


International Potato Center
ANNUAL REPORT
1996





Cover art based on a 9x12-inch mixed pastel titled *Partnership* by Josephine Dell'Orco Prain

CIP in 1996

The International Potato Center

Annual Report



G. CHANG

International Potato Center
Apartado 1558
Lima 12, Peru

CIP. 1997.
CIP in 1996.
The International Potato
Center Annual Report.
Lima, Peru.

ISSN 0256-6311
Press run: 2,500
Printed in Lima, Peru
April 1997

Writing:

Jack Keyser, James Lang,
Jon Miller, Fred Powledge,
Edward Sulzberger,
Steven Kearl

Editing:

Bill Hardy, Steven Kearl,
Jon Miller

Production Coordinators:

Cecilia Lafosse,
Godofredo Lagos

Design and Layout:

Nini Fernández-Concha B.,
Cecilia Lafosse,
Milton Hidalgo,
Edward Sulzberger

Photomechanics:


Cecosami

Printing:

Asociación Editorial Stella



Color Cañete Environmental Yellow, page 13.



UPWARD: Network Bringing Users into Research Process, page 29.



Potatoes for Egypt: An IPM Success, page 16.



Inside matter printed on recycled paper

Contents

A Year to Remember	4
Sweetpotato Research: Evolving Priorities	6
Food Security in Uganda: The Sweetpotato Option	8
Dry Matter Counts	10
Focus on Outputs: Goals for 1998–2000	11
Color the Cañete Valley Environmental Yellow	13
Potatoes for Egypt: An IPM Success	16
Taming the Late Blight Dragon	18
Plant Viruses: Hard to Detect, Harder to Control	21
Think Globally, Act Locally: The Key to Success for India's TPS Program	24
Chacasina: True Seed in the Andes	27
UPWARD: Asian Network Bringing Users into Research Process	29
UPWARD Project Reduces Poverty in China	30
CIP On-Line	32
Board of Trustees	33
Finance and Administration	34
Donor Contributions in 1996	36
Staff in 1996	37
Selected Scientific Publications 1996	42
Core Research in 1996	45
Training in 1996	50
Research Partners	53
CIP's Global Contact Points	56
CIP and the CGIAR: A Research Partnership	58

A Year to Remember

The events of 1996 will likely be remembered at CIP for many years to come. For the Zandstra and Fajardo-Christen families, the final days of the year will always remind us of the terrible incident that took place at the Japanese ambassador's residence in Lima, the joy of liberation, the sadness and concern for those forced to stay behind, and, four long months later, their ultimate release.

As this report is being written, the events that began to unfold on December 17 have thankfully been resolved. While the incident itself is an isolated case, and has had only a minor impact on CIP day-to-day operations, it is difficult to ignore its effect on the lives of so many loyal friends and colleagues. For that reason we dedicate this report to Ambassador Morihisa Aoki, Foreign Minister Francisco Tudela, and Agriculture Minister Rodolfo Muñante, and to their families who bravely endured so many months of captivity.

Were it not for the events of December, I undoubtedly would have begun this report with a word of thanks to our donors. In these difficult financial times, it is gratifying to report that the donor community saw fit to recognize the Center by providing a record US\$26.3 million in 1996. Their commitment is an indication that they continue to recognize the vital role of research in solving the fundamental problems of hunger, environment, and poverty.

At one time, international agricultural research centers such as CIP were engaged solely in efforts to boost productivity. Today, we have come to accept the larger responsibility of making sure that increased agricultural production does not take place at the expense of the environment, or exclude those who are most in need. This fundamental principle was evident in several important planning exercises conducted at CIP in 1996. The first was a priority-setting exercise that helped us identify where research is most urgently needed and most likely to produce results. The exercise, the second in recent years, also provided an opportunity to evaluate our programs for their effect on poverty and the sustainability of the natural resource base. The results of the exercise are explained more fully on page 11 in an article titled *Focus on Outputs: Goals for 1998-2000*.

As expected, potato late blight disease was ranked as CIP's top priority, not only because of the urgency of the problem and its impact on poverty and the environment but also because of our scientists' belief that rapid progress could be made and that an investment would provide a high rate of return. News about our collaborative late blight program can be found in the article titled *Taming the Late Blight Dragon* on page 18.

Not all the results of the priority-setting exercise were as predictable as late blight, however. In a surprising development, a new project designed to increase the productivity of sweetpotato was ranked second highest in our research portfolio. The project, designed to increase dry matter yields, addresses problems faced by two distinct farmer groups: those who grow the crop for industrial purposes and those for whom sweetpotato represents food security. Additional information on our sweetpotato research program can be found starting on page 6.

CIP's late blight and sweetpotato projects are just two of 19 consolidated projects that evolved from the priority-setting exercise and from a project-streamlining initiative carried out



G. CHANG

during the year. Together, these efforts have provided much-needed information for the Center's *Medium-Term Plan 1998-2000*. The plan, completed in the last days of 1996, was somewhat delayed by the hostage crisis in Lima. I am pleased to report, however, that it was well received by our Board, by the CGIAR Technical Advisory Committee, and by many donors. It provides a clear statement of our research goals and strategy and is available upon request (it can also be accessed through our Web site, <http://www.cipotato.org>).

In closing, I want to again express my thanks to all those friends and colleagues who supported the Zandstra and Fajardo-Christen families in their time of need and who continue to support CIP in its program of activities. The events of the year have demonstrated, as perhaps nothing else can, the vital role of community and the importance of commitment to science, development, and human well-being.

Hubert Zandstra
Director General

Sweetpotato Research: Evolving Priorities



Sweetpotato is a vital part of the food security equation in some of the world's poorest nations. Starting in 1998, the beginning of CIP's next medium-term plan period, seven of the Center's 19 projects will be devoted to sweetpotato research. The projects address problems ranging from the plant's vulnerability to pests and diseases to the lack of efficient small-scale postharvest processing technologies. Together, they are designed to provide technology that will help farmers forestall disastrous food shortages, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa, and exploit the crop more efficiently for industrial purposes.



Food Security in Uganda: The Sweetpotato Option

In few places are the problems faced by farmers as acute and varied as they are in northeastern Uganda. Begin with a long dry season that makes growing many crops—including sweetpotato—impossible for nearly half the year. Add a poorly developed infrastructure (it is five hours by substandard roads to the main market in Kampala), limited warehouse facilities (driving prices down during harvest times), and a lack of access to credit for small farmers. Finally, consider the long-running civil disturbance (in which draft animals were killed or stolen) and a devastating disease in cassava, the major staple crop. The result is a potentially disastrous food security problem. For many farmers, sweetpotato is an important part of the solution.

"The constraints that farmers face in sweetpotato production are so complicated, a single-technology solution would never work," says Nicole Smit, an integrated pest management specialist based in CIP's liaison office in Uganda. "That's why we're putting our energy into ICM (integrated crop management)—a mix of varietal improvement, pest management, market development, and other technologies that correspond to the complexity of life on the ground."

Cassava Wiped Out

In 1996, a pilot project was launched in three villages in the economically depressed Soroti district, where cassava has been virtually wiped out by cassava mosaic virus and sweetpotato has become the main staple and cash crop. A CIP sweetpotato team is working closely with local farmers, scientists from the Ugandan national sweetpotato research program, and the district's extension service. Links are also maintained with

cassava researchers from the National Agricultural Research Organization and the International Institute for Tropical Agriculture in Nigeria.

"The problems in northeast Uganda are in many ways typical of those in other parts of the continent," says Peter Ewell, who heads CIP's sub-Saharan Africa regional office in neighboring Kenya. "They include the risk of drought, the vulnerability of crops to pests and diseases, inadequate marketing and storage, the limited use of the crop in processed products, and the lack of access to credit for small farmers. This project will let us test an integrated problem-solving approach while helping some of the poorest farmers in Africa."

Smit adds that the most important partners are ultimately the farmers. "It doesn't matter how good the technology is if no one will use it," she says. "With ICM, farmers are involved in every step of the process—from identifying constraints to testing possible solutions and evaluating their impact."

Upgrading Materials: Upgrading the System

Increases in sweetpotato production may prove feasible if scientists can improve the quality of planting materials used by farmers. In most developing countries, farmers take vine cuttings from mature plants at harvest time, and use these for propagating the next crop. But mature vine cuttings are less productive, less consistent, and more prone to disease than the sprouts or "slips" that grow on roots and young vine cuttings.

"Farmers in industrialized countries have been planting sprouts for years," says Mahesh Upadhyaya, CIP's program leader for propagation and crop management. "Our challenge is to create a viable system for using them under the varied conditions of the developing world." Those conditions include everything from drought and flooding to diseases and poor soils—frequently compounded by shortages of cash with which to purchase costly inputs.



E. CAREY

One of CIP's most important roles is to marshal research results from a variety of sources. For example, CIP food scientists in Central and East Africa are working to adapt processing methods from other parts of the world to local conditions and tastes. They are also helping Ugandan researchers test low-cost techniques for protecting dried sweetpotato chips from storage pests.

Integrated Solutions

Possible solutions involve methods for reducing sweetpotato weevil damage in the field, storing fresh roots, protecting dried sweetpotato products from common storage insects, and developing new uses and markets for both fresh and processed sweetpotatoes.

"One of the most clearly defined needs is for marketable, early-maturing high-yielding varieties that are less vulnerable to weevils than those currently being grown," says Ted Carey, CIP's regional sweetpotato breeder. Again, farmers' field trials will be an essential part of the breeding and evaluation process. Adds Ewell: "CIP has access to a wealth of genetic material and international expertise. These broaden the choices available to the team, but it's the collaborative work in the field which will make real impact possible."

Building on earlier genetic studies, CIP will launch a project in 1998 to produce and disseminate technologies for improving, using, and maintaining sweetpotato planting materials under farm conditions in South and Southeast Asia, Africa, and the Caribbean. The project budget represents a near-doubling of resources for CIP's sweetpotato seed unit. The goal is to increase sweetpotato production by 20% in target areas, both by

boosting yields and reducing losses to biotic and abiotic stresses.

"This is the sort of technology that could have a tremendous impact for farmers in developing countries," says Upadhyaya. "By improving planting materials, you make the entire system more efficient. And if you are searching for productivity gains, efficiency is often the best place to look."

Dry Matter Counts

"To increase fresh yields, you normally have to increase the use of water and fertilizers," says sweetpotato project leader Dapeng Zhang. "But if you can breed new varieties with 5% more dry matter," he says, "it's like increasing the fresh yield 15-20% without using more inputs."



C. ROSSENLOUFF

One of the highest scorers in CIP's 1996 priority-setting exercise was a new project—an effort to increase the dry matter yield of sweetpotato. The strong showing was largely due to the project's potential impact in China, where 85% of the world's sweetpotatoes are grown. But the effects should also be felt in Southeast Asia and sub-Saharan Africa, where the crop is often vitally important to the poorest farming families. Overall, average benefits were estimated at \$309 per hectare, spread over the largest geographic area of all CIP projects.

"The idea is not to produce more tons of sweetpotatoes per hectare," explains sweetpotato breeder Dapeng Zhang, the project leader. "It's to produce more usable material in every sweetpotato."

Current breeding efforts are aimed mostly at increasing fresh yields and fortifying plants against stresses such as drought, flooding, insects, and diseases. The dry matter project will focus instead on the postharvest characteristics of the roots themselves.

There is plenty of room for improvement. Dry matter content among the 5,000 accessions in the sweetpotato gene bank maintained at CIP can be as high as 45%. But the most commonly cultivated varieties in the project areas range from just 20% to 35%. Moist, orange-fleshed varieties are important in places where sweetpotatoes are an occasional part of a varied diet. But in Africa (where sweetpotato is an important energy source) and Asia (where the crop is used in animal feed, starch production, and industrial processes), dry matter counts.

The key to CIP's contribution is the genetic diversity available in its gene bank. In China, CIP will provide national breeders with new sources of genetic material—and new breeding techniques—to boost productivity and improve quality. In Southeast Asia, CIP will help national program breeders produce fast-maturing (or "early-bulking") pest-resistant varieties adapted to local conditions. In Africa, CIP breeders and their partners will produce hardy modern varieties that are high in energy and essential vitamins.

"To increase fresh yields, you normally have to increase the use of water and fertilizers," says Zhang. "But high dry matter is highly heritable. If you can breed new varieties with 5% more dry matter, it's like increasing the fresh yield by 15-20% without using more inputs."

Focus on Outputs: Goals for 1998–2000

In September 1996, CIP conducted an intensive priority-setting exercise to focus the Center's efforts on the most pressing problems in the potato- and sweetpotato-growing world. The result, to be implemented with the Center's Medium-Term Plan for 1998-2000, should be a clearer sense of direction for CIP scientists.

"The most important change is the emphasis on concrete, measurable outputs," says economist Tom Walker, who organized the exercise. "CIP is not a university, where an interesting research project justifies itself. Our mandate is to produce results."

Scientists and administrators spent three days discussing the potential impact of 15 research projects. Considerations included the probability

of scientific success, the geographic coverage of new technologies, anticipated benefit per unit of production (such as dollars saved or gained per hectare), and the expected level of adoption in target and spillover countries. The results of those discussions were incorporated into a project appraisal model that measured the economic benefits of the research outputs up to the year 2015.

The Poverty Modifier

The rankings were then subjected to "modifiers" based on the priorities of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research, CGIAR, the umbrella group of donors that provides funding for CIP and other international centers. A premium was added to projects with substantial environmental benefits, and a discount was levied against those whose technologies could be supplied by other institutions in the

CIP and Poverty

Poverty was an important consideration in the September 1996 priority-setting process. Not only were ratings weighted for the "leverage" projects bring to the fight against poverty, but the initial identification of projects was made after an analysis of poverty levels in target countries and regions.

Although the ranking system is new, it is consistent with CIP's historical bias in favor of low-income farm families in some of the world's poorest places. Since its inception, CIP has had proportionally more impact in poorer countries, particularly those with weak national agricultural research systems (NARS). Even in countries with strong NARS, CIP's work has focused on the poorest areas—such as Sichuan province and Inner Mongolia in China, and the northeast of India.

CIP's technology development efforts have also generally favored low-income farmers. Rather than design expensive mechanical



A. SOLIMANO

equipment for use in true potato seed (TPS) production systems, for instance, researchers have emphasized labor-intensive technology, such as manual transplanting and inexpensive seedling tuber management practices. In East Africa, where poor women farmers harvest sweetpotatoes piecemeal throughout the growing season, CIP is developing integrated crop management practices appropriate to local conditions.

Technology development at CIP has generally favored low-income farmers. For example, TPS production systems (see page 24) research has emphasized labor-intensive technology, such as village-level seed production and manual transplanting of seedling tubers.

absence of CIP. Finally, the ratings were weighted according to the poverty level in the projects' impact areas.

"CIP has been through priority-setting exercises before, but this is the first time we've rewarded projects explicitly for their positive impact on poverty and the environment," says Walker. "It made the process more complicated, but it also made it more responsive to the Center's mandate."

Late Blight Ranked Number One

Work in potato late blight, with an estimated per-hectare benefit of US\$530 and a potential impact area of nearly 3 million hectares in 40 countries, remains the Center's top priority for the planning period. A new effort, designed to boost the dry matter yield of sweetpotato, ranked second, whereas controlling potato viruses ranked third. One project whose rating jumped when weighted for its potential anti-poverty effect was integrated crop management of sweetpotato.

Some projects that scored well in previous priority-setting exercises received significantly lower rankings this time around. For example, potato seed systems fell dramatically—a reflection of the relatively small geographic area of impact and concerns about the likelihood of research success. Other areas of work, such as integrated pest management, virus research, and sweetpotato product development, maintained their former priority rankings.

With changes in priorities come changes in budgets. The balance between investments in potato and sweetpotato research will shift during the Medium-Term Plan period from a 60:40 ratio

to a ratio of 75:25. Investments in late blight research will increase from about \$3 million a year for 1995-1997 to nearly \$5 million for 1998-2000; the increased spending is justified by economic returns estimated at more than \$250 million between 1998 and 2015.

A Streamlined Structure

The list of initiatives for 1998-2000 reflects a significant change in CIP's project structure. Prior to the ranking exercise, the number of projects was reduced from 36 to 20. (Of those 20, one was dropped during the priority-setting exercise, while five more were considered to perform "service" functions and did not receive rankings.)

The new project structure, Walker believes, should help CIP improve the management of its scientific resources. Projects will be more self-contained than they are at present, with teams of scientists united by—and accountable to—a single set of measurable goals. Project leaders will have more control over project budgets, and more authority to make scientific decisions.

"With the 36 projects used at present, monitoring, oversight, and reporting are extremely difficult," says Roger Cortbaoui, CIP's Director of International Cooperation and one of the architects of the new project system. "Now there will be a person to whom we can go and say, 'Tell me how far we've gone toward achieving our goals.'"

Cortbaoui says the new alignment is not revolutionary. "It's an evolution. The changes are based on our experience over the past several years—and our knowledge of what works and what doesn't."

CIP Research Projects 1998–2000

1. Integrated Control of Late Blight
2. Integrated Control of Bacterial Wilt
3. Control of Potato Viruses
4. Integrated Management of Potato Pests
5. Propagation of Clonal Potato Planting Materials
6. Sexual Potato Propagation (TPS)
7. Postharvest Utilization of Potato
8. Analysis and Impact Assessment for Potato
9. Control of Sweetpotato Viruses
10. Integrated Management of Sweetpotato Pests
11. Propagation of Sweetpotato Planting Materials
12. Postharvest Utilization of Sweetpotato
13. Breeding for High Dry Matter in Sweetpotato
14. Analysis and Impact Assessment for Sweetpotato
15. Potato Production in Rice-Wheat Systems
16. Sustainable Land Use in the Andes
17. Potato Genetic Resources
18. Sweetpotato Genetic Resources
19. Andean Root and Tuber Crops Genetic Resources

Color the Cañete Valley Environmental Yellow



A. SOLIMANO

Two years ago in Peru's Cañete River valley, 150 kilometers south of Lima, hundreds of bright yellow plastic signs and cards began appearing in irrigated potato fields. At the same time, farmers could be seen carrying large rectangular yellow banners up and down the rows. Some people thought the farmers were protesting against an unknown but obviously unpopular government policy. Others thought they were practicing for an upcoming parade. The reality was quite different: the farmers were enrolled in a pilot program to control an insect pest that was reducing their potato yields by up to a third.

The cards and banners, coated with adhesives, were being used to attract, catch, and kill the leafminer fly, *Liriomyza huidobrensis*. The traps are part of a package of integrated pest management (IPM) techniques designed by CIP entomologists to help growers protect their crops with a minimum amount of insecticides.

Fausto Cisneros, head of CIP's IPM program, says that leafminer larvae damage crops by burrowing (or "mining") into the foliage. Adult females also do damage by puncturing the leaves

to lay their eggs. First identified in Brazil in 1926, the insect is becoming an increasingly important pest worldwide.

Natural Enemies Fall

The leafminer became a major problem for Peru's coastal potato growers in the 1970s after massive doses of insecticides wiped out the fly's natural enemies. Norma Mujica, a CIP agronomist who is coordinating the pilot project with

Yellow cards and banners, coated with adhesives, are used in Peru's Cañete Valley to attract, catch, and kill the leafminer fly. The traps are part of a package of techniques designed to help growers protect their crops with a minimum amount of insecticides.

four farm organizations in the Cañete Valley, says that by the early 1990s, leafminer damage had reached a point where farmers were spraying extremely concentrated doses of insecticides up to 12 times per season.

"As the spraying increased, the flies developed resistance to the insecticides, and secondary pests, chiefly white mites (*Polyphagotarsonemus latus*) and bud midges (*Prodiplosis longifila*), staged a comeback," Cisneros reports. "Even worse, the tiny predatory wasps that helped keep leafminer flies under control had also fallen victim to the chemical cloud used against the potato moth."

→ Cisneros, whose research teams developed CIP's highly successful IPM programs for the potato tuber moth (*Phthorimaea operculella* and related species) and the Andean potato weevil (*Premnotrypes* spp.), field-tested leafminer IPM in 1992 in the Tambo River valley in southern Peru with excellent results. Participating growers reduced sprays from six to zero in two years. Pilot projects were established in the Cañete Valley two years later under more severe fly infestation.

Hugo

A Balanced Attack

Cisneros says that the yellow cards and banners, first tested at CIP by Gaby Chávez and K.V. Raman in 1982, are just one component of the leafminer management program. The traps are designed to lure and kill adult flies active in the early phases of the potato plant's growth, when they do the most damage.

Meanwhile, the potato plant is contributing to its own defense by killing fly eggs deposited on its leaves. This occurs as rapidly expanding plant tissue surrounds and squeezes the eggs from their nests. This ejection process, which was first described in CIP's 1985 Annual Report, tapers off as the potato plant reaches maturity, and the eggs deposited late in the growing cycle hatch into larvae.

Parasitic wasps (reintroduced as part of the IPM program) and one or two carefully timed sprays of insect growth regulators now team up to minimize damage. As added insurance, CIP is field-testing potato clones with some resistance to the leafminer fly. In addition, a new fly-

Cañete's IPM Pedigree

CIP's effort to combat the leafminer fly isn't the first time Cañete Valley farmers have tried IPM to counteract the effects of excessive pesticide use.

From the 1920s to the 1950s, most of the irrigated farmland in the valley was devoted to large cotton plantations. Beginning in 1949, the valley was repeatedly blanketed by DDT and other broad-spectrum insecticides to control cotton insect pests. But despite stronger and more frequent doses, the pest problems persisted.

It became clear that the insecticides had wiped out the natural predators of the cotton pests while the pests themselves had grown resistant to the chemicals. Meanwhile, a number of previously harmless insects had begun to take their toll on the crop.

Growers, working in partnership with private-sector researchers and Peru's Ministry of Agriculture, decided to ban all synthetic organic insecticides and to repopulate the valley with beneficial insects. The results were dramatic. The pest problem abated, cotton yields soared, and production costs fell. The experience became a classic study in the success of integrated pest management.

Today, implementing IPM in Cañete is more complex. There are more landowners and more crops, and decision-making is more decentralized. But growers are still receptive. Potato farmer Mario Ortiz says that he used to watch with curiosity as a neighbor carried an oil-coated banner through his field. Now he has his own banner, to go with 140 standing traps.

"The plants are looking good, the flies have decreased, and we are saving money on insecticides," he says. "It is a good experience not only for us, but for farmers in general."

resistant potato, María Tambeña, was released and is gaining favor with producers.

Farmer Participation

Once a center for large-scale sugar cane and cotton production, the Cañete Valley is now the most intensively cultivated region in Peru, producing potatoes, maize, asparagus, fruit, cut flowers, cotton, and other crops for the Lima market and for export. With 23,000 hectares of mostly small- to medium-sized family farms under canal irrigation, it is also the most technologically advanced of the river valleys in Peru's coastal desert.

Four agricultural organizations in Cañete are currently running pilot leafminer IPM projects in coordination with CIP. They are the Instituto Rural Valle Grande, a nongovernmental organization; the Central de Cooperativas Agrarias-Cañete y Mala, a group of farmer cooperatives; the Estación Experimental de la Asociación de Agricultores de Cañete, an association of farmers; and the Instituto Superior Tecnológico Público de Cañete.

CIP has presented guidelines for the deployment of the various IPM components, but nearly all the participating farmers are adapting the recommendations to suit their needs. Whereas adhesive cards and banners were originally designed as alternatives to one another, some farmers are using both. While CIP prototypes use imported plastic and chemicals, many growers are experimenting with local materials, such as inexpensive yellow plastic sheets coated with motor oil or fish oil, to reduce costs.

Catching On

Daniel Flores and José Asato are each growing two hectares of the popular Tomasa potato variety. Both are enrolled in an innovative work-study program run by the Instituto Rural Valle Grande. In 1995, they sprayed four times to control adult leafminers at a cost of \$200. In 1996, they devised a trap using two 12-meter by 1-meter yellow plastic sheets, coated with motor oil and mounted on the arms of a field spraying machine. At the height of the adult fly season, Flores and Asato were netting about 90,000 flies



A. SOLIMANO

with each pass of the sheets over the field.

"We've already been able to cut the cost of production and the number of insecticide applications," says Flores. Asato says that the potato plants are much healthier than they were at the same stage a year before. "With selective sprays to control leafminer larvae, we should be able to top the 40 tons per hectare we produced last year," he says.

Those results are good news for CIP's IPM team. "For IPM to work, farmers must see it as a real alternative to what they are presently doing to protect their crops," Cisneros says. "It is essential that we demonstrate under field conditions that they can reduce, and perhaps eliminate, environmentally dangerous insecticides while lowering production costs and maintaining or increasing yields."

"In-the-field evidence has a powerful psychological effect on farmers," adds CIP Director General Hubert Zandstra. "It can spell the difference between the success or failure of an IPM program."

José Cose, who farms potatoes with his father Celso, agrees. "I think the traps are becoming more accepted by farmers because they can see immediate results," he says. "Farmers are very curious, and will quickly copy each other if they see proof that something is working."

At the height of the adult fly season, farmers are trapping about 90,000 flies per trap. Shown here: CIP entomologist Norma Mujica and Mario Ortiz of the Central de Cooperativas Agrarias-Cañete y Mala.

Potatoes for Egypt: An IPM Success

Egyptian potato farmers now know that integrated pest management works and have confidence in using it. They are the force behind the change, say CIP scientists.



Since the mid-1960s, Egyptian potato production has expanded at an annual rate of 5 percent, with production in 1995 estimated at 2 million tons. During roughly the same period, consumption increased from 8 to 32 kg per capita. These increases have taken place in a region where there is no appreciable rainfall, and where all farmland must be irrigated. Egyptian farmers till fewer than 3 million hectares, mainly in the Nile River delta. And it is here, unfortunately, where growth in potato production has been accompanied by huge increases in the use of highly toxic insecticides to control the potato tuber moth, *Phthorimaea operculella*.

Egyptian farmers have tried toxic pesticides to control the potato tuber moth in the past, but with disastrous results. "Years of heavy pesticide use destroyed the balance between insect populations and their predators," explains Galal M. Moawad, Director of Egypt's Plant Protection Research Institute. "To make matters worse, tuber moths have developed insecticide resistance. Even though farmers use higher pesticide concentrations, the effectiveness keeps diminishing."

The Egyptian government recently established strict controls on pesticide use, mainly to protect consumers. It now prohibits the use of DDT and parathion on potatoes, and has begun to screen for detectable levels of pesticides on market

potatoes. In 1995, the health ministry intercepted a load of contaminated potatoes. Sales dropped sharply and farmers were left with large amounts of produce they could not sell.

IPM Safer, More Effective

Many delta potato farmers harvest two—and sometimes three—crops per year. The most damaging pest in both seasons—in the field or in storage—is the potato tuber moth. Its short reproductive cycle allows it to wreak havoc with the crop. The adult tunnels through the soil and lays its eggs on the tuber's surface. Larvae hatch 3 to 5 days later, then bore into the tuber.

Within a week, the moth emerges as an adult, and the cycle begins again.

Ramzy El-Bedewy, who heads CIP's Nile delta research station, argues that integrated pest management and biological control are more effective, safer, and less expensive than insecticides. "There are many things farmers can do," El-Bedewy says. "To make it more difficult for the moth to get at the tubers, farmers can hill the soil around the plants and keep the ground moist. To limit exposure during the hottest months, when moth populations peak, they can plant in January and harvest in the early spring."

Judicious pesticide use can also be part of the mix, although El-Bedewy says that even pyrethroid-based products such as Decis and K-Othrine (deltamethrin), while less toxic to humans, still expose farmers to the "pesticide treadmill." A far better alternative is biological control.

Over the past five years, the Plant Protection Research Institute and CIP have developed, tested, and released safe biological control agents to replace pesticides. One of these is the granulosis virus (GV), which is specific to the tuber moth and kills no other insects. Egypt's Plant Protection laboratory now manufactures GV-based sprays and powders that farmers claim work better than pesticides. El-Bedewy says that the new products have been especially popular for protecting stored tubers.

The Plant Protection laboratory has also found a strain of the soil-borne bacterium *Bacillus*

thuringiensis (Bt) that is lethal to the tuber moth. The lab multiplies Bt spores to make biological sprays and powders. Biological control technology is so promising that the Egyptian government is reportedly investing US\$1 million in a new production facility.

"To use biological controls successfully, farmers have to change both their expectations and their practices," El-Bedewy says. With conventional pesticides, farmers spray on a schedule regardless of the severity of the infestation. With biological controls, they spray only when necessary, using pheromone traps to determine pest populations in the field.

Whereas most pesticides kill on contact, GV and Bt sprays can take days. Trainers help farmers understand what to expect in on-site demonstrations. "Otherwise, farmers don't trust the recommendations," El-Bedewy says. "But once they see that something works, they will go ahead and use it. They don't need to wait for the results to appear in a scientific paper."

"I didn't think this would work," one farmer said during a training session. "But now that I've tried it, I can see that it's better...." Another farmer agreed: "Even if it costs as much, it works better, and it's better for our health."

According to El-Bedewy, farmer demand is pushing biological control in Egypt. "Farmers know that the technology works, and now they have confidence in using it," he says. "They are the real force behind the change."

True Seed: Impact in Egypt

Despite intensive competition from overseas seed companies, Egyptian potato producers have made important gains in developing domestic seed supplies that use true potato seed (TPS), the tiny botanical seed produced by the flower of the plant (see page 24). A recent impact case study conducted by scientists from the Egyptian Ministry of Agriculture, the United Kingdom Overseas Development Administration, and CIP shows that investments in TPS will provide \$51 million in benefits to Egyptian potato producers by the year 2015. According to CIP economists, Egypt's TPS project, begun in 1977, has produced a respectable internal rate of return (i.e., annual net benefits to farmers) of 28%, and has a net present value of nearly \$3 million. On the plus side, these figures do not take into account health and environmental benefits associated with growing locally produced adapted seed that carries disease resistance and can be planted with fewer chemicals. Nor does the study consider the dangers of moving live potato tubers across international borders. On the minus side, economic benefits from the project are jeopardized by market liberalization policies, which increasingly make cheaper and potentially hazardous imported seed a reality.

Taming the Late Blight Dragon

In a single generation, farmers in developing countries have increased their share of world potato production from 10 percent to roughly one-third. But those gains are now being threatened by a resurgence of late blight, the same disease that triggered the Irish potato famine in the 1840s.

Late blight is caused by the fungus *Phytophthora infestans*, whose name comes from the Greek for "plant destroyer." It is difficult to exaggerate its effects. Because late blight is spread by wind-borne spores, the disease moves quickly through potato-growing regions, often devastating an entire crop. Within days, healthy fields can be rendered useless.

CIP pathologist Edward R. French calls late blight "the most dramatic of all plant diseases. Under extreme conditions, it's like a dragon spewing flames, burning everything to the ground." A British writer in 1845 described the disease as "a fearful malady ... a great calamity that we must bear."

Imperfect Solutions

For poor farmers, little can be done once late blight strikes. For decades, farmers in the North and large-scale growers in developing countries have relied on fungicides, with some spraying as many as 35 times per season. CIP estimates that developing-country farmers spend US\$100 million per year on chemical controls. That figure, however, does not include environmental and health costs.

The fungicides used to control late blight are

not only potentially hazardous and expensive, but they are also losing their potency. In many places, *P. infestans* has developed resistance to the leading fungicides. Meanwhile, newer and more virulent strains of the fungus have evolved to overcome genetic resistance in potato varieties themselves. According to CIP estimates, late blight already reduces global potato production by 15 percent—a loss of \$2.75 billion a year in developing countries alone.

In 1996, CIP intensified its response to the late blight crisis by convening a worldwide network of potato researchers under the banner of the Global Initiative on Late Blight (GILB). Once fully funded, GILB will be a ten-year, \$25 million effort. Roughly equal portions of the funds will be shared among research institutions in developing countries, institutions in developed regions, and CIP. (GILB received the formal endorsement of the CGIAR's Technical Advisory Committee in March 1997.)

The Search for Durable Resistance

At GILB's initial meeting in March 1996, 53 participants from 19 nations in Africa, the Americas, Asia, and Europe gathered to set priorities. At the top of their list was the develop-

Geographic Information Systems and Late Blight

Geographic information systems (GIS) technology is contributing to CIP's research on integrated disease management of late blight. GIS incorporates spatial information on agricultural production, historical data on weather patterns, and data generated by simulation models. The first two maps presented here show (1) Peru's potato production zones and (2) areas with potential for late blight. The third map (3) combines the data to identify production zones at high risk for late blight damage. GIS has also been used to design a scheme for collecting samples of *Phytophthora infestans*, the fungus that causes the disease. Current GIS research on late blight aims to characterize geographic differences in the disease system, assess production risks, and forecast the impact of new technology and resistant cultivars.


Legend

Low

Medium

High

Potato production





C. ROSSENLOFF

"In the case of late blight, we are dealing with a diverse crop, a diverse pathogen, and a wide range of environments," says CIP plant pathologist Rebecca Nelson. "Farmers can help make sense of the complexity."

ment of built-in late blight resistance that can be used in integrated management programs by farmers around the world.


Genetic resistance is not a new approach to fighting late blight. For many years, plant breeders sought and exploited what is known as "vertical" or "qualitative" resistance to control the disease. Vertical resistance relies on a specific gene in the potato plant (called an "R gene") that matches and resists a particular strain of the pathogen.

At first, the results of such breeding efforts were impressive, particularly when numerous R


genes were incorporated into plants and vertical resistance was complemented with effective fungicides. But as the pathogen has evolved, and as new strains have migrated from place to place, formerly resistant plants have suddenly become vulnerable. Merideth Bonierbale, head of CIP's Breeding and Genetics Department, says that vertical resistance can actually force pathogens to evolve more quickly than they naturally would. Thus the history of vertical resistance is a history of booms and busts.

Another type of resistance, "horizontal" or "quantitative" resistance, seeks to make the

Potential late blight severity



High risk of production loss



system more stable by using multiple "minor" resistance genes in combination. "You can think of the disease as a thief holding a ring of keys," explains CIP breeder Juan Landeo. "If a door has just a single lock—even if it's a very strong one—it doesn't take long before the thief finds the right key and comes inside. But if the door has a large number of locks, it takes much more time to find the combination."

Bonierbale says that the goal is not to create plants with total immunity to the pathogen, but to keep the disease at bay long enough to avoid damage to the crop. "That way there is less pressure on the fungus to evolve more virulent strains."

Breeding for horizontal resistance is no simple matter, however. Creating a new potato variety normally takes about seven years, from identifying likely parents to testing tens of thousands of progeny. One way to speed up the process is through biotechnology. Marc Ghislain, a CIP molecular biologist, says that his laboratory has made progress in mapping the genes that provide resistance to late blight, a key step toward using them more efficiently. Classical plant breeding, he says, takes years to come up with answers. "We just don't have that kind of time."

Managing the System

As part of the late blight initiative, CIP and cooperating institutions are studying the interactions that occur between potato genotypes and the environments in which they grow. The "Genotype by Environment" study was started in 1995 by pathologists William Fry of Cornell University and Greg Forbes of CIP's Quito research station. The G x E study, which now

involves ten scientists working under the GILB umbrella, is assessing horizontal resistance in South America, Europe, and North America. Initial data indicate that resistance has stability among sites. If this early trend holds up, it could mean that a given resistant variety may be useful in many environments throughout the world.

CIP scientists and their partners in GILB know, however, that breeding is only part of the solution to the late blight threat. "It's unlikely that durable resistance alone will do the trick," says Peter Gregory, CIP's Deputy Director General for Research. "We hope to provide farmers with a variety of defenses, with resistance at the center." Those other defenses involve the use of disease-free planting materials, the judicious application of pesticides, and the adoption of cultural practices conducive to controlling the spread of the late blight fungus.

A crucial component of any disease management system is the farmers themselves. GILB emphasizes the farmers' role not just in absorbing new technology, but in conducting research as well. "We are dealing with a diverse crop, a diverse pathogen, and a wide range of environments," says CIP pathologist Rebecca Nelson, who will be heading the Center's late blight project. "A relatively small team of scientists can't be expected to cover all that ground. Farmers can help make sense of the complexity."

"GILB is a pro-active effort, and should yield very tangible results," says CIP Director General Hubert Zandstra. "We can look forward to dramatically reduced expenditures on pesticides, billions of dollars in savings on crops that would be lost, and a stronger potato research infrastructure in developing countries where the crop is of vital importance."

The GILB Steering Committee

William Fry
Cornell University, USA

Peter Gregory
Edward R. French, CIP

Thomas K. Hodges
Purdue University, USA

J. Coosje Hoogendoorn
U. of Wageningen,
Netherlands

Marcelo Huarte
INTA,
Argentina

George R. Mackay
Scottish Crop Research
Institute, U.K.

Theresa Sengooba
Nat'l Agric. Res. Org.,
Uganda

G.S. Shekhawat
Central Potato Res.
Institute, India

Ewa Zimnoch-Guzowska
Młochów Research
Center, Poland

Plant Viruses: Hard to Detect, Harder to Control

Viral diseases can be as challenging for researchers as they are for farmers. Potato yellow vein disease, for example, has tormented potato farmers in Ecuador and Colombia for more than 50 years, causing tubers to wither and cutting yields by as much as half. But scientists are still not sure what causes it.

Luis F. Salazar, a CIP virologist and leader of the Center's Disease Management Program, says that yellow vein is transmitted by whiteflies (*Trialeurodes vaporariorum*) and is probably caused by a virus. "From a practical point of view," he says, "until we identify the pathogen and learn how it spreads, we will not be able to control it."

As with many virus diseases, yellow vein presents complex problems for researchers. Salazar thinks the disease originated in weeds or vegetables, most probably carrots. It seems that all potato tubers coming from infected plants carry the virus, although some tubers may not develop symptoms. Nevertheless, offspring propagated from those apparently healthy tubers carry the disease.

The disease is particularly damaging in those parts of Colombia where potatoes and beans are intercropped, and spreads most quickly where intensive insecticide use has wiped out the whitefly's natural predators. Salazar says that the increased popularity of intercropping has made the yellow vein problem more acute, an example of what can happen when new technologies are introduced without considering their impact on pests and diseases.

Breeding for Virus Resistance

Because virus-infected potato plants cannot be cured, as is the case for potatoes infected with fungi or bacteria, strategies for virus disease control must focus on preventive measures such as the use of resistant varieties, the control of insect vectors, and the detection and elimination



G. CHANG

of contaminated plants and seed. Potato farmers frequently buy seed grown at higher elevations or in isolated locations that are relatively free of viruses and the insects that transmit them. But poorer farmers cannot always purchase seed. Nor do companies that export seed to developing countries normally breed for virus resistance.

Breeders and virologists at CIP are working to incorporate virus resistance into new varieties. Indeed, some virus resistance is now available in about a fourth of CIP-bred genotypes. The goal is to combine resistance to the three most common of the more than 25 known potato viruses: potato leafroll virus (PLRV), potato virus X (PVX), and potato virus Y (PVY). PLRV and PVY are the most widespread and are responsible for significant crop losses.

Mapping Virus X and Y Nearly Complete

From 1997 through 2000, CIP plans to spend US\$8.7 million, or about 10 percent of its research budget, on potato virus control. Another \$2.7 million will be devoted to sweetpotato virus research. "Virus research," says CIP Director General Hubert Zandstra, "is as sophisticated as it is costly. It is also an area where the Center is trying to fill a gap left by the private sector. Our experience is that seed companies are not eager to provide developing-country farmers with virus-resistant varieties."

Maddalena Querci, a CIP molecular virologist who leads the Center's potato virus research project, expects cloned genes with resistance to potato virus X and Y to appear in CIP advanced breeding lines within three years.

Potato yellow vein disease (symptoms above) has tormented potato farmers in Ecuador and Colombia for more than 50 years, causing tubers to wither and cutting yields by as much as half. But scientists are still not sure what causes it.



C. ROSSENIOFF

Zandstra notes that case studies on the interaction between potato plants and potato leafroll virus, the most damaging of the potato viruses, are helping CIP researchers discover different types of genetic resistance mechanisms in potato species. The studies have shown that some genes act on virus multiplication, whereas others affect the transmission of the virus by aphids. By combining these genes, CIP breeders have developed genotypes that have resisted infection by PLRV through seven years of field trials.

CIP virologists are collaborating with scientists at the United Kingdom's Sainsbury Laboratory to locate and label major resistance genes for potato virus X and potato virus Y through the use of molecular markers. The virus X resistance gene has been mapped at the Sainsbury lab and is currently being cloned, while work toward the mapping and screening of the Y resistance gene continues at CIP and at Sainsbury. Maddalena Querci, a CIP molecular virologist who leads the Center's potato virus research project, expects the cloned genes to appear in CIP varieties and advanced breeding lines within three years.

CIP virologists are also studying the potato spindle tuber viroid (PSTVd), one of more than a dozen plant viroids that were originally believed

to be viruses that carry unusual characteristics. PSTVd causes deformed, spindle-shaped tubers, and can significantly reduce yields in susceptible cultivars. PSTVd has also been found to interfere with the plant's resistance to leafroll virus. PSTVd is normally transmitted by physical contact between plants and through true potato seed, but CIP virologists recently learned that it can also be transmitted by aphids from plants infected with PLRV.

The Sweetpotato Challenge

Virus research at CIP is not restricted to work on potatoes, however. For example, sweetpotato virus disease (SPVD) is receiving increasing attention due to its impact on resource-poor farmers in sub-Saharan Africa. SPVD is caused by the synergism between the aphid-borne sweetpotato feathery mottle virus (SPFMV) and a whitefly-borne virus (WBV). It is currently the Center's top priority for virus research in sweetpotato.

In recent years, CIP researchers developed cultivars resistant to SPFMV, but the plants were found to be susceptible to sweetpotato virus disease. Resistance genes for WBV are being sought in gene bank accessions, but work on developing transgenic resistance using DNA recombination may be required should this effort fail to uncover a natural form of resistance.

Resistance breeding is not the only defense against viral diseases of the sweetpotato. Because planting materials quickly become infected in the field, stocks must be renewed frequently, thus increasing the cost of production. The addition of virus resistance, it is believed, will allow poor farmers to use their seed for longer periods of time.

Indeed, the use of healthy planting materials is a highly effective control measure for SPVD. In China, the world's largest producer of sweetpotato, field experiments demonstrated that virus-free planting materials yielded two to three times more than those infected with the virus. The Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences has estimated that over an area of more than 70,000 hectares, farmers' yields increased an average of 35% following the introduction of healthy planting materials.

"Our work with sweetpotato viruses is particularly exciting for us," notes Salazar, "as it involves some of our most upstream research on a crop that addresses the concerns of some of the world's poorest farmers and consumers. With some comparatively minor fine-tuning on the virology side, we should be able to help farmers realize more of the yield potential of this naturally high yielding commodity."

Detection Kits Made Simple

Virus detection is the most important component of virus control. When CIP's virus research program began in 1972, scientists relied on indicator plants and visual observation of virus symptoms. CIP virologist Luis F. Salazar was part of a group of about 25 investigators who developed a serological virus detection technique in the mid-1970s. The enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) remains the most sensitive detection method in use, allowing scientists to determine both the presence of a particular virus and its concentration.

CIP has produced large numbers of user-friendly, low-cost ELISA kits, and distributed





R. MEDINA

them throughout the developing world. Meanwhile, researchers continue to develop more powerful and less expensive kits. The use of these simple mobile laboratories in tuber seed production and research is now routine around the globe.

CIP has produced large numbers of user-friendly, low-cost virus detection kits (above), and distributed them throughout the developing world. The use of these simple mobile laboratories in tuber seed production and research is now routine in many countries.

Potato and Sweetpotato Pest and Disease Guides



CIP recently published field guides for identifying the major pests, diseases, and abiotic stresses for potato and sweetpotato. The full-color guides, originally printed in English, are suitable for copublication and are currently being translated into Spanish, Bahasa Indonesia, and Vietnamese. CIP field guides can be purchased for US\$10.00 plus \$5.00 for airmail delivery and handling (developing-country readers deduct 40% from the purchase price) by contacting the CIP Bookstore, Apartado 1558, Lima 12, Peru. Purchase orders may also be sent by e-mail to: cipbookstore@cgnet.com.

Think Globally, Act Locally: The Key to Success for India's True Potato Seed Program



CIP-INDIA

According to postharvest surveys, over 90 percent of the farmers in West Bengal who tried TPS minitubers wanted to keep planting them. Farmers said that it cost much less to plant minitubers, that yields were higher, and that the plants resisted late blight.

"I did not know what true potato seed was," said S.K. Bardhan Roy. That was in 1992. Roy had just joined West Bengal's Directorate of Agriculture, assigned to run Anandapore Farm, a research station 100 kilometers south of Calcutta. Four years later, Anandapore Farm was a major producer of true potato seed, the tiny botanical seeds that can be planted in the place of costly tuber seed.

Potatoes are typically produced from tubers. Cut them up, or plant them whole, their offspring will be genetically identical to the tubers from which they came. But the potato plant, a close relative of the tomato, also produces fruits that contain true seeds, or, as purists call them, botanical seeds. These true seeds can be harvested, processed, and planted.

Andean farmers were the first to use true seeds, also known as TPS. There is evidence in Andean folk history that highland farmers used TPS to periodically clean their planting stocks and boost production. Today, modern plant breeders use true seeds to produce improved

varieties. The process involves hand-pollinating the female parent with pollen from a selected male parent. The results are berries the size of small tomatoes, each of which contains hundreds of true seeds. The technique yields tiny amounts of seed sufficient for breeding purposes, but not for commercial production.

India: A World Leader in TPS

In India, farmers produce some 20 million metric tons of potatoes annually, making it one of the world's top potato producers. Between 1961-63 and 1991-93, India's potato production increased from an average of 2.8 million metric tons to 15.7 million. Farmers managed this without TPS, but most of the gains came from added potato area rather than from higher yields.

The key to higher yields is the quality of the planting material that farmers sow. When they start with poorly stored, diseased, or damaged tubers, yields drop dramatically. Making sure that there is enough good tuber seed to go around is the core of a successful potato production program. This is easier said than done, however, especially in tropical India, with its long, hot monsoon summers.

To keep potatoes from one season to the next, seed tubers must be refrigerated in cold-storage warehouses. These multistoried, cement-block buildings are found all over northern India, wherever potatoes are produced in quantity. But how good is the planting material kept in a warehouse? Frequently, not very good. Most consists of tubers farmers recycle from one crop to the next. To plant just a hectare, farmers require from 2 to 2.5 metric tons of recycled tubers.

The advantage of TPS, Roy believes, is its potential to free farmers from dependence on traditional seed systems in which disease-free tuber seed stock is multiplied at selected research stations. This material, called "foundation" seed, then goes to state programs for additional multiplications. At the end of the chain, which includes multiplications on contract, comes certified seed, which is sold to farmers. By then, tuber quality is highly variable. In any case, less than 10 percent of the potato stocks farmers plant annually consists of certified seed.

TPS: One Step Removed

"TPS technology is ideal for West Bengal," Roy says, "because good tuber seed is hard to come by. Farmers get their seed stocks from Uttar Pradesh, most of it through private dealers; there is no guarantee as to quality or varietal purity."

But in West Bengal, as elsewhere in India, TPS is not used to directly produce a commercial potato crop. TPS is still one step removed from its final, farmer-friendly form: the minituber, which is directly produced from TPS. Minitubers, which are grown in seedbeds, range in size from small peas to cherries, but produce plants that are equal to those grown from large tubers derived from the highest-quality seed sources.

In 1995, the Anandapore Farm, one of six facilities set up by India's Ministry of Agriculture to produce TPS and TPS seedling tubers in West Bengal, produced 6 tons of minitubers from 1 kilogram of TPS. A kilogram of minitubers costs no more than a kilogram of conventional, certified seed. That gives TPS minitubers a tremendous advantage. For yield, what matters is a tuber's quality and age, not its size.

Parentage also counts. The female parent Roy used, MF-I, was developed by M.D. Upadhyay and K.C. Thakur, breeders at CIP's regional office in New Delhi, in collaboration with Indian potato farmers S.N. Bhargava and his son Arvind. For the male parent, Roy used TPS-13, also developed at CIP-Delhi. Disease-free planting stocks for both parents were produced at the Central Potato Research Institute's research station in Uttar Pradesh.

TPS Production Tops 10,000 Hectares

To convince local farmers, whose holdings rarely exceed half a hectare, West Bengal's extension service has distributed TPS minitubers to some 150 farmers in five districts of the state. The result: potato yields from minitubers reached 32 metric tons per hectare, much higher than the 24 tons averaged by *Kufri Jyoti*, the favorite local variety.

According to the extension service's postharvest surveys, over 90 percent of the farmers who tried TPS minitubers wanted to keep



C. ROSSENOUFF

A potato seedling emerging from a true seed, shown here at a scale of 3:1.

planting them. Farmers said that it cost much less to plant minitubers and that yields were higher. Their experience confirmed that a crop of TPS minitubers resisted late blight, a trait the genetic makeup of TPS minituber progeny reinforces. With TPS, each seed produced, and each plant generated from a seed, is genetically distinct. So when late blight tries to spread from plant to plant, it has to overcome the genetic barriers built into each.

Because of this genetic variability, anyone can spot a field of TPS-generated plants, for the height, bushiness, and leaf configuration of each plant is somewhat different. Nonetheless, the size, color, and shape of the tubers developing underneath the plants are uniform. Only farmers who harvested too early complained about tuber size. To reach maturity and, hence, general uniformity, minitubers need just 90 to 100 days. When left in the ground for that period of time, the TPS potatoes harvested are comparable in size to the popular *Kufri Jyoti* variety.

For many farmers, however, the key advantage is flexibility. A TPS minituber is only one multiplication old, which makes it much younger than either certified seed or farmers' recycled seed. Once the minituber-generated crop is mature, farmers can sell it or hold the tubers as seed stock to replant. The tubers so retained are now

full-sized, but they are still younger and more vigorous, and have greater disease resistance than their conventional rivals. Farmers who plant minitubers get dependable, first-generation, high-quality seed at a reasonable price.

"The first step," Roy said, "is to accustom farmers to minitubers; the next step is to show them how to produce their own minitubers from TPS."


Further north, in the states of Uttar Pradesh and Haryana, farmers have already taken Roy's "next step." Four years ago, Jagbir Singh Mann and his wife Sarla Mann, potato farmers in Haryana state, got 5 grams of TPS. From this, they grew minitubers. Now they have 15 hectares of potatoes descended from their original minituber crop. And they are not alone. India's farmers currently have more than 10,000 hectares of TPS-generated minitubers and potatoes under production. With the multiplier effect, that

total is expected to mount quickly. Asked if the marketing quality of his minituber-generated potato crop was good enough, Jagbir replied, "If it wasn't, I wouldn't have so much of it." He is now growing minitubers to sell to other farmers. For the 1996-97 crop, he sowed some 400 grams of TPS in his seedbed, harvesting enough minitubers to cover 3.5 hectares.

A decade ago, critics thought mass production of TPS was not feasible. They were wrong. A decade ago, critics thought TPS-generated potatoes would vary too much in shape, size, and color to be marketed commercially. Wrong again. What made for success was the healthy give and take between upstream research centralized at CIP and the downstream problem-solving approach of national and local programs. Without such cooperation, the critics would be the winners and West Bengal's small potato farmers the losers.

M. HIDALGO

TPS Arithmetic



This illustration traces the process required to generate enough seedling tubers to plant 1 hectare of potatoes from just 20 grams of true potato seed (TPS). The arithmetic involved is as simple as it is elegant. Potato plants produce berries that contain true seeds. One gram of TPS contains 1,000 tiny seeds. Twenty grams of TPS is sufficient to plant a seedbed 100 meters square. This 100-square-meter seedbed produces 30,000 seedling tubers that weigh approximately 500 kilograms, enough to plant 1 hectare. That is a far cry from the 2.5 metric tons of conventional seed tubers required per hectare.

Chacasina: True Seed in the Andes



A. SOLIMANO

In Peru's mountainous Callejón de Conchucos, 300 kilometers north of Lima, potato farmers are growing crops that produce an average of 45 tons per hectare, roughly three times the national average, and on a par with commercial yields in the United States and Europe. What's more, they have done it despite an extended drought and a late blight epidemic.

The farmers' success is largely due to a true potato seed (TPS) hybrid known locally as Chacasina. Selected in 1993 by CIP scientist Rolando Cabello, Chacasina incorporates the highly prized culinary qualities of its traditional-type female parent, Yungay, with the high productivity, early maturity, and late blight resistance of its CIP breeding line parent.

Yungay was originally developed in the 1950s by Carlos Ochoa, a CIP taxonomist and plant

explorer. Adapted to highland conditions, Yungay grows well in poor soils and is tolerant of drought and mild frost. Consumers appreciate its creamy color and melt-in-your-mouth texture. Its major drawback is its susceptibility to late blight. Moreover, as with most Andean potatoes, the quality of its seed deteriorates quickly—a major problem for cash-poor farmers who tend to put off buying new planting materials until yields are unacceptably low.

CIP economist Hugo Fano says that the Callejón de Conchucos is typical of many high-altitude Andean environments. Infrastructure is basic at best. Drought is the rule rather than the exception, and plant diseases are a constant threat. Farmers have little money to invest in agricultural inputs, and much of what they grow they consume themselves. Yet farm families are extremely quality-conscious. "Throughout the Andes, the most important consideration is flavor," Fano says. "Chacasina doesn't just produce higher yields—people also like it."

Instead of reserving two tons from every hectare of harvested potatoes for use in the next planting, farmers who grow TPS hybrid Chacasina can plant just 300 kg—and get higher yields than with conventional varieties.

In Search of Seed

CIP's involvement in the region began in 1992, when an Italian priest, Hugo De Censi, came to Lima from the drought-plagued town of Chacas in search of potato seed to bring back to his hungry parish. As in many potato-growing communities, Chacas farmers lacked seeds to plant after four years of severe drought. In response, Cabello provided De Censi with a bag of TPS from a white-fleshed variety called Gringa, then quickly set to breeding what would come to be known as Chacasina. When the new variety was ready, Cabello and other CIP scientists helped the parish begin producing sexual seed for itself.

The new cultivar differs from other TPS because its parents can be cross-pollinated naturally as they grow side by side in the field to produce uniform true seed. This is possible because its mother, Yungay, is male-sterile and its CIP father is a prolific pollen producer.

In 1995, with financial help from the government of Peru and the United States Agency for International Development, CIP decided to deepen its commitment. This enabled the parish to build seedbeds, nurseries, and warehouses—not just in Chacas, but also in five nearby provinces. By the end of 1996, Chacasina was being grown in 11 test plots and more than 100 farmers' fields. "The farmers adapt much more quickly than the scientists do," says Fano. "They see what needs to be done and they go out and do it."

Results have been more than encouraging. "Local farmers are accustomed to harvesting between 3 and 10 kg of potatoes for every kilogram of seed potatoes they plant," Fano says. "With Chacasina—which is planted in the form of small minitubers—yields have been between 20 and 42 kg per kg of seed." Instead of reserving two tons from every hectare of harvested potatoes for use in the next planting, farmers can plant just 300 kg—and get much higher yields. That's on top of the fact that Chacasina seed is about half the price per kg of the other high-quality seeds available on the market. After just two years, the project is virtually paying for itself.

"This year, we're not getting any seed from outside," reports Edmundo Egúsqüiza, a Chacas schoolteacher who is helping coordinate the project. Egúsqüiza says the community hopes to

produce at least 200 kg of TPS in 1997, enough for more than 4,000 hectares—far more than what is needed.

Questions Remain

For CIP scientists, several questions still need to be answered. Noël Pallais, who heads the project, says that it remains to be seen how many generations of seed potatoes Chacasina will produce before the quality deteriorates beyond usable levels. And while few people in the Callejón de Conchucos turn up their noses at the quality of Chacasina, consumer preferences in other Andean regions differ. The evidence indicates that similarly robust hybrids—matching local tastes and needs—can be produced for these areas.

Farmers trained in traditional farming methods also need to learn how best to take advantage of the new technology. Recognizing this, in September 1996, scientists from CIP, Peru's Ministry of Agriculture (INIA), and the National Agricultural University at La Molina presented a series of workshops in Chacas and other towns covering TPS production to fertilizer and water management.

"In the town of Llamellín, two farmers were so happy with the course that they cried at the end," says Rolando Cabello. "One of the priests who helped organize the workshop told us that what we had done came from heaven, that it was something supernatural."

Edmundo Egúsqüiza is a religious man, but his assessment is more down to earth. "Now we have a stock of seed," he says. "It's good to know that we are protected if anything should happen."

Editor's Note: Regrettably, in March 1997 something did happen. A priest assigned to the project was killed by an unknown gunman. Reliable sources believe that the tragedy was not the act of terrorists. Ironically, the 1997 harvest of TPS—some 300 kg of seed—may have equaled the annual record set by commercial TPS producers in other parts of Latin America and in Asia. Reliable sources indicate that the Chacasina project will continue in the future without outside assistance.

UPWARD: Asian Network Bringing Users into Research Process

A long-standing complaint against agricultural science is that it often imposes solutions from above. One CIP initiative addresses that criticism head-on.

On the Philippine island of Mindanao, researchers are working with rural women to increase the contribution of home gardens to the biodiversity of an upland watershed. In the process, they are enhancing soil fertility and improving household food security. In Indonesia, farmers and scientists are conducting experiments on integrated crop management of sweetpotato, building on successful experiences with other crops.

Both projects are sponsored by Users' Perspectives with Agricultural Research and Development (UPWARD), which brings together scientists from various disciplines with farm families, traders, processors, and consumers. Participants apply their experience and expertise to a problem-based agenda. The network, established in 1990 and funded by The Netherlands, is coordinated from CIP's liaison office in Los Baños, Philippines.

UPWARD has supported nearly 50 projects in six Asian countries, with research topics ranging from crop production and genetic resources conservation to processing and marketing. Network coordinator Gordon Prain, a CIP anthropologist, says that the projects have not only helped scientists and users make headway on critical issues in agricultural research, but they have also led to a better understanding of Asian root crop agriculture and food production systems in general.

"By paying close attention to how the systems really work, we can learn substantially more about achievable options for improvement," he says.

Taking the Less-Traveled Path

Dindo Campilan, assistant UPWARD coordinator, says that the projects have served as a vehicle for testing a variety of user-participatory methods and tools. Scientists share experiences and evaluate their research in light of their interaction with local users. These discussions also provide a basis for academic debate on



G. PRAIN

more general approaches to agricultural research and development.

Gelia T. Castillo, former CIP Board Chair and Professor Emeritus at the University of the Philippines at Los Baños, notes that UPWARD has chosen a complicated and difficult course. "But perhaps it is time to venture onto less-traveled paths in more marginal areas and in less-favored crops," she adds.

Castillo, who is senior advisor to UPWARD, contends that the traditional transfer of technology is undergoing a transformation. More and more, she says, scientists are listening to farmers and learning from their practices. This is reflected in the growing importance of gender analysis, the use of traditional landraces in improved crop varieties, farmer participatory breeding, the incorporation of social and economic data when characterizing ecosystems, on-farm research and conservation, and the development of farmer-tested methods for integrated pest, nutrient, and water management.

On the island of Mindanao, UPWARD researchers are working with rural women to increase the contribution of home gardens to the biodiversity of an upland watershed. In the process, farmers are enhancing soil fertility and improving household food security.

Local Control

Proponents of the UPWARD approach believe that the results are often more profound than those produced by more traditional research systems. In Jingning county in China's Zhejiang province, for instance, researchers, extension workers, and farmers together evaluated a number of options for boosting potato production and expanding the area under cultivation (see *following story*). Those involved in the project say that the cooperative approach helped transform potato into a cash crop in that area.

In the Terai region of eastern Nepal, researchers and potato farmers have jointly undertaken on-farm trials to test both indigenous practices and research-generated technologies for integrated management of late blight disease. They have found that adjusting planting dates, changing some cultivation practices, and adopting improved potato varieties allow farmers to reduce fungicide use while keeping late blight under control. More work is being done to explore ways to avoid losing stored potatoes to pests and diseases.

On the northern coast of Vietnam, farm families are working with local development agencies and national researchers to adapt sweetpotato as a novel raw material for starch and noodle processing. By using sweetpotato starch, in combination with the more traditional starch made from the Andean canna root (*Canna edulis*), farmers have improved product quality and increased profitability by reducing raw material costs.

Prain notes that researchers have long used farmers' and consumers' ideas to identify problems and refine research agendas. For the last six years, UPWARD has gone one step further: incorporating users in the research process itself. Now, he says, it is time for governments and institutions to make the user-perspective approach standard operating procedure and bring agricultural research and development more under local control.



*Users' Perspectives With
Agricultural Research and Development*

UPWARD Project Reduces Poverty in China

Poor farmers in the southern mountains of China's Zhejiang province traditionally relied on upland potatoes in the winter months for both food and livestock feed. Under mandatory quota systems, however, they were compelled to grow winter wheat, even though heavy rains made the region unsuitable.

That changed with the economic reforms of the late 1980s, when growers were given more freedom to select their crops. In Jingning county, enterprising farmers at lower elevations began replacing winter wheat with potatoes, timing harvests to have tubers in the markets when demand and prices peaked.

At the same time, field trials carried out by provincial and local research scientists showed that when potatoes were grown before rice in paddy fields, yields of both crops rose substantially. The improvement was especially marked at higher elevations, where most paddy fields remained fallow following the rice crop.

Enter UPWARD

Those events coincided with the establishment of the UPWARD network. As one of its initial projects in 1991, UPWARD began assessing options for increasing potato production in the rice-based cropping systems in Zhejiang province. Work focused on Jingning county, one of Zhejiang's poorest areas.

In line with the network's goal of reducing poverty, the project sought to boost food production and raise household incomes. Likewise, project activities were designed to tap the skills and energies not just of researchers and extension workers, but of farmers, consumers, and policymakers. The goal was to jointly develop and test technology innovations appropriate to the real needs of the people in the project area.

The principal researcher was Zhang Rentian of the Zhejiang Academy of Agricultural Sciences, located in the provincial capital of Hangzhou, 400 kilometers north of Jingning. The project was coordinated by Wu Jianhua of the Jingning Bureau of Agriculture. Both hoped that improvements in potato production in Jingning county would eventually spread throughout Zhejiang.

Major Changes in Production

They appear to have been right. While production of other winter crops has declined steadily, potato production has grown dramatically. Zhang and Wu estimate that provincial potato production expanded by 40 thousand tons (more than 9 thousand tons in Jingning alone), whereas revenues increased by US\$3.7 million during the first five years of the project. They say that much of the increase can be traced to project activities, which involved:

- Convincing farmers and local leaders of the value of growing potatoes in predominantly rice-growing areas;
- Introducing technology to shorten the potato-growing season (e.g., early-maturing varieties, plastic ground covers, improved row design, and changes in the timing of fertilizer applications);
- Improving the quality and health of potato seeds;
- Conducting (in cooperation with the local government) more than 350 training sessions for more than 18,000 farmers; and
- Producing and distributing training guides.

Dramatic Impact on Poverty

"What makes the project so impressive is not the speed of its development," says Gelia T. Castillo, UPWARD senior advisor. "It is the dramatic impact on reducing poverty in one of the poorest counties in the province."

In Jingning county, the planted area of paddy-field potato rose from 200 hectares to 2,000 hectares, thus effectively transforming a cereal-based farming system into a potato-centered one. The effect on household incomes has been impressive. In one target village, 12 farm households each planting one-sixth of a hectare of



G. PRAIN

potatoes in 1996 boosted their net annual earnings to \$609—more than eight times the average yearly income of county farmers in 1995.



In upland areas, income gains can be indirect, Zhang says. At elevations above 300 meters, farmers tend to use about half of their potato harvest to feed pigs, thus increasing their family protein intake and generating manure for fertilizer for other crops. Above 800 meters, planting potatoes has boosted rice yields by as much as 20 percent; combining the two crops, annual food production per land area can more than double.

Seed multiplication and supply have been long-standing problems for Zhejiang potato farmers. The UPWARD project evaluated 45 varieties from other parts of China, and screened and introduced four for local use. These were planted by more than 300 households in 1996 and are being multiplied for 1997. Meanwhile, the preferred local variety, Mongolia Big Potato, is being field-purified and multiplied for seed in the high mountains, where disease pressure is less intense. Zhang says that the preference of lowland farmers for highland seed is a boon for highland farmers.

Castillo describes the project's achievement as a "confluence of many factors, none of which by itself can explain success. All the components—policy, ecosystems, technologies, markets, training, applied research, and local institutional involvement—seem to have come together."


In China's Jingning county, the planted area of paddy-field potato rose from 200 hectares to 2,000 hectares, thus effectively transforming a cereal-based farming system into a potato centered one. In one target village, farmers boosted net annual earnings to \$609, more than eight times the average yearly income of other local farmers.

On-Line: <http://www.CIPotato.org>



INTERNATIONAL POTATO CENTER

- Biodiversity
- Seed Production
- Late Blight Disease
- Pest and Disease Mgt.
- Natural Resources Mgt.
- Crop Improvement
- Postharvest & Marketing
- What's New ?
- This Is CIP
- Marketplace

 CIP is a member of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research

C. LAFOSSE - N. FERNANDEZ-C

CIP's home page on the World Wide Web is the front door to the Center's Web site, located at <http://www.cipotato.org>. The home page provides connections to extensive, up-to-date information about the Center's crops, research programs, and regional and training activities. Designed for use by diverse groups of Center stakeholders, the home page allows users to guide themselves to files ranging from basic information about potato history to complex scientific and technical data. The home page also includes full-text copies of CIP's impact case studies. Over time, the Web site will be updated to expand user access to other publications and to Center research and bibliographic databases.

Information Technology: Compatibility, Performance, and Savings

In 1996, financial, logistics, and e-mail systems were migrated to the new NT systems architecture, which was established in 1995 to achieve substantial cost savings and performance benefits. With NT servers also operational at PROINPA in Cochabamba, Bolivia, and CIP-Quito, all key regional offices should have computer systems compatible with CIP-Lima in 1997. The CIPFIS financial management system (see pages 34-35) was the first to take advantage of CIP's global systems compatibility.

This first year of operations of the CGIAR integrated voice and data network (IVDN) has provided headquarters with improved e-mail performance, substantial cost savings on international telephone and FAX calls, and fast, on-line access to the Internet. Timely access to international databases now benefits both scientific and administrative staff in Lima.

CIP continues to strongly support the SINGER project (the CGIAR's Systemwide Information Network for Genetic Resources). In April 1996, CIP became the first CGIAR center to give the international community access to data on germplasm via the Internet and World Wide Web. In May, two staff members presented CIP's fully operational prototype system at a SINGER training workshop held in Africa.

Board of Trustees

Mrs. Martha ter Kuile
Chairperson
Ashton, Ontario, Canada

Dr. Hubert Zandstra
Director General
International Potato Center
Lima, Peru

Dr. Klaus Raven
Facultad de Agronomía
Universidad Nacional Agraria
Lima, Peru

Dr. Setijati Sastrapradja
Indonesian Institute of Sciences
National Centre for Research in Biotechnology
Bogor, Indonesia

Dr. Chukichi Kaneda
Association for International
Cooperation of Agriculture & Forestry
Tokyo, Japan

Dr. Moise Mensah
Cotonou, Benin

Dr. K. L. Chadha (Vice Chair)
Deputy Director General (Hort.)
Indian Council of Agricultural Research
New Delhi, India

Dr. Durward Bateman
Dean, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences
North Carolina State University
Raleigh, North Carolina, USA

Dr. Lieselotte Schilde
University of Tübingen
Tübingen, Germany

Dr. Koenraad Verhoeff
Wageningen, The Netherlands

Dr. Vo-Tong Xuan
Vice Rector
University of Cantho
Cantho, Vietnam

Dr. Adrián Fajardo-Christen
Ministerio de la Presidencia
Lima, Peru



Finance and Administration

CIP's 1996 income totaled a record \$26.3 million, 6% above 1995 figures. Of the total income for the year, the Center received \$22.1 million (84%), a figure that includes \$1.5 million in other income. The accounts receivable balance was \$4.2 million (16%).

The Center has been gradually rebuilding its reserve account, which reached \$1.1 million at the end of 1996, an increase of nearly 30% over 1995. The Center was able to continue doing this through savings in operating expenses.

CIP uses a centralized cash management system, which integrates all Center cash transactions, including those at headquarters and at regional and liaison offices. The system optimizes cash available for operations and short-term investment, thus reducing borrowing. Although \$4.2 million is receivable from contributions pledged for 1996, cash flow improved compared with 1995, and recourse to credit lines remained steady at fewer than 25 days.

Operational expenses by activity (%) for 1996 and 1997 (estimated).

Area	1996	1997
Research	70	71
Training	5	5
Information	3	3
Administration	8	8
General Services	10	9
Depreciation	3	3
Operating Fund	1	1
Total	100	100

BALANCE SHEET (US\$000)

1996

1995

Year ended 31 December

Current Assets

Cash and short-term deposits	6,501	4,347
Securities	81	89
Accounts receivable:		
Donors	3,485	3,873
Employees	388	404
Other	292	219
Inventories	863	845
Prepaid expenses	<u>998</u>	<u>1,350</u>
Total	12,608	11,127
Investments	483	572
Loans to employees	379	335

Fixed Assets


Property, plant, and equipment	21,365	20,674
Less accumulated depreciation	<u>(11,502)</u>	<u>(10,976)</u>
Total	9,863	9,698

Total Assets

23,133

21,712

On-line 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, the CIP Financial Information System (CIPFIS) provides research managers and administrators with immediate access to current budget status from headquarters or from the Center's regional locations. The system, developed at CIP to increase operational efficiency and optimize cash management, has proven highly effective.



The table below summarizes CIP's finances in 1996. A complete, audited financial statement by Coopers & Lybrand is published separately, and can be requested from the Chief Financial Officer at CIP headquarters in Lima, Peru.

BALANCE SHEET (US\$000)

1996

1995

Year ended 31 December

Current Liabilities

Short-term loans	414	393
Advances from donors	5,834	5,049
Accounts payable:		
Research contracts and organizations	1,554	1,317
Suppliers and taxes	1,010	972
Provisions for severance indemnities	<u>52</u>	<u>64</u>
Total	8,864	7,795

Long-term Loan

	515	686
--	-----	-----

Accruals and Provisions

	672	443
--	-----	-----

Net Assets

Capital invested in fixed assets	9,863	9,698
Capital fund	2,341	2,332
Operating fund	<u>1,078</u>	<u>778</u>
Total	13,282	12,808

Total Liabilities and Net Assets	23,333	21,732
----------------------------------	--------	--------

Donor Contributions in 1996

DONOR (ranked by levels of contribution in US\$000)	UNRESTRICTED & RESTRICTED	NON-AGENDA
Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation	4,638*	505
European Commission	1,900	
Germany-BMZ/GTZ	1,626	
World Bank	1,600	
Inter-American Development Bank	1,590	
Japan	1,400	
United States Agency for International Development	1,347	300
Netherlands	1,249	
United Kingdom Overseas Development Administration	1,080	
Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency	1,038	
Danish International Development Agency	999	
Canadian International Development Agency	803	
Australia-ACIAR	662	
International Development Research Centre	529	11
Belgium	512	
Austria	425	
United Nations Development Programme	370	
International Service for National Agricultural Research	290	
Luxembourg	250	
France	241	
Asian Development Bank	232	
Norway	139	
Islamic Republic of Iran	100	
China	90	
Korea	60	
Spain	50	
International Centre for Research in Agroforestry	45	
India	37	
OPEC Fund for International Development	27	
Weizmann Institute of Science	25	
Ministerio de Economía y Finanzas (Peru)		466
International Fund for Agricultural Development		57
Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations		50
ILEIA (Information Centre for Low External Input and Sustainable Agriculture)		50
Natural Resources Institute		45
International Plant Genetic Resources Institute		40
CARE Perú		26
USAID/Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical		9
USAID/University of Georgia		5
Grand Total		23,354

*Includes \$2.7 million in network and country research projects.

Staff in 1996

DIRECTORS

Hubert Zandstra, PhD, Director General
José Valle-Riestra, PhD, Deputy Director General
for Finance and Administration
Peter Gregory, PhD, Deputy Director General for
Research
Roger Cortbaoui, PhD, Director for International
Cooperation
Edward French, PhD, Associate Director for
Research (since May)
George Mackay, MS, Director of Genetic
Resources²

PROGRAM LEADERS

Production Systems

Thomas S. Walker, PhD

Germplasm Management and Enhancement

Ali Golmirzaie, PhD.

Disease Management

Edward French, PhD (until April)

Luis F. Salazar, PhD (since May)

Integrated Pest Management

Fausto Cisneros, PhD

Propagation, Crop Management

Mahesh Upadhyia, PhD

Postharvest Management, Marketing

Gregory J. Scott, PhD

INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

(country) = post location, but activity regional in
scope

country = post location

Latin America and the Caribbean (LAC)

Fernando Ezeta, PhD, Regional Representative
(Peru)

Liaison Office—Ecuador

Charles Crissman, PhD

Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA)

Peter Ewell, PhD, Regional Representative (Kenya)

Liaison Office—Nigeria

Humberto Mendoza, PhD⁴

Middle East and North Africa (MENA)

Aziz Lagnaoui, PhD, Regional Representative
(Tunisia)

Liaison Office—Egypt

Ramzy El-Bedewy, PhD

South and West Asia (SWA)

Sarathchandra Ilangantileke, PhD (India), Regional
Representative

East and Southeast Asia and the Pacific (ESEAP)

Peter Schmiediche, PhD, Regional Representative
(Indonesia)

Liaison Office—People's Republic of China

Song Bo Fu, PhD

Liaison Office—Philippines

Gordon Prain, PhD

INTERNATIONALLY RECRUITED STAFF Departments

Breeding and Genetics

Juan Landeo, PhD, Acting Head of Department,
Breeder⁴

Humberto Mendoza, PhD, Geneticist (Nigeria)⁴

Edward Carey, PhD, Breeder (Kenya)⁴

Enrique Chujoy, PhD, Geneticist (Indonesia)

Il Gin Mok, PhD, Breeder (Indonesia)

Haile M. Kidane-Mariam, PhD, Breeder (Kenya)⁴

¹ Staff who joined during the year

² Staff who left during the year

³ Staff funded by special projects

⁴ Project leader



G. CHANG

Genetic Resources

Ali Golmirzaie, PhD, Geneticist, Head of Department⁴
Carlos Arbizu, PhD, ARTC Consultant³
Marc Ghislain, PhD, Molecular Biologist⁴
Michael Hermann, PhD, Andean Crop Specialist (Ecuador)⁴
Zósimo Huamán, PhD, Germplasm Curator⁴
Koshun Ishiki, PhD, Associate Expert, Ecuador²
Carlos Ochoa, MS, Taxonomist, Consultant
Peter Schmiediche, PhD, Breeder
Bodo Trognitz, PhD, Geneticist⁴
Kazuo Watanabe, PhD, Cytogeneticist (USA)²
Dapeng Zhang, PhD, Breeder⁴

Nematology and Entomology

Fausto Cisneros, PhD, Entomologist, Head of Department⁴
Ann Braun, PhD, Ecologist (Indonesia)⁴
Aziz Lagnaoui, PhD, Entomologist (Tunisia)
Nicole Smit, MS, Associate Expert, Uganda³
Jean Louis Zeddam, PhD, Entomovirologist

Pathology

Luis Salazar, PhD, Virologist, Head of Department
John Elphinstone, PhD, Adjunct Scientist, UK
Gregory A. Forbes, PhD, Plant Pathologist (Ecuador)⁴
Edward R. French, PhD, Bacteriologist⁴
Teresa Icochea, PhD, Pathologist, Consultant⁴
Upali Jayasinghe, PhD, Virologist (Philippines)⁴
Rebecca Nelson, PhD, Molecular Pathologist¹
Pedro Oyarzún, PhD, Mycologist (Ecuador)³
Sylvie Priou, PhD, Bacteriologist³
Maddalena Querci, PhD, Molecular Virologist⁴
Lod J. Turkensteen, PhD, Adjunct Scientist, Netherlands

Physiology

Mahesh Upadhyay, PhD, Breeder, Head of Department⁴
Yoshihiro Eguchi, MS, Associate Expert (Indonesia)³
Ramzy El-Bedewy, PhD, Breeder, Egypt
Vital Hagenimana, PhD, Physiologist (Kenya)³
Oscar Hidalgo, PhD, Senior Seed Specialist⁴
Sarathchandra Ilangantileke, PhD, Postharvest Specialist (India)⁴

Noël Pallais, PhD, Physiologist⁴
Christopher Wheatley, PhD, Postharvest Specialist (Indonesia)⁴

Social Science

Thomas Walker, PhD, Economist, Head of Department⁴
Johan Brons, MS, Associate Expert (Philippines)^{1,3}
Alwyn Chilver, MS, Associate Expert, Indonesia³
Charles Crissman, PhD, Economist (Ecuador)⁴
Peter Ewell, PhD, Economist (Kenya)⁴
Robert Jan Hijmans, MS, Associate Expert³
Jan Low, PhD, Economist (Kenya)^{2,3}
Gordon Prain, PhD, Anthropologist (Philippines)⁴
Gregory Scott, PhD, Economist⁴
Julia Wright, MS, Associate Expert³

Training

Patricio Malagamba, PhD, Head of Department

Communications

Edward Sulzberger, MS, Acting Head of Unit (from Sept.)
Bill Hardy, PhD, English and Spanish Writer/Editor, Acting Head of Unit (until Sept.)

Information Technology

Anthony Collins, MS, Head of Unit³

Directors' Offices

Office of the Director General

Edward Sulzberger, MS, Senior Adviser

Office of the Deputy Director General for Research

José Luis Rueda, PhD, Coordinator, Andean Natural Resources

Special Country Projects

FORTIPAPA, Ecuador

Albéric Hibon, PhD, Economist, Team Leader^{2,3}

PROINPA, Bolivia

André Devaux, PhD, Seed Specialist, Team Leader³

Enrique Fernández-Northcote, PhD, Virologist³

Javier Franco, PhD, Nematologist^{3,4}

Graham P. Thiele, PhD, Technology Transfer Specialist³

Uganda

Nicole Smit, MS, Associate Expert³

Consortium

CONDESAN

Robert Jan Hijmans, Ir, Associate Expert³

Miguel Holle, PhD, Biodiversity of Andean Crops³

Carlos León-Velarde, PhD, Animal Production Systems³

Osvaldo Paladines, PhD, Andean Pastures (Ecuador)³

Roberto Quiroz, PhD, Land Use Systems Specialist³

Joint Appointments with Other Institutions

Walter Bowen, PhD, Nutrient Cycling Specialist, IFDC

Rubén Darío Estrada, MS, Natural Resources Economics, CIAT³

Networks

ASPRAD

Eufemio T. Rasco Jr., PhD, Coordinator (Philippines)³

UPWARD

Gordon Prain, PhD, Coordinator (Philippines)

Controller's Office

Carlos Niño-Neira, CPA, Controller

Office of the Executive Officer

César Vittorelli, Ing. Agr., Acting Executive Officer

NATIONALLY RECRUITED STAFF Departments

Breeding and Genetics

Walter Amorós, MS, Agronomist

Raúl Anguiz, MS, Agronomist²

Luis Calúa, MS, Agronomist²

Luis Díaz, MS, Agronomist

Jorge Espinoza, MS, Agronomist

Manuel Gastelo, MS, Agronomist

Elisa Mihovilovich, MS, Biologist

Daniel Reynoso, MS, Agronomist

Genetic Resources

César A. Aguilar, MS, Agronomist, Huancayo

Víctor H. Asmat, BS, Biologist

Jorge Benavides, BS, Biologist

Patricia G. Cipriani, BS, Biologist³

Walberto M. Eslava, Ing. Agr., Agronomist

René A. Gómez, MS, Agronomist

María del Rosario Herrera, BS, Biologist

Ana M. Hurtado, BS, Biologist³

Luis H. Ñopo, BS, Biologist³

Ana Luz Panta, BS, Biologist

María Gisella Orjeda, PhD, Biologist²

Matilde Orrillo, BS, Biologist

Flor de María Rodríguez, BS, Food Scientist

Alberto Salas, Ing. Agr., Agronomist

Jorge Tenorio, MS, Breeder

Judith Toledo, BS, Biologist¹

Fanny Vargas, BS, Agronomist

Nematology and Entomology

Jesús Alcázar, MS, Assoc. Agronomist⁴

Juan Cabrera, MS, Agronomist¹

Manuel Canto, PhD, Nematologist^{3,4}



A. SOLIMANO

Verónica Cañedo, Biologist
 Javier Carhuamaca, Ing. Agr.³
 Wilfredo Catalán, Ing. Agr.²
 Víctor Cerna, Ing. Agr.³
 Oder Fabián, Ing. Agr.²
 Erwin Guevara, Ing. Agr., Agronomist⁴
 Angela Matos, Ing. Agr.⁴
 Norma Mujica, Agronomist
 María Palacios, Assoc. Biologist⁴
 Alcira Vera, Biologist

Pathology

Pedro Aley, MS, Plant Pathologist⁴
 Ciro Barrera, MS, Plant Pathologist
 Ida Bartolini, MS, Biochemist
 Carlos Chuquillanqui, MS, Plant Pathologist
 Christian Delgado, MS, Biochemist⁶
 Violeta Flores, Biologist
 Segundo Fuentes, MS, Plant Pathologist⁴
 Liliam Gutarra, MS, Plant Pathologist
 Charlotte Lizárraga, MS, Plant Pathologist
 Hebert Torres, MS, Plant Pathologist⁴
 José Luis Zapata, MS, Plant Pathologist,
*Colombia*²

Physiology

Rolando Cabello, MS, Assoc. Agronomist
 Nelly Espinola de Fong, MS, Nutritionist
 Rosario Falcón, Biologist
 M.S. Kadian, PhD, Agronomist, *India*
 John Kimani, MS, Agronomist, *Kenya*
 Joseph Koi, MS, Agronomist, *Cameroon*
 José Luis Marca, Ing. Agr.
 Jorge Roca, Biologist
 K.C. Thakur, PhD, Breeder, *India*

Social Science

Cherry Bangalanon, MS, *Philippines*
 Rosario Basay, BA, Economist
 Patricio Espinoza, Economist, *Ecuador*
 Hugo Fano, MS, Economist
 Cristina Fonseca, MS, Agronomist⁴
 V.S. Khatana, PhD, Socioeconomist, *India*
 María Lozano, Computer Assistant
 Luis Maldonado, BA, Economist
 Margaret Ngunjiri, MS, Sociologist, *Kenya*
 Oscar Ortiz, MS, Agronomist^{2,3}
 Maricel Piniero, Ecologist, *Philippines*
 Víctor Suárez, MS, Statistician
 Inge Verdonk, Ir, Nutritionist, *Philippines*

Training

Nelson Espinoza, Training Specialist
 Martha Huanes, Training Logistics
 Américo Valdez, MS, Training Material Specialist

Research Support

Víctor Otazú, PhD, Superintendent, Support Unit
 Roberto Duarte, Ing. Agr., Field & Greenhouse
 Supervisor, La Molina
 Hugo Goyas, Ing. Agr., Field & Greenhouse
 Supervisor, Huancayo
 Lombardo Cetraro, Biologist, Field & Greenhouse
 Supervisor, San Ramón
 Mario Pozo, Ing. Agr., Supervisor, La Molina
 Experiment Station²

Statistics Unit

Alfredo García, MS, Experimental Statistics
 Felipe de Mendiburu, Statistics Eng.

Consortium

CONDESAN

Blanca Arce, MS, Assoc. Scientist,
*Quito, Ecuador*²
 Elías Mujica, MS, Anthropologist, Adjunct
 Scientist³
 Ana María Ponce, PhD, INFOANDINA
 Administrator³
 Jorge Reinoso, MS, Agric. Economics, *Puno,*
*Peru*³
 Mario Tapia, PhD, Agroecologist³
 Roberto Valdivia, MS, Agronomist, *Puno, Peru*³

Latin America and the Caribbean Regional Office

Sven Villagarcía, PhD⁴

Communications Unit

Cecilia Lafosse, Chief Designer
 Godofredo Lagos, Production Chief
 Emma Martínez, MS, Spanish Assistant Editor

Information Technology Unit

Edith Aguilar, Telecommunications Officer²
 Mónica Arias, BS, Systems Engineer
 Pablo Bermúdez, Systems Analyst
 Liliana Bravo, BS, Systems Engineer¹
 Roberto Castro, BS, Systems Development
 Eduardo Manchego, Systems Analyst²
 José Navarrete, Systems Analyst

Pía María Oliden, Systems Analyst
Eric Romero, BS, Systems Engineer
Edgardo Torres, BS, Systems Engineer
Alberto Vélez, MS, systems Engineer

Library

Cecilia Ferreyra, Head Librarian

Controller's Office

Miguel Saavedra, CPA, General Accountant
Edgardo de los Ríos, CPA, Senior Accountant
Vilma Escudero, Accountant²
Milagros Patiño, BA, Accountant¹

Accounting Unit

Jorge Bautista, Accountant
Blanca Joo, CPA, Accountant
Rosario Pastor, CPA, Senior Accountant
Eduardo Peralta, Accountant

Budget Unit

Denise Giacoma, CPA, Accountant
Alberto Montebancho, CPA, Senior
Accountant

Treasury Unit

Sonnica Solari, Chief Cashier

Office of the Director General

Visitors' Office

Mariella Corvetto, Supervisor

Office of the Executive Officer

Travel

Ana María Secada, Supervisor

Logistics and General Services

Aldo Tang, Comdr. (ret.), General Services
Manager

Front Desk

Micheline Moncloa

Maintenance

Antonio Morillo, Chief

Purchasing Supervisors

Arturo Alvarez
Roxana Morales Bermúdez²
José Pizarro

Security

Jorge Locatelli, Capt. (ret.), Supervisor²

Transportation

Hugo Davis Paredes, Vehicle Maintenance
Officer

Atilio Guerrero, Vehicle Programmer
Jacques Vandernotte, Pilot²
Djordje Velickovich, Pilot²
Percy Zuzunaga, Pilot

Warehouse

Jorge Luque, MBA, Supervisor

Human Resources

Lucas Reaño, Human Resources Manager
Juan Pablo Delgado, Human Resources
Manager²

Auxiliary Services

Mónica Ferreyros, Supervisor
Sor Lapouble, Assistant

Compensation

Estanislao Pérez Aguilar, Supervisor

Medical Office

David Halfin, MD
Lucero Schmidt, Nurse

Social Work

Martha Piérola, Supervisor

A. SOLIMANO



Selected Scientific Publications 1996

- Austin, D.F. and Z. Huamán.** 1996. A synopsis of *Ipomoea* (Convolvulaceae) in the Americas. *Taxon* 45(1):3-38.
- Blondet, C. and R.L. Durán.** 1996. El impacto potencial de la difusión de tecnología panificadora de camote en panaderías de Villa El Salvador. Documento de Trabajo no. 1996-2, CIP, Lima, Peru. 52 p.
- Bouis, H.E. and G. Scott.** 1996. Demand for high value secondary crops in developing countries: The case of potatoes in Bangladesh and Pakistan. FCND Discussion Papers no. 14. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), Food Consumption and Nutrition Division (FCND), Washington, D.C., USA. 46 p.
- Cabanilla, L.S.** 1996. Sweetpotato in the Philippines: Production, processing and future prospects. International Potato Center, University of the Philippines, Lima, Peru/Manila, Philippines. 100 p.
- Chávez, R., M. Upadhyaya, H. Mendoza, J. Espinoza, R. Cabello, P. Siles, G. Bollo, T. Meléndez, J. Eyzaguirre, C. Maquera, E. Guevara, K. Monasterio.** 1996. Nuevas hipótesis y avances de selección en el mejoramiento genético de papa y camote para adaptación a suelos áridos y salinos. *Rev. Consejo de Investigación (COIN), Ciencia y Desarrollo*, Univ. Jorge Basadre Grohmann (Perú). p. 32-54.
- CIP.** 1996. La batata en cifras: Un compendio de información clave y análisis para 33 importantes países productores de batata, producción, uso, consumo y alimentación animal. Lima, Peru. v p.
- CIP.** 1996. Sweetpotato facts: A compendium of key figures and analysis for 33 important sweetpotato-producing countries, production, use, consumption, feed use. Lima, Peru. v p.
- CIP.** 1996. Late blight: A global initiative. Lima, Peru. 21 p.
- CIP.** 1996. Principales enfermedades, nematodos e insectos de la papa. Lima, Perú. 111 p.
- Crissman, C. and A. Hibon.** 1996. Establishing seed potato prices: Concepts, procedures and implications for research and training. Social Science Department. Working Paper Series no. 1996-1, CIP, Lima, Peru. 24 p.
- Dayal, T.R., G.J. Scott, G.T. Kurup, and C. Balagopalan (eds.).** 1996. Sweetpotato in South Asia: Postharvest handling, processing, storage and use (Proceedings of a workshop). Trivandrum, India, 9-13 Sept. 1991. Central Tuber Crops Research Institute (CTCRI), CIP, Lima, Peru. 137 p.
- Díaz, J., P. Schmiediche, and D.F. Austin.** 1996. Polygon of crossability between eleven species of *Ipomoea*: Section *Batatas* (Convolvulaceae). *Euphytica* 88(3):189-200.
- Estrada, R.D.** 1996. Análisis ex post de la influencia de algunas variables macroeconómicas sobre la competitividad agropecuaria, equidad y conservación de recursos. In: Rivera, B. and R. Aubad (eds.). *IESA América Latina 1995: El enfoque de sistemas de producción y la incorporación de criterios de política: Memorias 2. Simposio Latinoamericano sobre Investigación y Extensión en Sistemas Agropecuarios*. Santa Fé de Bogotá, Colombia, 7-9 Nov. 1995. Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (CORPOICA), Bogotá, Colombia. p. 219-230.
- Fano, H., O. Ortiz, and T. Walker.** 1996. Peru: Inter-institutional cooperation for IPM. In: Thrupp, L.A. (ed.). *New partnerships for sustainable agriculture*. World Resources Institute (WRI), Washington, D.C., USA. p. 85-98.
- French, E.R. and G.R. Mackay (eds.).** 1996. Enhancing the global late blight network: Report of the project design meeting on the Global Initiative on Late Blight. CIP, Lima, Peru. 43 p.
- Fuentes, S., M.A. Mayo, C.A. Jolly, M. Querci, and L.F. Salazar.** 1996. A novel cuteovirus from sweet potato, sweet potato leaf speckling virus. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 128(3):491-504.



Gibson, R.W., R.O.M. Mwanga, S. Kasule, S. Fuentes, and E.E. Carey. 1996. Sweet potato feathery mottle and other viruses in Uganda. In: Proceedings of the regional sweetpotato workshop. Commission of the European Union, Technical Agricultural Center (TAC), Libreville, Gabon. p. 87-90.

Gitomer, C.S. 1996. Potato and sweetpotato in China: Systems, constraints and potential. CIP/Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), Lima, Peru. 183 p.

Golmirzaie, A.M. and L.F. Salazar. 1996. Application of biotechnology for conservation and utilization of Andean root and tuber crops and biosafety guidelines. *African Crop Sci. J.* 3(3):341-344.

Gregory, P. 1996. Global program to develop late blight resistant potato cultivars. *CGIAR News* 3(3):1.

Hagenimana, V., R.E. Simard, and L.P. Vezina. 1996. Method for the hydrolysis of starchy materials by sweetpotato endogenous amylases. U.S. Patent no. 5,525,154. June 11, 1996. 11 p.

Hijmans, R.J. and M.K. van Ittersum. 1996. Aggregation of spatial units in linear programming models to explore land use options. *Neth. J. Agric. Sci.* 44:145-162.

Holle, M. 1996. La biodiversidad es una de las bases del desarrollo sustentable. In: Rivera, B. and R. Aubad. (eds.). p. 77-78.*

Jacobsen, S.-E., J. Hill, and O. Stollen. 1996. Stability of quantitative traits in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 93:110-116.

Khatana, V.S., M.D. Upadhyaya, A. Chilver, and C.C. Crissmann. 1996. Economic impact of true potato seed on potato production in eastern and northeastern India. CIP, Lima, Peru. 19 p.

Mazón Ortiz, N., R. Castillo Torres, M. Hermann, and P. Espinosa. 1996. La zanahoria blanca o arracacha (*Arracacia*

xanthorrhiza Bancroft) en Ecuador. Publicación Miscelánea no. 67. Instituto Nacional Autónomo de Investigación Agropecuaria (INIAP)/Dept. de Recursos Fitogenéticos y Biotecnología (DENAREF)/CIP, Quito, Ecuador. 41 p.

Mendoza, H.A, E.J. Mihovilovich, and F. Saguma. 1996. Identification of triplex (YYYy) potato virus Y (PVY) immune progenitors derived from *Solanum tuberosum* ssp. *andigena*. *Am. Potato J.* 73(1):13-19.

Mujica B., E. and J.L. Rueda S. 1996. El desarrollo sostenible de montañas en América Latina: Actas de la segunda consulta regional intergubernamental sobre el desarrollo sostenible de montañas. CONDESAN/CIP/FAO, Lima, Peru. 318 p.

Pallais, N., J. Santos-Rojas, and R. Falcón. 1996. Storage temperature affects sexual potato seed dormancy. *HortScience* 31(1):99-101.

Programa Colaborativo Biodiversidad de Raíces y Tubérculos Andinos. 1996. Memorias 1994-1995. CIP/Cooperación Técnica Suiza (COTESU)/Consortio para el Desarrollo Sostenible de la Ecorregión Andina (CONDESAN), Lima, Peru. 370 p.

Quirós, C.F., A. Epperson, J. Hu, and M. Holle. 1996. Physiological studies and determination of chromosome number in maca, *Lepidium meyenii* (Brassicaceae). *Econ. Bot.* 50(2):216-223.

Quiroz, R., C. León Velarde, B. Arce, and D. Genin. 1996. Resultados de ensayos en ganadería con modelos de simulación. In: Rivera, B. and R. Aubad (eds.). p. 113-123.*

Rivera, J.J. and R.D. Estrada. 1996. Cuantificación ex ante del intercambio entre equidad, productividad y sostenibilidad para el diseño de alternativas tecnológicas: El caso del cultivo de arracacha en Colombia. In: Rivera, B. and R. Aubad (eds.). p. 101-112.*

Rodríguez Quijano, P. 1996. La papa y el desarrollo económico en Colombia. CIP/

• This list includes
• selected journal
• articles, book
• chapters, and CIP
• publications. CIP
• staff made many
• other contributions
• such as invited
• papers presented at
• meetings and
• published in
• proceedings. CIP's
• Library can provide
• a complete list of
• publications
• generated by CIP
• in 1996.

* See the entry for Estrada, R.D., for details on this publication.

- Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (CORPOICA), Lima, Peru. 114 p.
- Salazar, L.F.** 1996. Potato viruses and their control. Lima, Peru. 214 p.
- Salazar, L.F.** 1996. Aplicación de la microscopía electrónica en la virología vegetal. In: Castillo de Maruenda, E., N. Rojas Morán, and A. López Milla (eds.). Microscopía electrónica en el Perú. Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONCYTEC), Lima, Peru. p. 43-48.
- Scott, G.J.** 1996. Paradoxes and projections for potatoes in the 1990s. *Entwicklung + Landlicher Raum*. 30(3):20-22.
- Thiele, G., A. Devaux, and C. Soria.** 1996. Innovación tecnológica en la papa: De la oferta de tecnología al impacto macro-económico. In: Rivera, B and R. Aubad (eds.). p. 79-88.*
- Thro, A.M., R.N. Beachy, M. Bonierbale, C. Fauquet, G. Henry, G.G. Henshaw, M.A. Hughes, K. Kawano, C.J.J.M. Raemakers, W. Roca, C. Schopke, N. Taylor, and R.G.F. Visser.** 1996. International research on biochemistry of cassava (tapioca, *Manihot esculenta* Crantz) and its relevance to Southeast Asian economies: A Cassava Biotechnology Network review. *Asian J. Trop. Biol.* 2(1):1-30.
- Upadhy, M.** 1996. True potato seed technology to fight potato blight in developing countries. *Diversity* 12(3):67-68.
- Upadhy, M.D., B. Hardy, P.C. Gaur, and S.G. Ilangantileke.** 1996. Production and utilization of true potato seed in Asia. CIP/Indian Council of Agricultural Research, Lima, Peru. 233 p.
- Valdivia, R., E. Hualpa, V. Choquehuanca, and M. Holle.** 1996. Monitoring potato and *Oxalis* varieties in mixtures grown on farm family fields in the Titicaca Lake basin, Peru, 1990-95. In: Eyzaguirre, P. and M. Iwanaga (eds.). Participatory plant breeding: Proceedings of a workshop. Wageningen, Netherlands, 26-29 July 1995. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI), Rome, Italy. p. 144-150.
- Van den Berg, J.H., E.E. Ewing, R.L. Plaisted, S. McMurry, and M.W. Bonierbale.** 1996. QTL analysis of potato tuber dormancy. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 93(2):317-324.
- Van den Berg, J.H., E.E. Ewing, R.L. Plaisted, S. McMurry, and M.W. Bonierbale.** 1996. QTL analysis of potato tuberization. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 93(3):307-316.
- Velit Tamanaja, E.** 1996. Identificación de virus en papa (*Solanum tuberosum*). In: Castillo de Maruenda, E., N. Rojas Morán, and A. López Milla (eds.). Microscopía electrónica en el Perú. Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONCYTEC), Lima, Peru. p. 75-80.
- Walker, T.S. and C.C. Crissman.** 1996. Case studies of the economic impact of CIP-related technology. Lima, Peru. 157 p.
- Walker, T.S. and C.C. Crissman.** 1996. Estudios de casos del impacto económico de la tecnología relacionada con el CIP en el Perú. Lima, Peru. 32 p.
- Watanabe, K.N., M. Orrillo, A.M. Golmirzaie, S. Pérez, J. Crusado, and J.A. Watanabe.** 1996. Generation of pest resistance: Diploid potato germplasm with short day adaptation from diverse genetic stocks. *Breeding Sci.* 46:329-336.
- Yencho, G.C., M.W. Bonierbale, W.M. Tingey, R.L. Plaisted, and S.D. Tanksley.** 1996. Molecular markers locate genes for resistance to the Colorado potato beetle (*Leptinotarsa decemlineata*) in hybrid *Solanum tuberosum* x *S. berthaultii* potato progenies. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 81:141-154.
- Zandstra, H.G.** 1996. The CGIAR response to new challenges in agroenvironmental research in developing countries. In: Rivera, B. and R. Aubad (eds.). p. 335-342.*
- Zandstra, H.G.** 1996. Twenty-five years of international potato research, a retrospective and forward look. *Potato Res.* 39(4):395-401.

Core Research in 1996

Program, Project, and Activity

Locations and Partner Networks

PROGRAM 1: PRODUCTION SYSTEMS

Characterization of constraints and opportunities for potato production

Farmer participation in clonal evaluation	• Bolivia
Characterization of potato production systems	• Ecuador • PRAPACE
Fate of soil conservation in the Andes	• Ecuador
Benchmark sites and database development	• Peru

Characterization of sweetpotato constraints and opportunities

Sweetpotato characterization	• India • Southeast Asia • Sub-Saharan Africa
Users' Perspective with Agricultural Research and Development (UPWARD)	• Asia • China • Netherlands
Sustainability of sweetpotato cropping systems	• Uganda

Adaptation and integration of potato production technologies

Varietal adaptation to diverse agroecologies	• Bolivia • Cameroon • Chile • China
	• Indonesia • Peru • Philippines • PRAPACE
Expanding production to new regions	• India

Adaptation and integration of sweetpotato production technologies

Varietal adaptation to diverse regions	• China • Egypt • India • Indonesia • Kenya
	• Peru • SARRNET • Tanzania • Uganda

Evaluation of the impact and sustainability of potato production technologies

Impact assessment and size of NARS	• Argentina • Bangladesh • Bolivia • Chile
	• China • Colombia • Dominican Republic
	• Ecuador • Egypt • Ethiopia • India
	• Indonesia • Kenya • Madagascar • Nepal
	• Peru • PRAPACE • Sri Lanka • Taiwan • Vietnam
Pesticides and sustainability	• Canada • Ecuador • USA

A. SOLIMANO



Program, Project, and Activity**Locations and Partner Networks****PROGRAM 2: GERMPLASM MANAGEMENT AND ENHANCEMENT****Potato collection and characterization**

Collection, characterization, conservation,
and distribution

• Chile • Peru • USA

In vitro conservation

• Ecuador • Peru

Potato germplasm enhancement, application of molecular technology

Germplasm enhancement

• Peru • USA

Application of molecular marker technology

• Argentina • Costa Rica • Ecuador
• Germany • Netherlands • Philippines
• UK • USA

Potato genetic engineering for pest and disease
resistance

• Belgium • Bolivia • Peru • UK • USA

Sweetpotato collection and characterization

Collection, characterization, conservation,
documentation, distribution, and evaluation

• Argentina • Bangladesh • Brazil • China
• Indonesia • Philippines-UPWARD,

In vitro conservation and virus eradication

• Austria • Peru • USA • Venezuela

Collection and evaluation of indigenous
knowledge

• Indonesia-UPWARD

Sweetpotato germplasm enhancement and molecular techniques

Combining traits using conventional techniques
in diverse agroecologies

• China • East Africa (Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda)
• Indonesia • Peru • USA

Utilization of wild relatives of sweetpotato

• Japan • Peru • USA

Molecular techniques for sweetpotato improvement

• Canada • China • Japan • Peru • USA

Andean root and tuber crop collection and characterization

Germplasm management in farmers' fields

• Peru • Bolivia

Development of a network for ex situ conservation

• Ecuador • Peru • Bolivia • Brazil

In vitro conservation and distribution

• Ecuador • Peru • Bolivia

Pathogen eradication and seed production

• Ecuador • Peru • Bolivia

Commodity systems analysis

• Ecuador • Peru • Bolivia

PROGRAM 3: DISEASE MANAGEMENT**Control of potato late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*)**

Breeding and screening for resistance

• Argentina • Bolivia • China • Colombia
• Ecuador • Kenya • Mexico • Peru

Integrated control

• Bolivia

Fundamental host-pathogen research

• Ecuador • Kenya • Netherlands • Peru
• Philippines • Scotland • USA

Integrated control of potato bacterial wilt

Fundamental research for control strategies

• China • Colombia • England • Peru

Development of resistance

• Brazil • China • Indonesia • Mauritius

CIP's extensive
 research
 collaboration
 brings together
 many partners
 worldwide. This
 table summarizes
 CIP's core research
 activities in 1996,
 and the principal
 places and net-
 works involved.

Program, Project, and Activity	Locations and Partner Networks
Integrated control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nigeria • Peru • Philippines • Burundi • Kenya • Peru
<i>Combining resistances to potato viruses and fungi</i>	
Development of virus- and viroid-resistant materials	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peru • Poland • Tunisia
Interaction of potato viruses and fungi	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peru • Philippines
Selection of combined resistance to viruses and fungi	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Argentina • Brazil • Cameroon • Central America and the Caribbean • Colombia • East Africa • Ecuador • Egypt • Nigeria • Paraguay • Peru • Philippines • PROCIPA • Uruguay • USA • Venezuela
<i>Detection and control of potato viruses</i>	
Resistance to PLRV	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peru
Detection of viruses and viroids	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bolivia • Colombia • India • Peru
Transmission of potato viruses and viroids	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peru • Philippines
Identification of potato yellow vein disease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Colombia • Peru
<i>Identification and control of sweetpotato viruses</i>	
Detection, identification, and eradication of viruses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • China • Peru
Integrated control	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kenya • Madagascar • Rwanda • Tanzania • Uganda
<i>Control of bacterial and fungal diseases of sweetpotato</i>	
Resistance to diseases	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Southeast Asia • UK
<i>Molecular approaches for detection and control of pathogens</i>	
Genetic resistance and probe development	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • England • Peru
<i>Virology of Andean roots and tubers</i>	
Detection and characterization of viruses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bolivia • Ecuador • Peru
Elimination of pathogens	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peru
Production loss by viruses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bolivia • Ecuador • Peru

PROGRAM 4: INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT

<i>Potatoes with resistance to major insect and mite pests</i>	
Development of resistant genotypes for potato tuber moth and leafminer flies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peru
Potatoes with glandular trichomes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peru • USA
Transgenic potatoes with insect resistance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Belgium • Peru
Field evaluation of resistant plants	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Peru
<i>Integrated methods for control of potato tuber moth and leafminer fly</i>	
Generation of technologies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bolivia • Colombia • Dom. Republic • Peru

Program, Project, and Activity	Locations and Partner Networks
Use of sex pheromones and granulosis virus	• Bolivia • Colombia, Ecuador & Peru–PRACIPA
Applied field management	• Dominican Republic • Egypt • Tunisia • Bangladesh • Bolivia • Colombia • Dominican Republic • Egypt • Kenya • Morocco • Peru • Tunisia • Venezuela • Yemen
<i>Integrated methods for control of sweetpotato weevil</i>	
Development of resistance	• Asia • Cuba • Kenya • Peru • USA
Use of sex pheromones	• Cuba • Dominican Republic • Uganda
Biological control	• Bangladesh • Cuba
Applied field management	• Cuba • Dominican Republic • Indonesia • Kenya • Philippines • Uganda
<i>Integrated methods for control of sweetpotato nematodes</i>	
Development of resistance	• Peru
Applied field management	• Peru
<i>Integrated methods for control of Andean potato weevil</i>	
Development of resistance	• Peru
Cultural and biological control methods	• Bolivia • Colombia • Ecuador • Peru
Applied field management	• Bolivia • Colombia • Ecuador • Peru
<i>Integrated methods for control of potato cyst nematode and false root-knot nematode</i>	
Crop rotation schemes	• Peru
Applied field management	• Bolivia • Ecuador • Peru

PROGRAM 5: PROPAGATION, CROP MANAGEMENT

<i>Propagation of healthy clonal potato planting materials in diverse agricultural systems</i>	
Research support to in-country basic seed programs	• Bangladesh • Bolivia • Burundi • Cameroon • Colombia • Ecuador • Paraguay • Peru • Philippines • Uganda • Venezuela • West Africa
<i>Sexual potato propagation</i>	
Breeding for improved TPS families	• Argentina • Chile • China • India • Italy • Kenya
TPS agronomic adaptation to diverse agroecologies	• Bangladesh • China • Egypt • India • Indonesia • Italy • Morocco • Nepal • Nicaragua • Paraguay • Peru • Philippines • Sri Lanka • Tunisia • Vietnam
Studies on TPS production	• Bangladesh • Chile • India • Indonesia • Nepal • Peru
<i>Sweetpotato production through improved management techniques</i>	
Crop management practices	• Burundi • Cameroon • China • Peru • Philippines

Program, Project, and Activity	Locations and Partner Networks
Studies on tolerance of abiotic stresses Management of forage-type sweetpotatoes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bolivia • China • Egypt • Peru • Philippines • Peru
<i>Maintenance, international distribution, and monitoring of performance of advanced potato germplasm</i> Ongoing activities (seed units)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kenya • Peru • Philippines
<i>Maintenance, international distribution, and monitoring of performance of advanced sweetpotato germplasm</i> Ongoing activities (seed units)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kenya • Peru • Philippines
<i>Abiotic stresses and potato crop management</i> Breeding for improved tolerance of abiotic stresses Agronomic research for potatoes grown under stress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bolivia • Chile • Peru • Philippines • Southeast Asia • Egypt • Peru • Philippines • Uganda • USA
<i>Propagation of Andean root and tuber crops and management of Andean natural resources</i> Seed production, Andean root and tuber crops Management of Andean natural resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ecuador • Peru • Peru

PROGRAM 6: POSTHARVEST MANAGEMENT, MARKETING

<i>Expanding utilization of potato in developing countries</i> Low-cost storage of table and seed potatoes Potato breeding for processing Marketing and demand for potatoes Potato processing	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • India • Kenya • India • Peru • Philippines • PRECODEPA • Tunisia • Bolivia • China • Colombia • India • Indonesia • Kenya • Morocco • Netherlands • PRECODEPA • Tunisia • UK • UPWARD • USA • China
<i>Product development for sweetpotato in developing countries</i> Evaluation and distribution of elite sweetpotato materials for processing Marketing and demand for sweetpotatoes Processing of sweetpotato	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • China • Indonesia • Kenya • Peru • Philippines • Uganda • USA • Vietnam • Argentina • ASPRAD • Bangladesh • China-UPWARD • India • Indonesia • Kenya • Malawi • Netherlands • Peru • Philippines-UPWARD • USA • ASPRAD • China-UPWARD • India • Indonesia' • Kenya • Malawi • Netherlands • Philippines • SARRNET • Tanzania • Uganda • UK • Vietnam
<i>Postharvest management of Andean food commodities</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CONDESAN in Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, and Peru



Training in 1996

Program and Title	Countries Represented	Partner Institution
PROGRAM 1: PRODUCTION SYSTEMS		
Course on crop management and potato seed storage	Peru	MEF/CIP
International course on potato seed production	Argentina, Bolivia, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Honduras, Mexico, Peru, Uruguay, Venezuela	IDB
Potato production with emphasis on soil fertility management	Peru	CIP/PROMESPA/ Univ. Nac. de Ancash
Sweetpotato improvement workshop	Uganda	CIP
Sweetpotato postharvest research workshop	Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zaire	CIP/NRI
In-country course on sweetpotato	Egypt	CIP
Workshop for the formation of a network for the conservation of sweetpotato diversity in Asia	China, Indonesia, Japan, Korea, Papua New Guinea, Philippines, Thailand	CIP/IPGRI
On-farm seed potato production course	China	CIP/ESEAP/ Bashang Inst. of Agric. Research
Course on sweetpotato breeding	China, India, Japan, Korea, Philippines, Vietnam	CIP/ASPRAD



A. SOLIMAN

Program and Title	Countries Represented	Partner Institution
Course on potato tissue culture for the production of prebasic seed & basic virology	Argentina, Chile, Peru, Spain, Uruguay	CIP
PROGRAM 2: GERMPLASM MANAGEMENT AND ENHANCEMENT		
International course on Andean root crops	Bolivia, Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Venezuela	CONDESAN/CIP
Course on characterization, maintenance, & evaluation of sweetpotato germplasm	Argentina, Brazil, Dom. Republic, Ecuador, Paraguay	CIP/IDB
Molecular markers for germplasm management and enhancement of root and tuber crops	Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Indonesia, Peru, Philippines, Uruguay, Venezuela	UNDP/CIP
PROGRAM 3: DISEASE MANAGEMENT		
In-service course on phytopathology with emphasis on bacteriology & mycology	Peru	CIP
Advanced virology course	Bolivia, Colombia, Cuba, Kenya, Peru, Philippines, Uruguay	CIP
National course on DAS-ELISA virus detection	Peru	INIA/SINITTA/CIP
Workshop on potato late blight management & seed production	Cameroon, Egypt, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, Nigeria, Peru, Tunisia, Uganda	CIP
PROGRAM 4: INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT		
Introductory course on integrated pest and disease management of potato	Peru	CARE/CIP
Course/workshop on integrated pest management of potato	Bolivia, Nicaragua, Peru	IBD/CIP/INIA/Asoc. Arariwa
Int'l workshop on integrated pest management of potato	Colombia, Costa Rica, Dom. Republic, El Salvador, Panama	CIP/CORPOICA
Int'l course on integrated management of <i>Cylas formicarius</i>	Cuba, Dom. Republic, Venezuela	OPEC/CIP/INIVIT

An essential link in the technology generation-use process is the development of national capabilities to ensure adoption of CIP's research products. Every year, CIP organizes group training (workshops, courses, seminars) in regions as well as individual internships on subjects that respond to program needs, in alignment with CIP's research priorities. In 1996, 40 national scientists also received individual training in specific disciplines.

Program and Title	Countries Represented	Partner Institution
Sweetpotato tissue culture and virus indexing	Burundi, Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Uganda, Zaire	PRAPACE
In-country course on integrated pest management	Egypt, Peru	CIP
Inter-regional workshop on potato integrated pest management	Indonesia, Philippines, Thailand	UNDP/CIP
PROGRAM 5: PROPAGATION, CROP MANAGEMENT		
Seminar: potato production from true potato seed	Brazil	CIP
Workshop on the progress of the ADB TPS project	Indonesia, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Vietnam	CIP
True potato seed course	China, Indonesia, Mongolia, Peru	CIP—ESEAP
Other Activities:		
Course/workshop on integrated watershed management in the Andean ecoregion	Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Germany, Peru	ADEFOR/CONDESAN/CIP
Course on design, layout, & administration of field experiments	Peru	CIP
Planning workshop for mountain forum activities in Latin America	Colombia, Peru	CONDESAN/CIP
Workshop on the application of crop simulation models	Colombia, France, Germany, Netherlands, Peru, Spain	CIP/CONDESAN/Plan Meriss Inka/IMA/CBC
Regional conference on sustainable agricultural systems in the central Andes	Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Ecuador, Italy, Peru	FAO/CIP/IICA UNEP/ CONDESAN

Research Partners



AARI	Aegean Agricultural Research Institute, Turkey
ACIAR	Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research
ADB	Asian Development Bank
AGCD	Administration Generale de la Coopération au Développement, Belgium
AIT	Asian Institute of Technology
ARC	Agriculture Research Center, Egypt
ARCS	Austrian Research Centre at Seidersdorf
AREA	Agricultural Research and Extension Authority, Yemen
ASPRAD	Asian Sweetpotato and Potato Research and Development
BARI	Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute
	Benguet State University, Philippines
BMZ	German Ministry for Economic Development and Cooperation
	Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia
BRC	Biotechnology Research Center, Vietnam
CAAS	Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences
CARDI	Caribbean Agricultural Research and Development Institute, Trinidad
CBC	Centro Bartolomé de las Casas, Peru
CECOACAM	Central de Cooperativas Agrarias de Cañete y Mala, Peru
CEMOR	Cemor Editores & Promotores S.R.L., Peru
CGIAR	Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research, USA
	Chiang Mai University, Thailand
CIAAB	Centro de Investigaciones Agrícolas A. Boerger, Uruguay
CIAT	Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical, Colombia
CICA	Centro de Investigación en Cultivos Andinos, Peru
CIDA	Canadian International Development Agency
CIED	Centro de Investigación, Educación y Desarrollo, Peru
CIRAD	Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement, France
CIRNMA	Centro de Investigación de Recursos Naturales y Medio Ambiente, Peru
CLADES	Consortio Latinoamericano de Agroecología y Desarrollo
CNCQS	Chinese National Centre for Quality Supervision and Test of Feed
CNPH	Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Hortalças, Brazil
CONDESAN	Consortium for the Sustainable Development of the Andean Ecoregion
	Cornell University, USA
CORPOICA	Corporación del Instituto Colombiano Agropecuario
COTESU	Cooperación Técnica Suiza, Switzerland
CPRA	Centre de Perfectionnement et de Recyclage Agricole de Saïda, Tunisia
CPRI	Central Potato Research Institute, India
CPRO-DLO	Centre for Plant Breeding and Reproduction Research-Agriculture Research Department, Netherlands
CRIFC	Central Research Institute for Food Crops, Indonesia
CTCRI	Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, India
EMATER	Empresa de Assistência Técnica e Extensão Rural do Estado de Minas Gerais, Brazil
EMBRAPA	Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, Brazil
ENEA	Comitato Nazionale per la Ricerca e per lo Sviluppo dell'Energia Nucleare e delle Energie Alternative, Italy
EPAMIG	Empresa de Pesquisa Agropecuária de Minas Gerais, Brazil
ESH	Ecole Supérieure d'Horticulture, Tunisia
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Italy
FONAIAP	Fondo Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, Venezuela
FORTIPAPA	Fortalecimiento de la Investigación y Producción de Semilla de Papa, Ecuador
FUNDAGRO	Fundación para el Desarrollo Agropecuario, Ecuador
GAAS	Guandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China
GTZ	German Agency for Technical Cooperation
IAN	Instituto Agronómico Nacional, Paraguay
IAO	Istituto Agronomico per l'Oltremare, Italy
IAR	Institute of Agricultural Research, Ethiopia
IAV	Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire, Morocco
IBTA	Instituto Boliviano de Tecnología Agropecuaria





ICAR	Indian Council of Agricultural Research
ICIPE	International Centre for Insect Physiology and Ecology, Kenya
IDB	Inter-American Development Bank
IDEA	Instituto Internacional de Estudios Avanzados, Venezuela
IDRC	International Development Research Centre, Canada
IESR/INTA	Instituto de Economía y Sociología Rural del INTA, Argentina
IFPRI	International Food Policy Research Institute, USA
IIN	Instituto de Investigación Nutricional, Peru
IMA	Instituto de Manejo de Agua y Medio Ambiente, Peru
INIA	Instituto Nacional de Investigación Agraria, Peru
INIA	Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, Chile
INIA	Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, Uruguay
INIAP	Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias, Ecuador
INIFAP	Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Forestales y Agropecuarias, Mexico
INIVIT	Instituto Nacional de Investigación de Viandas Tropicales, Cuba
INRA	Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, France
INRAT	Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique de Tunisie
INSA	National Root and Tuber Crop Improvement Institute, Vietnam
INTA	Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria, Argentina
IPGRI	International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Italy
IPO-DLO	Institute for Plant Protection-Agriculture Research Department, Netherlands
IPR	Institute for Potato Research, Poland
IRA	Institut de Recherche Agronomique, Cameroon
ISABU	Institut des Sciences Agronomiques du Burundi
IZ	Instytut Ziemniaka, Poland
JAAS	Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China
KARI	Kenyan Agricultural Research Institute
LAC	Latin America and the Caribbean, CIP region
LEHRI	Lembang Horticultural Research Institute, Indonesia
LSU	Louisiana State University, USA
	Makerere University, Uganda
MARS	Mwara Agricultural Research Institute, Indonesia
	McMaster University, Canada
MEF	Ministerio de Economía y Fiananzas, Peru
MIP	Programa de Manejo Integrado de Plagas, Dominican Republic
	Mississippi State University, USA
MMSU	Mariano Marcos State University, Philippines
	Montana State University, USA
MPI	Max Planck Institute, Germany
MSIRI	Mauritius Sugar Industry Research Institute
NAARI	Namulonge Agricultural and Animal Research Institute, Uganda
	Nagoya University, Japan
NARO	National Agricultural Research Organization, Uganda
NCSU	North Carolina State University, USA
	Nijmegen University, Netherlands
NOMIARC	Northern Mindanao Agricultural Research Center, Philippines
NPRCRTC	Northern Philippine Root Crops Research and Training Center
NPRP	National Potato Research Program, Nepal
NRI	Natural Resources Institute, UK
OAS	Organization of American States
ODA	Overseas Development Administration, UK
OPEC	Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries
PCARRD	Philippine Council for Agriculture & Resources, Research & Development, Philippines
PDP	Potato Development Program, Nepal
PGS	Plant Genetic Systems, Belgium
PICA	Programa de Investigación de Cultivos Andinos, Peru
PRACIPA	Programa Andino Cooperativo de Investigación en Papa, CIP network





PRAPACE	Programme Régional de l'Amélioration de la Culture de la Pomme de Terre et de la Patate Douce en Afrique Centrale et de l'Est, CIP network
PRECODEPA	Programa Regional Cooperativo de Papa, CIP network in Central America and the Caribbean
PROCIPA	Programa Cooperativo de Investigaciones en Papa, CIP network in Southern Cone
PROINPA	Proyecto de Investigación de la Papa, Bolivia
PROMESPA	Proyecto de Mejoramiento de Papa, Peru
PSPDP	Pakistan-Swiss Potato Development Program Rothamsted Experiment Station, UK
SAAS	Sichuan Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China
SARIF	Sukamandi Research Institute for Food Crops, Indonesia
SARRNET	Southern Africa Root Crop Research Network
SCRI	Scottish Crop Research Institute
SDC	Swiss Development Cooperation
SEAG	Servicio de Extensión Agrícola y Ganadera, Paraguay
SEARCA	Southeast Asian Regional Center for Graduate Studies and Research in Agriculture, Philippines
SEMTA	Servicios Múltiples de Tecnologías Apropriadas, Bolivia
SENASA	Servicio Nacional de Sanidad Agraria, Peru
SINITTA	Sistema Nacional de Investigación y Transferencia de Tecnología Agraria, Peru
SPG	Sociedad Peruana de Genética
SPI	Smart Plant International, USA
SPPC	Seed Potato Production Center, Yemen Stanford University, USA
TALPUY	Grupo de Investigación y Desarrollo de Ciencias y Tecnología Andina
TARI	Taiwan Agricultural Research Institute
TCRC	Tropical Crops Research Center, Bangladesh
TFNC	Tanzania Food and Nutrition Centre Universidad de Ambato, Ecuador Universidad Austral, Chile Universidad Jorge Basadre Grohmann de Tacna, Peru Universidad Mayor de San Simón, Bolivia Universidad Nacional Agraria, Peru Universidad Nacional de Cajamarca, Peru Universidad Nacional del Centro del Perú Universidad Nacional Daniel Alcides Carrión, Peru Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Peru Universidad Nacional San Antonio Abad de Cusco, Peru Universidad Nacional San Cristóbal de Huamanga de Ayacucho, Peru Universidad Ricardo Palma, Peru Universidad San Luis Gonzaga de Ica, Peru Universidad Técnica de Cajamarca, Peru University of Birmingham, England University of Georgia, USA University of Nairobi, Kenya University of Naples, Italy University of Oxford, UK University of the Philippines, Los Baños University of Tübingen, Germany
UCRI	Upland Crops Research Institute, China
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme, USA
UPWARD	Users' Perspective with Agricultural Research and Development, CIP network
USAID	United States Agency for International Development
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USVL	United States Vegetable Laboratory
VISCA	Visayas College of Agriculture, Philippines Wageningen University, Netherlands
WE	World Education
XSPRC	Xuzhou Sweet Potato Research Center, China
YGPPP	Yemeni/German Plant Protection Project



CIP's Global Contact Points

(as of April 1997)

LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN REGIONAL OFFICE/HEADQUARTERS

■ Peru

International Potato Center
Apartado 1558
Lima 12, Peru
Phone: (51-1) 436-6920/435-4354
Fax: (51-1) 435-1570
Telex: 25672 PE
Cable: CIPAPA, Lima
E-mail: cip@cgnet.com or cip@cipa.org.pe

Research Stations

■ Ecuador

Estación Experimental INIAP Santa Catalina
Km 14 Panamericana Sur
Apartado 17-21-1977
Quito, Ecuador
Phone: (593-2) 690-362/63
(593-2) 690-990
Fax: (593-2) 692-604
E-mail: cip-quito@cgnet.com

Special Projects

■ Ecuador

FORTIPAPA (at Santa Catalina Station,
same as above)
Phone: (593-2) 690-695/364
Fax: (593-2) 692-604
E-mail: cip-quito@cgnet.com or
fpapa@cip.org.ec

■ Bolivia

PROINPA (IBTA-CIP)
Man Céspedes 0293
Casilla Postal 4285
Cochabamba, Bolivia
Phone: (591-42) 49506/49013
Fax: (591-42) 45708
E-mail: cip-proinpa@cgnet.com or
proinpa@papa.bo

SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA REGIONAL OFFICE

■ Kenya

P.O. Box 25171
Nairobi, Kenya
Phone: (254-2) 632-054/632-151
Fax: (254-2) 630-005

Telex: 22040 ILRAD
E-mail: cip-nbo@cgnet.com

Liaison Offices

■ Cameroon

c/o Delegation of Agriculture
North West Province
P.O. Box 279
Bamenda, Cameroon
Phone: (237-36) 2289 (public booth)
Fax: (237-36) 3893 or 3921 (public booth)
(237-36) 3284 (Skyline Hotel)
Telex: 58442 (NWDA)

■ Nigeria

c/o IITA
PMB 5320, Ibadan, Nigeria
Phone: (234-22) 400300-318 and 871-1454324
Fax: 871-1454325
Telex: TROPIB NG (905) 31417, 31159
Cable: TROPFOUND, IKEJA
E-mail: iita@cgnet.com

■ Uganda

CIP c/o IITA
P.O. Box 7878
Kampala, Uganda
Phone: (256-41) 341-554
Fax: (256-41) 236-918
E-mail: iita-uganda@cgnet.com or
iita-uganda@imul.com

Network

■ PRAPACE

PRAPACE/Uganda
P.O. Box 22274
Kampala, Uganda
Phone: (256-41) 235306
Fax: (256-41) 341242 or 346-148
E-mail: nbluta@imul.com

Liaison Office

(for Middle East and North Africa)

■ Egypt

P.O. Box 17
Kafir El-Zayat, Egypt
Phone: (20-40) 58-6720
Fax: (20-40) 58-0800
Telex: 23605 PBTNA UN
E-mail: cip-egypt@cgnet.com

SOUTH AND WEST ASIA REGIONAL OFFICE

■ India
IARI Campus
New Delhi 110012, India
Phone: (91-11) 574-8055
Phone/Fax: 573-1481
Telex: 3173140 FI IN
Cable: CIPAPA, New Delhi
E-mail: cip-delhi@cgnet.com

EAST AND SOUTHEAST ASIA AND THE PACIFIC REGIONAL OFFICE

■ Indonesia
c/o CRIFC
P.O. Box 929
Bogor 16309, West Java, Indonesia
Phone: (62-251) 317951/313687/333667
Fax: (62-251) 316264
E-mail: cip-bogor@cgnet.com
Lembang Annex
P.O. Box 1586
Bandung 40391, Indonesia
For courier mail/packages to CIP:
c/o Balai Penelitian Tanaman Sayuran, Jl.
Tangkuban Perahu 517
Cikole, Lembang, Bandung 40391, Indonesia
Phone: (62-22) 278-8155
Fax: (62-22) 278-6025
E-mail: cip-indonesia@cgnet.com

Liaison Offices

■ Philippines
Los Baños Office
c/o IIRRI


P.O. Box 933
Manila, Philippines
Phone: (63-94) 536-0235 (direct);
536-0015 ext. 248/274
Fax: (63-2) 891-1292 or 818-2087
E-mail: cip-manila@cgnet.com
g.prain@cgnet.com

■ Baguio Office
c/o NPRCRTC
P.O. Box 1051
2600 Baguio City, Philippines
Phone: (63-917) 506-0042/(63-74) 432-2439
Fax: (63-74) 443-8811
E-mail: cip-baguio@cgnet.com

■ China
c/o The Chinese Academy of Agricultural
Sciences
Bai Shi Qiao Rd. No. 30
West Suburbs of Beijing
Beijing, People's Republic of China
Phone: (86-10) 6217-9141
Fax: (86-10) 6217-9135
Telex: 22233 or 222720 CAAS CN
Cable: AGRIACA
E-mail: cip-china@cgnet.com

Networks

■ UPWARD
(same as Philippines—Los Baños Liaison Office)



CIP Global Contact Points and Networks

● CIP Country Liaison Office ■ CIP Regional Office

This list indicates
CIP's principal
contact points
worldwide, by
region. A more
detailed list, includ-
ing current staff
contacts, can be
obtained from the
office of the Direc-
tor for International
Cooperation.

CIP and the CGIAR: A Research Partnership



The Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research is an informal association of fifty-three public- and private-sector members that provides more than \$300 million annually to a network of sixteen international agricultural research centers, including CIP. The Group was established in 1971.

The World Bank, the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, the United Nations Development Programme, and the United Nations Environment Programme are cosponsors of the CGIAR. The Chairman of the Group is a senior official of the World Bank. The CGIAR is assisted by a Technical Advisory Committee, with a Secretariat at the FAO in Rome.

CGIAR Mission


The mission of the CGIAR is to contribute, through its research, to promoting sustainable agriculture for food security in developing countries. The CGIAR conducts strategic and applied research, with its products being international public goods. It focuses its research agenda on problem solving through interdisciplinary programs implemented by one or more of its centers in collaboration with a range of partners in an emerging global agricultural research system. Such programs concentrate on increasing productivity, protecting the environment, saving biodiversity, improving policies, and contributing to strengthening agricultural research in developing countries.

Food productivity in developing countries has increased through the combined efforts of CGIAR centers and their partners. These same efforts have helped to bring about many other benefits, such as reduced prices of food, better nutrition, more rational policies, and stronger institutions. CGIAR centers have trained more than 50,000 agricultural scientists from developing countries over the past twenty-five years. Many of them form the nucleus of and provide leadership to national agricultural research systems in their own countries.

CIP and the CGIAR

CIP was admitted to the CGIAR in 1972 in the belief that potatoes and other roots and tubers could provide new food alternatives to a hungry world. Because they are naturally high-yielding and genetically diverse, root and tuber crops can be grown in vastly different ecologies and cropping systems. According to the task required, they can be produced for food and fiber, as animal feed, or for a variety of industrial purposes.

Increasingly, this potential is being recognized as one of the last remaining options for meeting food requirements in the years ahead. Many countries, with centuries-old farming traditions based on cereals, are looking to root and tuber crops to increase food production and maintain economic growth. Many are achieving this goal by tapping into the research and technological options made available from the CGIAR's investment in the International Potato Center.



CGIAR Research Centers

CIAT	Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical	IFPRI	International Food Policy Research Institute
CIFOR	Center for International Forestry Research	IIMI	International Irrigation Management Institute
CIMMYT	Centro Internacional de Mejoramiento de Maíz y Trigo	IITA	International Institute of Tropical Agriculture
CIP	Centro Internacional de la Papa	ILRI	International Livestock Research Institute
ICARDA	International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas	IPGRI	International Plant Genetic Resources Institute
ICLARM	International Center for Living Aquatic Resources Management	IRRI	International Rice Research Institute
ICRAF	International Centre for Research in Agroforestry	ISNAR	International Service for National Agricultural Research
ICRISAT	International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics	WARDA	West Africa Rice Development Association



INTERNATIONAL POTATO CENTER

Av. La Universidad s/n La Molina • Apartado 1558, Lima 12, Peru
E-mail: cip@cgnet.com • On-line at: <http://www.cipotato.org>

