

Characterization of the farming and livestock production systems and the potentials to enhance productivity through improved feeding in the Subalpine Highlands of Amhara region, Ethiopia

S. Gizaw¹, T. Aschalew.¹, W. Lemma¹, T. Beneberu¹, G. Shenkute¹, J. Wamatu², W. Thorpe, A.J. Duncan³

¹ ARARI, Debre Birhan Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia

² International Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA), Aleppo, Syria

³ International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

October 2012



RESEARCH
PROGRAM ON
Livestock and Fish



Australian Government

**Australian Centre for
International Agricultural Research**

Introduction

The subalpine highlands of Amhara region are characterized by extreme cold and frosty climate, rugged terrain, degraded soil and unreliable crop production. Livestock, particularly sheep production is the mainstay of farmers' livelihoods. Livestock production is constrained by ecological, technical and economic limitations which result in severe feed shortages. Thus, the objective of the current study was to assess feed resource availability and utilization using a feed assessment tool (FEAST) within the context of the overall farming and livestock production systems and to determine the potential of site-specific feed interventions in selected areas within the subalpine highlands.

Methodology

Study site

The surveys were conducted in Menz-Gera-Gera and Angolela-Tera Districts. They are located in North Shoa zone of Amhara state. Menz-Gera-Gera, which lies 300 km from Addis Ababa represents areas where crop production is unreliable and the livelihoods depend predominantly on sheep production. It is less accessible to input and product markets. Angolela-Tera lies 120 km from Addis Ababa. Due to its proximity to markets and agroecology, it is more favorable for cropping. Sheep production and dairying are equally important as the district is located along the Debre Birhan-Addis Ababa milk shed.

Sampling method

Selection of villages

Two *kebeles* (village clusters) in each district were selected for the survey based on their proximity to the capital town of the District. In Menz-Gera-Gera, the *kebeles* selected were Dargegne and Sinamba. They are located 10.24°N, 39.44°E and 10.18°N, 39.37°E with altitudes of 3354 m and 3040 m above sea level (m.a.s.l.) respectively. In Angolela-Tera, Chefanen and Cheki were selected. They are located 9.32°N, 39.27°E and 9.28°N, 39.23°E with altitudes of 2769 m and 2845 m m.a.s.l. respectively.

Selection of participants

The criteria for selection of participants included gender, age and wealth classes based on land holding.

Survey structure and format

Two tools were used for the survey. A group discussion using the participatory rural appraisal approach was conducted in each *kebele* to describe the agricultural production systems and feed resource availability and utilization. A total of 12-15 farmers were selected from each *kebele* for the focused group discussions. A quantitative questionnaire was administered to three key informants, selected from the focus groups, to assess overall feed availability, quality and seasonality. The surveys were conducted from 24 to 30 April, 2012.

Data analysis

The FEAST excel macro program (www.ilri.org/feast) was used for data analysis. Narrative responses collected from the group discussions were examined and reported.

The Feed Assessment Tool (FEAST) is a systematic method to assess local feed resource availability and use. It helps in the design of intervention strategies aiming to optimize feed utilization and animal production. More information and the manual can be obtained at www.ilri.org/feast

FEAST is a tool in constant development and improvement. Feedback is welcome and should be directed feast@cgiar.org. The International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) is not responsible for the quality and validity of results obtained using the FEAST methodology.

Overview of the farming systems

The farming systems for Menz-Gera-Gera and Angolela-Tera districts are described comparatively. The farmers in both Menz-Gera-Gera and Angolela-Tera are entirely smallholders with a subsistence mode of production. However, in Menz-Gera-Gera, the average farm size is smaller and the percentage of landless farmers is higher than in Angolela-Tera (Table 1). The average household sizes in Menz-Gera-Gera (5.5) and Angolela-Tera (6.5) are comparable.

Table 1: Percentage of households that fall into various land size categories in Menz-Gera-Gera and Angolela-Tera districts

Category of farmers	Range of land size (ha)	% of households that fall into the category
Menz-Gera-Gera district		
Landless	0	10
Small	Up to 0.5	15
Medium	0.5 – 1.0	70
Large	More than 1.0	5
Angolela-Tera district		
Landless	0	2
Small	Up to 1.75	3
Medium	1.75 – 2.0	75
Large	More than 2.0	20

There are two rainy seasons, the main season (*meher*, June/July to December) and a short season (*belg*, February to May/June). The *belg* season has in the past years become highly unreliable. Irrigation is available to 5 % and 23 % of the households in Menz-Gera-Gera and Angolela-Tera respectively. The major crops grown and the average area for each crop in the two districts are shown in Figure 1.

Agricultural activities in both districts do not commonly require more than family labor. Farmers with large land holdings who may need extra labor get it through the traditional labor sharing arrangement (*wonfel, jige*) or hire it at a cost of approx. 25 Birr (USD 1.4) per day in Menz-Gera-Gera and 55 Birr (USD 3.2) per day in Angolela-Tera. Labor is mainly required during planting (August) and crop and hay harvesting (October-November).




Figure 1: Average area (ha) of dominant arable crops per household in Menz-Gera-Gera (A) and Angolela-Tera (B)

Livestock production systems

The proportion of households that keep sheep, local and improved dairy cows are 98 %, 60-80 % and 2.5 % in Menz-Gera-Gera and 96 %, 98-100 % and 24.9 % in Angolela-Tera. The relative importance of sheep rearing in Menz-Gera-Gera and improved dairying in Angolela-Tera can also be observed from the average holdings of these species as shown in Figure 2. Goats are kept by 10-40 % in Menz-Gera-Gera and 0-2 % of the households in Angolela-Tera. All households keep chickens. The contribution of livestock production is high compared to other farm and off-farm activities as shown in Figure 3.




Figure 2: Average livestock species holdings per household in Tropical Livestock Units (TLU) in Menz-Gera-Gera (A) and Angolela-Tera (B)




Figure 3: Primary contributors to household income in Menz-Gera Gera (left) and Angolela-Tera (right)

The livestock feeding management in Menz-Gera-Gera is largely based on grazing in communal lands. Dairy cows and oxen are supplemented with crop residues, hay and oats during the dry season. Feed processing is limited to chopping of crop residues. There is no concentrate feeding. Sheep rarely receive supplementary feeds, except during fattening. Routine health services are not accessible to some villages except occasional vaccination. Artificial insemination services are not accessible to most farmers or are not efficient with the rate of repeat services at 2-3 times. Each service costs 4 Birr (USD 0.2). Farmers rely mostly on free natural service using local village bulls. In Angolela-Tera, some farmers stall feed improved dairy cows and fattening animals using urea-treated straws and mixed rations containing crop residues, wheat bran, noug cake and salt during the dry season (December-June). Veterinary service is available, but costly and ineffective due to rogue traders who sell substandard products. Farmers are not satisfied with the rate of repeat service (2-3 times), thus most of them resort to natural mating using Holstein Friesian bulls at the cost of maximum 20 Birr (USD 1.2).

Feed resources: Availability, quality, seasonality

The feed resources in Menz-Gera-Gera district include natural pasture (grazing), cereal and legume crop residues, hay, green fodders (mainly weeds) and planted fodder (vetch and oats). These feeds are either obtained from communal lands (natural pasture) and private lands as by-products (crop residues and weeds), produced (planted fodder and hay) or are purchased (crop residues, hay and green fodder accounting for 55, 41 and 6 % of the feed purchased). Overall feed availability and quality is low because communal grazing lands are degraded, only few farmers produce cultivated fodder on small pieces of land (0.03 ha), crop residue yields are low due to crop failures, and commercial concentrates are unavailable. The major feed resources are naturally occurring feeds either collected (hay, weeds) or used *in situ* (grazing). The relative contributions of the different feed sources to the total dry matter (DM), metabolizable energy (ME) and crude protein (CP) contents of the total diet are shown in Figures 4-6. There is a seasonal variation in the contribution of these feeds as depicted in Figure 7. Generally feed availability is high during the rainy season (August-October) and crop harvesting period (November - January), the major contributors being grazing/green forages and crop residues/hay, respectively. The available feed resources in Angolela-Tera are similar to Menz-Gera-Gera District. However, more fodder crops (vetch, oats, lucerne and canary grass) on a larger area (0.38 ha) are grown and there is a better practice of concentrate use than in Menz-Gera-Gera because of the higher level of improved dairying in the Debre Birhan-Addis milk shed. Commercial concentrates (wheat bran and noug cake) account for 83 % of the feeds purchased, the remaining 17 % being hay.




Figure 4: The contribution of feed resources to total dry matter content in diets in Menz-Gera-Gera (A) and Angolela-Tera (B)




Figure 5: The contribution of feed resources to total metabolizable energy content of diets in Menz-Gera-Gera (left) and Angolela-Tera (right)




Figure 6: The contribution of feed resources to total crude protein content of diets in Menz-Gera-Gera (left) and Angolela-Tera (right)





Figure 7: Seasonality of feed resource availability and their seasonal contribution to the total diet in Menz-Gera-Gera (A) and Angolela-Tera (B)

Problems, solutions, opportunities and issues

Livestock are a major source of livelihood in both districts. However, livestock production is constrained by a multitude of factors. The major problems and their priority ranking according to farmers are presented in Table 2. Feed shortage was identified by farmers as the most important problem in both districts. The subalpine highlands in Amhara region are characterized by high soil degradation, frosty and sub-moist climate and land shortage. Thus, there is poor grazing both in terms of availability and quality. The above characteristics also limit the development of planted forages and the availability of crop residues. However, there are opportunities for designing improved feed development and utilization strategies. Solutions proposed by farmers and existing opportunities and limitations for the three top ranking problems are presented in Table 3. Potential interventions are suggested considering proposed solutions from farmers and existing opportunities and limitations (Table 4).

Key issues

- Technological limitations: There are limited high yielding forage species adapted to the subalpine highlands. The ones currently in use (vetch and tree lucerne) are low yielders.
- Resource allocation: The root cause for the current feed shortage is the declining resources dedicated to livestock production. There is increasing “encroachment” of grazing resources, available lands being used for crop production and livestock being excluded from mountains due to soil conservation projects. There is also limited opportunity for the development of cultivated forage due to small land holdings.
- Disease, traditional breeding and management practices limit livestock productivity and hence income, resulting in poor incentives for farmers to adopt improved technologies.
- Attitude of farmers towards livestock production: Livestock, particularly sheep, are kept as scavengers with practically no input, though they are the major source of livelihoods.

Table 2: Farmers’ rankings of the major problems constraining livestock production in Menz-Gera-Gera and Angolela-Tera

Problems (in order of importance)	Menz-Gera-Gera	Angolela-Tera
1	Feed shortage	Feed shortage
2	Disease	Disease
3	Uncontrolled breeding	Lack of management knowhow
4	Lack of improved breeding stock	Lack of improved breeding stock
5	Grazing land shortage	Grazing land shortage
6	Marketing	Marketing

Table 3: Proposed farmer solutions to prevailing problems and associated opportunities in Menz-Gera-Gera and Angolela-Tera

Menz-Gera-Gera		
Problems	Farmers proposed solutions	Opportunities and limitations
Feed shortage	<p>Setting aside part of crop land for growing forages</p> <p>Improve forage seed supply; regulations to stop turning grazing lands into crop lands</p> <p>Timely collection and conserving feed for the dry season (hay and crop residues)</p>	<p>Land holdings are too small even for food crop production in Menz-Gera region, but farmers expressed willingness to dedicate small plots for forage crops</p> <p>The available improved forage crops suitable to the subalpine ecology are limited to two species (vetch and tree Lucerne), thus there is an opportunity to increase biomass by introducing more and high yielding species. Soil conservation structures are an important entry point to increase forage biomass where land for cultivated forages is limited. Grazing lands are increasingly being cultivated for growing food crops and livestock are being excluded from mountain closure areas with soil conservation structures. The opportunity to increase feed availability exists if a compromise policy and strategy for food crop production, soil conservation and livestock development is devised</p> <p>Farmers are willing to adopt improved sheep production technologies as sheep are the major source of livelihoods. Yet, conserved feeds (hay and crop residues) are mainly fed to cows and oxen. Therefore, training on rational utilization of feed resources is required. Strategic feeding of these feed resources could include ewe supplementation some weeks before mating (flushing) and lambing (steaming-up) to improve reproductive performance and reduce lamb mortality through increased birth weights. The strategy is feasible as most of the lambings are concentrated in August and September, although there are some off-season lambings. Strategic feeding may also include feeding improved crop residues for fattening animals. However, available technologies for improving crop residue quality need to be exploited</p>
Disease	<p>Availing regular vaccination and spraying; improved feeding; accessibility to animal health service posts</p>	<p>Health service coverage is reasonably developed. However, they need to be well functioning in terms of providing quality services</p>
Uncontrolled breeding	<p>Applying recommended breeding seasons; herding male and females during off-season periods</p>	<p>Farmers have become aware of the need for controlled breeding. However, village conditions such as communal grazing do not allow for controlled breeding. Thus there is a need for research and development efforts to design appropriate village breeding programs. Debre Birhan Research center and ILRI are currently working on designs of community-based cooperative breeding programs and model villages have been established. This is an opportunity that needs to be scaled-up</p>

Angolela-Tera		
Problems	Farmers proposed solutions	Opportunities and limitations
Feed shortage	Improving availability and access (affordable price) to commercial concentrates Setting aside part of crop land for growing forages Limiting herd size	Availability of irrigation and conducive climate for feed development Existence of dairy cooperatives is an opportunity to provide input supplies such as concentrate feeds and seeds as well as milk sale at fair prices
Disease	Genuine drug supply Availing regular vaccination Improved management and hygiene	Veterinary services are well available. Regulation of private practices as well as quality and efficient services from public clinics is required
Lack of management / knowhow	Improving extension service and applying expert advices Training and awareness creation	Farmers are already aware of improved management intervention. Hence, a careful sociological study may reveal the real constraints to adopting improved management: is the factor lack of knowhow, resource limitation, tradition or specialization? Appropriate delivery of training is required

Table 4: Potential interventions derived from farmers solutions and existing opportunities

Problems identified by farmers	Menz-Gera-Gera	Angolela-Tera
Feed shortage	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Integration of forage trees with soil conservation practices Forage tree as live fences Crop residue treatment Crop residue-based rations Provision of concentrates through cooperatives Regulations and policy issues for grazing lands and their rehabilitation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cultivated forage Forage trees as live fences Crop residue treatment Crop residue-based rations
Disease	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community-based health services Private health services (USAID model)* 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Private health services (USAID model)*
Uncontrolled breeding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community-based cooperative breeding scheme 	-

* USAID model: Training and equipping of community animal health workers (CAHWS) in villages and provision of starting capital for private veterinary clinic entrepreneurs which are supposed to supply drugs for CAHWS

Conclusions

There are solutions and opportunities to reverse the current feed shortage in these areas. However, there are also limitations to introducing improved feed development interventions. The limitations or issues include land shortage, conflicts between different development strategies (e.g. soil conservation vs. livestock production) and farmers' subsistence mode of production. Thus, the strategy for improving availability and utilization of feeds needs to consider policy and strategic issues, beyond generating and disseminating livestock technologies.

Observations on FEAST

Strengths

- A holistic approach – FEAST considers resources, socio-economic status, cropping system and non-feed aspects of livestock systems
- The tool can be used for a rapid appraisal of other aspects of livestock systems
- Integrates indigenous (participatory) and modern animal science knowledge and methods
- Enables rapid and facilitated data collection, analysis and interpretation
- FEAST is very user- friendly, also allows for the editing of basic parameters

Weaknesses

- The sample size is small: FEAST accommodates data from only six respondents for individual interviews, which is only two per wealth category. If data from more than six respondents is entered, FEAST gives error messages when trying to edit the information. Information from a single respondent from each wealth category in each sampling site (*kebele* in the case of this study) is far from representative. The attempt to use a more representative data by doubling the number of respondents was not successful because of the limitation in the FEAST template
- Contribution of farm activities to income: FEAST Excel template for contribution of sheep is limited to fattening sheep. This could be misleading to users as there are more important classes of sheep (such as yearlings)
- Some difficult questions – Trend data by month (e.g. milk yield, prices) are difficult for farmers to recall. Such data could be easier for farmers and more realistic if asked by season

Way forward

- Beyond technical interventions: There is a need for a rational and a compromise approach for crop, natural resource (soil) conservation and livestock development strategy. The current recommendation of closing hillside grazing with soil conservation structures and of stall feeding of livestock may work for production systems with a few dairy cows or a few fattening animals. Even for such systems, forage development with a cut-and-carry system needs to be an integral component of the soil conservation projects from the outset. However, a different strategy may be required in production systems with large breeding flocks (e.g. Menz-Gera area). Such extensive systems are the sole or main source of livelihoods for the farmers in these systems. Extensive systems are also the source of fattening animals for the intensive fattening systems (e.g. the Oromo breeders keeping large flocks are the source of fattening animals for farmers in coffee-enset areas in Sidama and Gurage zones in Southern Ethiopia, where keeping breeding flocks is impossible due to land shortage) and for the export markets which require large volumes of supply. Stall feeding in such areas does not work!

- Improvements in the value chain, particularly input supply and marketing of products are required so that farmers get their fair share of the value of their animals, which in turn acts as an incentive for adopting improved technologies (Angolela-Tera farmers have a better feed development and utilization practice than Menz-Gera farmers because of their cooperative approach to input supply and marketing of their dairy products).
- A holistic approach: Problems that constrained livestock productivity (diseases and traditional breeding and management) and hence farmers income and incentives to adopt improved technologies that require resource reallocation (such as planted forage development) need to be considered along with feed technologies.
- Technical interventions: Introduction of high yielding forage species, exploiting feed treatment technologies to utilize the bulk of the feed resources (crop residues and hay) and farmer training.