools (Malawi workshop)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant code</th>
<th>What have you learned from this workshop?</th>
<th>Gender Balance Tree</th>
<th>Participatory Mapping</th>
<th>Time Allocation</th>
<th>Value Chain Analysis</th>
<th>Land and Youth Responsiveness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAL01</td>
<td>The workshop has helped me to understand tools that hopefully will help to fill agricultural development gaps and hence ensure equity among men women and the youth in agriculture activities and benefit sharing. Also, the workshop has increased my understanding of SAI objectives and need for science and arts in decision-making process. This workshop has many other benefits.</td>
<td>Ensure equal and fair benefits for agricultural activities.</td>
<td>Ensure that important resources are recognized and utilized by all categories of gender.</td>
<td>Ensure that time to agriculture is equitably allocated among all members of the household to ensure that all are fairly benefits.</td>
<td>Include all gender groups in all levels of the value adding of agriculture activities; hence, more equal sharing.</td>
<td>Explore challenges youth face in accessing land; hence, generating possible solutions to match challenges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL02</td>
<td>Enhanced understanding of the tools. New ways of how to apply the tools and how to apply the tools in research on SAI.</td>
<td>Useful in understanding contribution of gender roles of family members in agricultural intensification, for example labor provision, decision-making, and sharing of benefits.</td>
<td>Very useful institutional analysis, identifying gaps and solutions.</td>
<td>Useful in understanding contributions of different groups by gender and generation in agricultural intensification.</td>
<td>Helps to understand who contributes how, and benefits from agricultural intensification.</td>
<td>Helps to understand how to integrate the youth in land issues, policies related to sustainable intensification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL03</td>
<td>Key tools for assessing gender and youth inequity and how they can be used and their pros and cons. The main domain of gender transformative approaches, which are household, community, government and market, there is need to think of the written</td>
<td>Very useful.</td>
<td>Very useful.</td>
<td>Very useful.</td>
<td>Very useful.</td>
<td>Not very sure on how to apply it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant code</td>
<td>What have you learned from this workshop?</td>
<td>Gender Balance Tree</td>
<td>Participatory Mapping</td>
<td>Time Allocation</td>
<td>Value Chain Analysis</td>
<td>Land and Youth Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL04</td>
<td>If societies are to develop a program, it needs to encompass all sectors of society – male, female, and the youth. This can be achieved by using some tools which we have learned from this workshop on SAI</td>
<td>Very useful in involvement of all members of households to achieve SAI.</td>
<td>Useful.</td>
<td>Very important in apportioning labor equitably to achieve SAI.</td>
<td>Important to improve productivity.</td>
<td>To have access to land if they are to be productive at household and community level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL05</td>
<td>Have learnt about the new tools for data collection, which then forms the basis for decision-making.</td>
<td>Be gender sensitive to the distribution of work and add it to research methods course.</td>
<td>Resource mapping and their implications on gender equity.</td>
<td>Understanding the different burdens of different groups of people.</td>
<td>Understanding the role of various stakeholders.</td>
<td>Helping to _____ on the youth on the land question where they are currently absent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL06</td>
<td>SAI learning land alliance.</td>
<td>Equalization involvement.</td>
<td>Involvement in decision making.</td>
<td>From effective SAI, time is limiting factor to dev of any kind.</td>
<td>From production to consumption, all categories to be involved.</td>
<td>Youth groups to access land for improved productivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL07</td>
<td>I have learned about written and unwritten rules that are present at various domains that are inclined to gender transformation approaches for change to occur. Also, gender balance tree that looks at tasks the members of the households have to do together to achieve the agreed goals (the dos and the don'ts).</td>
<td>It helps the households implement together up to reaping the benefits; hence, improved livelihoods.</td>
<td>Helps in identifying the gaps that are available in terms of resources at the household level per gender category.</td>
<td>Assist in identifying the burden that a particular gender category is experiencing at the household level. Hence, leveraging can be done.</td>
<td>Help in identifying roles a particular group should play per stage to increase income.</td>
<td>Youth should deliberately have access to land to improve SAI intervention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant code</td>
<td>What have you learned from this workshop?</td>
<td>Gender Balance Tree</td>
<td>Participatory Mapping</td>
<td>Time Allocation</td>
<td>Value Chain Analysis</td>
<td>Land and Youth Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL08</td>
<td>This training has been superb. I have learned different tools, which will help us to articulate gender issues in various communities which will lead to all categories having equality in access control and decision-making over resources. The household members should contribute to productivity equally and also to share the benefits fairly. The tool can be used for proper planning of the type of interventions relevant to shared roles for equity. The tool is of importance in that it encourages balance in resource management and control. The tool is able to help farmers to allocate time to the more crucial activities than the other activities. The tool identifies the levels that are dominated by different gender categories along the chain; hence, makes it easier to learn and help in monitoring how input resources have been used efficiently.</td>
<td>To articulate issue and find solution which can make the tree to balance.</td>
<td>To articulate resources which are useful to men, women, boys and girls in the community.</td>
<td>This tool is able to help farmers to allocate time to the more crucial activities than the other activities.</td>
<td>These tools help, especially the involvement of all gender categories in various value chains.</td>
<td>This tool gives chance to youth to have access to land and have knowledge on land information, policies, and governance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL09</td>
<td>Gender and SAI analysis using different tools.</td>
<td>The household members should contribute to productivity equally and also to share the benefits fairly.</td>
<td>To come up with the resources for the community, identify the constraints and plan for interventions.</td>
<td>To ensure that all farm enterprises are attended to accordingly in the household.</td>
<td>It enables the household to list the stages/steps involved in an enterprise from production to marketing.</td>
<td>It helps me to know issues are involved in productive processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL10</td>
<td>Planning tools and decision-making tools using them sustainably and including the component of gender, particularly women and youth.</td>
<td>Shared roles for equity.</td>
<td>Helps when making decision at the household and community levels, and, to some extent, even government level.</td>
<td>Learn and help in monitoring how input resources have been used efficiently.</td>
<td>Identifying gaps where women and youth can participate.</td>
<td>Help youth to participate fully in line with sustainability of agriculture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL11</td>
<td>To look at SAI from a different perspective which takes into consideration the involvement of women and youth.</td>
<td>The tool can be used for proper planning of the type of interventions relevant to shared roles for equity.</td>
<td>The tool is of importance in that it encourages balance in resource management and control.</td>
<td>It looks at the burden that may be placed on one gender category; hence, enable decision-makers to identify the levels that are dominated by different gender categories along the chain; hence, makes it easier to learn and help in monitoring how input resources have been used efficiently.</td>
<td>It identifies the levels that are dominated by different gender categories along the chain; hence, makes it easier to learn and help in monitoring how input resources have been used efficiently.</td>
<td>It addresses the issue of land access and ownership by the youth, which is the most important part of production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant code</td>
<td>What have you learned from this workshop?</td>
<td>Gender Balance Tree</td>
<td>Participatory Mapping</td>
<td>Time Allocation</td>
<td>Value Chain Analysis</td>
<td>Land and Youth Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL12</td>
<td>The success of sustainable development could be attained if gender-youth issues are incorporated or taken account in planning and implementation of the activity or project.</td>
<td>address the identified gaps.</td>
<td>intervene appropriately.</td>
<td>empower the ones that are left out.</td>
<td>Very useful.</td>
<td>Very useful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL13</td>
<td>Have learnt the five tools for assessing gender and youth equity, and gender transformative approaches domains (household, community, government, and market) and how they can be applied.</td>
<td>Very useful.</td>
<td>Partly useful.</td>
<td>Partly useful.</td>
<td>Very useful.</td>
<td>Very useful.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL14</td>
<td>The use of GBT, which I was just hearing about. How one is affected by land ownership and access issues.</td>
<td>GBT can assist to have equity at household level.</td>
<td>Communities can know the opportunities they have, and issues can also be identified where solutions can be identified.</td>
<td>Issues can be identified by using this tool, whereby the more-burdened gender group can come out.</td>
<td>The process of value chain can assist to locate where assistance may be required to solve the problem.</td>
<td>This tool can assist in written and non-written rules, which various players have at the household, community, market, and government levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL15</td>
<td>Tools for assessing gender and youth. It is better to get data from respondents by categorizing them into youth, female, and male.</td>
<td>It is easier for respondents to understand it looking at average Malawian.</td>
<td>It requires involvement of literate youth and taking pictures.</td>
<td>Good for agriculture and farmers.</td>
<td>Requires more skills to be used effectively.</td>
<td>It will require more time to transform the youth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant code</td>
<td>What have you learned from this workshop?</td>
<td>Gender Balance Tree</td>
<td>Participatory Mapping</td>
<td>Time Allocation</td>
<td>Value Chain Analysis</td>
<td>Land and Youth Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL16</td>
<td>Assessment of the effects of the agricultural value chain for women and youth. Use of gender transformative approaches in SAI. Importance of land access and ownership to all gender categories for agricultural development. GBT and its contents.</td>
<td>To identify the roles and benefits from the projects.</td>
<td>Establish what resources are available for the community to use for development.</td>
<td>Who does what and when.</td>
<td>Determine what value chains are the youth's women getting involved for development.</td>
<td>Access to land by the youth. How do decisions affect the youth in terms of access to land?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL17</td>
<td>It has been a fruitful workshop especially highlighting on the issues of gender matrix in terms of how activities are carried at the household or community level. It has also highlighted on the important and the ways of using the tools.</td>
<td>Understanding of what men/women do and what benefits they bring to themselves or households.</td>
<td>Engage both women and men to understand their contexts well. And the resources they have in their own context.</td>
<td>Important to be able to allocate specific activities in different contexts.</td>
<td>The roles of both men and women and use at the household level in a value chain.</td>
<td>Being able to know and plan how best youth can be engaged in agricultural activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL19</td>
<td>Tools of data collection for success of SAI in the context of the youth and gender.</td>
<td>Analyze the roles of men women and children in the family and who uses what (family income).</td>
<td>Allow data collectors to get a picture of a particular setting in terms of gender.</td>
<td>Gives a picture of different activities during the different times of day in the year.</td>
<td>Provides an analysis of involvement of men and women in different stages of the value chain.</td>
<td>It provides an insight of opportunities and challenges that the youth face in issues of land.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL20</td>
<td>Has helped me to understand the use of indicators for gender and youth inclusion in SAI. Has helped me to have information to use gender analysis tools. Has helped me include the youth component in the gender balance tree.</td>
<td>Will help identify gaps in involvement of men, women and youth in production and use of proceeds.</td>
<td>Involvement of all groups in identification of interventions in the community as men, women, and youth have different priorities</td>
<td>Though intensive or time consuming, it helps to identify involvement of all gender groups, including the youth and</td>
<td>Will help mainstream gender and youth involvement at different levels of value chain. Will help to identify groups in the</td>
<td>Will help to find the best approach to ensure that youth do access land for SAI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant code</td>
<td>What have you learned from this workshop?</td>
<td>Gender Balance Tree</td>
<td>Participatory Mapping</td>
<td>Time Allocation</td>
<td>Value Chain Analysis</td>
<td>Land and Youth Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL21</td>
<td>No response.</td>
<td>Comprehensive - captures different aspects looking at activities, issues, benefits, and access.</td>
<td>It is not limited to a few aspects.</td>
<td>marginalized in working enterprises. Also, to identify how much time each spends on it.</td>
<td>Very critical if women and men and youth are to effectively participate.</td>
<td>Great potential for gathering data on youth, which is often missed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL24</td>
<td>Different methods to assess agricultural change for women and youth. The importance of inclusion and consideration of women and youth in assessment of agricultural activities.</td>
<td>To visualize the importance of balance between roles and household benefits.</td>
<td>To know the potential and available resources in the community.</td>
<td>To get a deeper understand of roles of women and youth in household and farm activities.</td>
<td>To establish women strengths in farm activities.</td>
<td>To understand youth access to land issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL25</td>
<td>Gender and youth balance tree, and youth and land responsiveness.</td>
<td>It can help a household to identify inequalities.</td>
<td>It can help the community to realize various roles they are supposed to take part in.</td>
<td>It can help the community in time management.</td>
<td>It can help household members identify the importance of their contribution.</td>
<td>It can help people to understand the importance of knowing the policies of the countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant code</td>
<td>What have you learned from this workshop?</td>
<td>Gender Balance Tree</td>
<td>Participatory Mapping</td>
<td>Time Allocation</td>
<td>Value Chain Analysis</td>
<td>Land and Youth Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL26</td>
<td>Learned the different tools for gender analysis such as gender balance tree, participatory mapping, time allocation, VCA and youth and land responsiveness and how best we can use them in many sectors, mainly agriculture and learnt about their importance.</td>
<td>Promotes formation of a common vision in the family or household.</td>
<td>Promotes participation of the rural clientele in program design.</td>
<td>Time management is improved.</td>
<td>Promote to reduce the gender differences in carrying all the activities.</td>
<td>No response.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL28</td>
<td>This workshop enhanced my understanding of various tools to understand gender and youth participation in SAI, and that equity is mainly at the center of this project.</td>
<td>This helps understand who is involved in various stages of SAI.</td>
<td>Mapping the actors is also key in SAI.</td>
<td>Mostly looking at who spends much time in the agricultural activities.</td>
<td>Identifies who is involved in the value chain - thus looking at production, process and consumption, basically just understanding who is involved and see the gap.</td>
<td>Only few youths access land through purchase, most inherit from their parents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL29</td>
<td>Mainly the use of tools in assessing projects/programs to be implemented.</td>
<td>Useful if any project is to succeed. There should be equity.</td>
<td>Useful but requires time and very good facilitation and understanding of the local environment.</td>
<td>Not enough for group discussion.</td>
<td>Nothing much was understood by me even though the topic is important.</td>
<td>Not very clear. More work to be done to establish how youth could be accommodated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL30</td>
<td>New ideas and concepts in relation to SAI.</td>
<td>Good and easy to use.</td>
<td>Extremely useful - easy and can be used to complement other methods.</td>
<td>Good but time consuming.</td>
<td>Good but did think very useful for this project on land.</td>
<td>Not easy to use and context-based.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant code</td>
<td>What have you learned from this workshop?</td>
<td>Gender Balance Tree</td>
<td>Participatory Mapping</td>
<td>Time Allocation</td>
<td>Value Chain Analysis</td>
<td>Land and Youth Responsiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL31</td>
<td>Very innovative issues which could take SAI to a higher level and more beneficial to stakeholders.</td>
<td>Encourage every stakeholder to play a role.</td>
<td>Encourage divergent views.</td>
<td>For cost-effective use of time.</td>
<td>Very important for meaningful added value to a particular product.</td>
<td>Empower youth on land issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL33</td>
<td>What I have learned more is the use of youth and land responsiveness criteria tool as one way of capturing data or information that will inform policy direction and project design as regard youth and also how gender issues can be addressed or prioritized in issues of land access.</td>
<td>No response.</td>
<td>No response.</td>
<td>No response.</td>
<td>No response.</td>
<td>Capturing data and information that will inform program development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAL35</td>
<td>I have learned how gender equality and youth inclusion is crucial in the agricultural sector. The knowledge of the different tools is also vital.</td>
<td>The importance of gender equality and equity should not undermine development of agriculture.</td>
<td>It helps to get a variety of ideas from participants, which is important in the project cycle.</td>
<td>It helps me to understand why certain decisions are made by a particular sex at a particular time.</td>
<td>The importance of the value chain should also be considered if you are developed and promote agriculture.</td>
<td>Helps youth understand the different opportunities and challenges that they face in their quest to access land.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix 3: Lists of workshop participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Affiliation/Institution</th>
<th>Job Title/Designation</th>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>Country/City</th>
<th>Venue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Anthony Mainoo</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Adventist Development and Relief Services, Accra-Ghana</td>
<td>Deputy Director, Agriculture and Food Security</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Evelyn A. Nkansah</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Adventist Development and Relief Services, Accra-Ghana</td>
<td>Client Farmer, Agriculture and Food Security</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Irene Sawenteh</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>World Vision, Ghana</td>
<td>Gender Specialist</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Solomon A. Duah</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Centre for Agriculture and Biosciences International (CABI)</td>
<td>CABI Communication Specialist and member of National Learning Alliance</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Florence Amoakohene</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Department of Earth Science, University of Ghana</td>
<td>PhD student</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Mavis Akuffobea</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Science, Technology and Educational Research Institute</td>
<td>Research Scientist</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Theodora A. Asiamah</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Centre for Gender Studies and Advocacy, University of Ghana</td>
<td>MPhil Student</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Makaful Kpedator</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Centre for Social Policy Studies, University of Ghana</td>
<td>MPhil Student</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Affiliation/Institution</td>
<td>Job Title/Designation</td>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>Country/City</td>
<td>Venue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Mariko Nakayama</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>International Fund for Agricultural Development</td>
<td>Junior Professional Officer</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Ebenezer Bosomprah</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Department of Social Work, University of Ghana</td>
<td>MPhil Student</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Joseph Bandanaa</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
<td>PhD Student in Environmental Science</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Abena Kyere</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Institute of African Studies, Univ. of Ghana</td>
<td>PhD student</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Edward A. Gborgbor</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Centre for Gender Studies and Advocacy, University of Ghana</td>
<td>Principal Research Assistant</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Alexander N A Sowah</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Institute of Statistical, Social and Economic Research, Ghana</td>
<td>PhD Student</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>Marian M Kwaku</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Department of Agriculture, Greater Accra Region</td>
<td>Regional Agricultural Development Officer</td>
<td>Governmental</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>Lydia Amoah</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Institute of African Studies, University of Ghana</td>
<td>PhD Student</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>King David Amoah</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Farmer Organisation Network, Ghana</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Farmer/Civil Society</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>Eunice Kwao</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Centre for Gender Studies, University of Ghana</td>
<td>Administrative Assistant</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>Esi Ennisson</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Centre for Gender Studies, University of Ghana</td>
<td>National Service Person</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Accra</td>
<td>University of Ghana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>Diana Akamanue</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Department of Food and Agriculture, Kassena</td>
<td>Women in Agricultural Development officer</td>
<td>Governmental</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Affiliation/Institution</td>
<td>Job Title/Designation</td>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>Country/City</td>
<td>Venue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>Alhassan Abdul-Baqi</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Kassena Nankana Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Municipal Planning Officer and R4D Secretary</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>Egbenya Matilda Esinam</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Masara N' Arziki Farmers Association</td>
<td>Training Coordinator</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>Abagye Maxwell</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Kassena Nankana Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Former Assemblyman and R4D Vice Chair</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>Wessania Weja David</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Kassena Nankana Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Farmer and R4D member</td>
<td>Farmer/Civil Society</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>Chanagia Edward</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Kassena Nankana Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Agricultural Extension Agent (Retired) and R4D chair</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>Adigah Paul</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Kassena Nankana Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Municipal Department of Cooperative Officer and R4D member,</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>Alhassan Ramatu</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Savanna Agricultural Research Institute</td>
<td>Research Scientist</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>Ibrahim Mariama</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ghana Health Service, Tolon District Assembly</td>
<td>Nutrition Officer and R4D member</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>Haruna Abdulai</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Savanna Agricultural Research Institute</td>
<td>Research Scientist</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>Martin Seguri</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Kassena Nankana Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Farmer and R4D member</td>
<td>Farmer/Civil Society</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>Issah Abukari</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tolon District Assembly</td>
<td>Agricultural Extension Agent for Veterinary services and R4D member</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Affiliation/Institution</td>
<td>Job Title/Designation</td>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>Country/City</td>
<td>Venue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>Abdulai A Sherrif</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Savelugu Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Circuit Supervisor, Ghana Education Service (Retired) and R4D member</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>Adam Alhassan</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Department of Food and Agriculture, Tolon District Assembly</td>
<td>Agricultural Extension Agent for crops and R4D Chair</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>Alhassan Abdul Rashid</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SAIRLA Project</td>
<td>Research Assistant</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>Jagula Cletus</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Kassena Nankana Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Agro Input Dealer and R4D member</td>
<td>Private sector</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>Kassim Salifu</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Department of Agriculture, Kassena Nankana Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Management Information Systems Officer</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>Fauzia Sadick</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>R4D member, Tolon District Assembly</td>
<td>Women in Agricultural Development officer</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>Abukari Abdul Nasir</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>R4D member, Tolon District Assembly</td>
<td>Agricultural Extension Agent</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>Dokurugu Salifu Ziba</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Wakwa Consult</td>
<td>Consultant</td>
<td>Private sector</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.</td>
<td>Abu Karimu</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tolon District Assembly</td>
<td>Teacher, Ghana Education service and R4D Secretary</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.</td>
<td>Mavis Abdul Korah</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ghana Health Service, Savelugu Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Nutrition Officer and R4D member</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.</td>
<td>Iddrisu A Ayuba</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Savelugu Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Agricultural Extension Agent (Retired) and R4D member,</td>
<td>Private sector</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.</td>
<td>Akibu Hardi</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Savelugu Municipal Assembly</td>
<td>Seed Producer and R4D member</td>
<td>Private sector</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Affiliation/Institution</td>
<td>Job Title/Designation</td>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>Country/City</td>
<td>Venue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Nayina Kadim</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Traditional Ruler</td>
<td>Chief in Gushiegu Traditonal area</td>
<td>Traditional Ruler</td>
<td>Ghana/Tamale</td>
<td>Univ. of Dev't Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Gabriel Sajeni</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SAIRLA Project</td>
<td>Research Assistant</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Miriam Joshua</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Chancellor College – University of Malawi</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Mazganga Mhone</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Department of Livestock &amp; Animal Health</td>
<td>Principal Livestock Development Officer</td>
<td>Governmental (national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Richard W. Munthali</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>District Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>District Agricultural Extension Coordinator and chairperson of Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Harvey Horrea</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Mzimba</td>
<td>Crops officer and member of District Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Mwakaghella Kanjere</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Mzimba</td>
<td>Agricultural Extension Development Coordinator and member of District Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Idruce Kamyenda</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Dedza</td>
<td>Crops Officer and member of District Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Mercy Chigwenembe</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Dedza</td>
<td>District Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Joshua Mphanda</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Dedza</td>
<td>District Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Affiliation/Institution</td>
<td>Job Title/Designation</td>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>Country/City</td>
<td>Venue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56.</td>
<td>Aina Chkankheni Lozani</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Dedza</td>
<td>Farm mechanisation officer and District Agricultural Extension Committee member</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.</td>
<td>Vinjero Mkamdame</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Mzimba</td>
<td>Agribusiness Management Officer and member of District Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.</td>
<td>Justin Kagona</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Department of Crop Development, Ministry of Agriculture, Irrigation and Water Development</td>
<td>Principal Agriculture Officer</td>
<td>Governmental (national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.</td>
<td>Baird Nyonda</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Mzimba</td>
<td>Food and Nutrition Officer and member of District Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.</td>
<td>Noel Limbani</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Department of Agriculture Extension Services</td>
<td>Agricultural Officer</td>
<td>Governmental (national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61.</td>
<td>Gibson Malambo</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Climate Smart Agriculture Youth Network</td>
<td>Member of Network</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.</td>
<td>Palichi Mwegeyemle</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture – Mzimba</td>
<td>District Agricultural Development Officer</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63.</td>
<td>Dean Kampaje Phiri</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>National Smallholder Farmers Association</td>
<td>Head of Association services</td>
<td>Farmer/Civil Society</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.</td>
<td>Geoffrey Singini</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Imani</td>
<td>Monitoring and evaluation Consultant</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65.</td>
<td>Fannie Mawa</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>National Smallholder Farmers Association</td>
<td>Farm Services Officer</td>
<td>Farmer/Civil Society</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Affiliation/Institution</td>
<td>Job Title/Designation</td>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>Country/City</td>
<td>Venue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66.</td>
<td>Wycliffe Kumwenda</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>National Smallholder Farmers Association</td>
<td>Head of Farm Services</td>
<td>Farmer/Civil Society</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.</td>
<td>Joseph Kazima</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ministry of Gender, Children, Disability and Social Welfare</td>
<td>Assistant Director of Gender Affairs (Gender Mainstreaming) and National Learning Alliance member</td>
<td>Governmental (national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68.</td>
<td>Boaz Mandula</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Strengthening Agriculture Nutrition and Extension (SANE) Project</td>
<td>Agriculture Extension Specialist</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69.</td>
<td>Grace Malindi</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Mgom’mera Seed Investment</td>
<td>Chief Executive Officer</td>
<td>Private sector</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.</td>
<td>Ndapile Bwanansi</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sustainable intensification trade-offs for agricultural management</td>
<td>Researcher</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71.</td>
<td>Keston Njira</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources</td>
<td>Academic Staff and Member of Soil Health Consortium</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.</td>
<td>Humphrey Kunjurika</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources</td>
<td>Master of Science Student</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.</td>
<td>Duncan Chaponda</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ministry of Agriculture, Mzimba</td>
<td>District Agricultural Extension Committee</td>
<td>Governmental (sub national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.</td>
<td>Philmon Kuipa</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Channel for All Nations (Media)</td>
<td>Programming Officer</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75.</td>
<td>James Munthali</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>National Assembly</td>
<td>Member of Malawi National Assembly</td>
<td>Governmental (national level)</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76.</td>
<td>W.O Mulwafu</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chancellor College – University of Malawi</td>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Affiliation/Institution</td>
<td>Job Title/Designation</td>
<td>Sector</td>
<td>Country/City</td>
<td>Venue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.</td>
<td>Owlu Lumpeska</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Zodiac Broad Casting Station (ZBS)/National Learning Alliance</td>
<td>National Learning Alliance member</td>
<td>Media</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78.</td>
<td>Charles Masangano</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources</td>
<td>University Academic staff</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79.</td>
<td>Dominic Nyasulu</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Climate Smart Agriculture Youth Network</td>
<td>Chair of Network</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.</td>
<td>Emmanuel Mponya</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Farmers Forum for Trade and Social Justice</td>
<td>Head of Programmes</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81.</td>
<td>Felix Cluyendi</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>National Youth Council of Malawi (NYCOM)</td>
<td>Program Assistant</td>
<td>Non-Governmental</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82.</td>
<td>Patricia Ngwale</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources</td>
<td>Media Specialist/National Learning Alliance member</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83.</td>
<td>Blessings Chinsinga</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chancellor College – Center for Social Research/NLA</td>
<td>Professor and Director, Centre for Social Research/National Learning Alliance</td>
<td>Academia/Research</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.</td>
<td>Fatsam Gunya</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Photographer</td>
<td>Photographer</td>
<td>Media</td>
<td>Malawi/ Lilongwe</td>
<td>Ufulu Gardens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>