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Summary

EIGHTEEN plants traditionally used for the treatment of human and animal helminthiasis in Africa were screened for anthelmintic activity using the Nippostrongylus—rat model. Aloë barteri, Terminalia avicennioides, Annona senegalensis, Cassia occidentalis, Anogeissus leiocarpus and Diospyros mespiliformis showed significant activity, giving deparasitizations of 92, 89, 75, 69, 60 and 58% respectively compared to untreated controls.

Introduction

Helminthiasis has long been recognized as a major constraint to the productivity of ruminants in Nigeria and elsewhere, and has been the cause of serious economic losses (Akerejola et al, 1979; Okun et al, 1980). For example in Nigeria the economic loss due to helminthiasis in small ruminants alone has been estimated to be at least 144 million naira annually, through death, weight loss and liver condemnation (Akerejola et al, 1979). In addition more than 800 million people in the world are affected by helminthiasis (Stoll, 1947). Helminths are more widespread in tropical regions due to climatic and sociological factors (Cavier,1973).

The major control measure against helminthiases in Nigeria is chemotherapy. However, the availability of drugs varies (Okun et al,1980).

The significance of helminthiases has been recognized by local people and herdsmen from the earliest times who have made various attempts at control through the use of medicinal plants. Fulani herdsmen in Nigeria recognize animal helminthiasis to be a problem of greatest significance in calves of less than a year old and routine herbal treatment is started within 1 week of birth (Ibrahim et al,1983a).

Only a few of the plants used traditionally as anthelmintics in Africa have previously been studied. Hunteria umbellata (Polyadoa umbellata), which has been used as an anthelmintic for humans in Nigeria, was shown to have the same anti-ascarid potency as pure piperazine base by Onuaguluchi (1964). The plants Combretum mucronatum and Mitragyna stipulosa, used for the treatment of guinea-worm in African traditional medicine, were found to be effective against helminthiasis and their use has been recommended, as has that of a combination of Elaeophorbia drupifera and Hillaria latifolia (Ampofo, 1978).

A number of plants, either those used in African traditional medicine as anthelmintics or species closely related to them, have been tested elsewhere and some have been found to be useful. Raw garlic (Allium sativum), used in Nigeria as a vermifuge (Dalziel, 1937), was shown to have some activity against Ascaridia galli in chicken (Das and Thakuria, 1977) and to inhibit the embryonation of the eggs of Necator americanus and Ancylostoma caninum (Bastidas,1970). The juice of Citrus aurantifolia is used as a vermifuge in Senegal and Sierra Leone and as a
treatment for dysentery in West Africa (Dalziel, 1937). A number of *Citrus* species such as *C. decumara*, *C. acida*, *C. aromaticum* and *C. medica* have been found to be active against *Ascaris lumbricoides* (Kaleysa, 1975).

*Diospyros mespiliformis* is used in Nigeria as a veterinary vermifuge and as a remedy for dysentery in humans (Dalziel, X937). Diospyrol, from the related plant *D. mollis*, was shown to be superior to bephinium against *Necator americanus* in hamsters and against *Hymenolepis nana* in mice (Sen et al. 1975). Decoctions of the berries of *D. mollis* have been used in antihookworm campaigns in Thailand (Sadavongvivad, 1980). Similarly several *Cucurbita* species, such as *C. maxima*, *C. moschata* and *C. aromatica*, have been shown to be taeniacidal in mice (Albert et al., 1972), in rats (Srivastava and Singh, 1967) and in man (Lozoya, 1978; Plotnikov et al., 1973).

Some of the plants used as traditional anthelmintics in Africa have been studied and the chemical basis of their action outlined. The alkaloids pelletierine and isopelletierine are responsible for the taeniacidal action of *Punica granatum* (Oliver, 1960). The main taeniacidal components of the female flowers of *Hagenia abyssinica*, used in Ethiopian traditional medicine, are Phloroglucinol derivatives (α–kosin, β–kosin, protokosin and kosotoxin) (de Carneri and Vita, 1973).

There are also some plants common in Nigeria whose use as anthelmintics elsewhere has been confirmed experimentally. Such plants include *Tribulus terrestris* whose anti-ascarid use in India was confirmed against *Ascaridia galli* (Chakraborty et al., 1979), as well as *Anacardium occidentale*, the oil of which is active against *Ascaridia galli* in chicken (Varghese et al., 1971) and against hookworms in dogs and man (Cavier, 1973).

However, most of the plants used to treat helminthiasis in Africa have not been studied. It is important to screen local herbs in order to supplement modern drugs and to provide new chemical leads. Effective herbs may be used where modern drugs are not available, or when the herbs prove to be more effective, safer or less costly. There is also a need to establish standard dosages for herbal preparations, and to investigate their toxicity (Nwude and Ibrahim, 1980; Oliver, 1960) as traditional medicine is still very much in use.

This study was designed to detect and salvage traditional anthelmintic herbs which may be used for the control of parasitic gastroenteritis in animals using *Nippostrongylus braziliensis* as the indicator organism in rats.

**Methods**

Information was collected from Fulani herdsmen in Zonkwa, Kurmin Biri and Abet in Kaduna State on the plants used in the control of parasitic gastroenteritis in cattle. The plants' vernacular names, parts used, method of preparation, route and frequency of administration, and any side effects resulting from their use in animals or man were also recorded. The plants used were collected and identified.

Similar information was then reviewed from the literature on plants used in man and animals for the control of helminthiasis.
Plants to be screened for activity against helminths were selected from the information collected on the basis of accessibility. Selected plants were collected and their identity confirmed.

**Plant material**

Selected plants were collected for extraction in the dry season (April 1982 and November 1982 to April 1983). As much as possible the parts used in traditional medicine were collected. Root, leaf, bark, seed and stem material were dried in locally designed and constructed wire trays. The dried material was then pounded to powder in a wooden mortar. Where the juice was used, this was done within one hour of collection.

The dried, powdered material was mixed with methanol in a ratio of 1:5 w/v in large containers and allowed to stand for 48 hours at room temperature. The liquid was then decanted and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness at 40°C under low pressure using a rotary evaporator coupled to a thermoregulator. The solid extract obtained was removed and stored in bottles at 4°C until required.

Leaf juices were obtained by pounding fresh samples in a mortar and then extracting the juice by straining through several layers of gauze. The juice was filtered and stored at 4°C until required.

The maximum convenient concentration (MCC) of each solid extract was estimated by determining the minimum amount of water that dissolved or suspended 1.0 g of the extract such that the solution or suspension could be easily delivered through an 18 gauge needle at room temperature. A solution of the extract at the MCC was then made and stored at 4°C until required.

It was assumed that the maximum concentration that could conveniently be administered orally to rats was 5 ml/kg. The highest dose that could be administered to rats (HDR) was then calculated by multiplying the maximum concentration by 5 for each extract. In the case of proprietary drugs, it was assumed that the maximum concentration was that used in the commercial preparation, and the highest dose was calculated as above. This dose was used to test the toxicity and activity of the extract. Leaf juices were used at 5 ml/kg as was water in the case of control animals.

**Experimental animals**

White rats bred in the Department of Veterinary Physiology and Pharmacology at Ahmadu Bello University and weighing 50 to 100 g were used. The animals were maintained on commercial mice cubes (Pfizer Livestock Feed Co. Ltd. Kaduna) and water. Sawdust was used as bedding and was changed every 2 days. Individuals were identified by marks on their tails and cages. Random faecal samples were collected from rats in the animal room and examined regularly for helminth ova, and the entire rat population in the animal room was dewormed by adding piperazine at 7 mg/ml/day to their drinking water for 7 days once every 2 months. Young animals were separated from adults at an early age, and all rats artificially infected were kept in a separate room to prevent contamination of the controls.
Pilot toxicity studies

Prior to screening, the effect of each extract following oral administration with the maximum dose (HDR) once a day for 3 consecutive days was tested. Three to five rats were used to test each extract. For each batch of rats one group of five rats was used as control and the rats were dosed orally with water at the rate of 5 ml/kg for the same duration. Animals were observed for 2 weeks after administering the test substance. Extracts producing a lethal effect at this dose were subjected to further investigation to determine the maximum tolerated dose by dose titration using 5 rats for each dose level and a log interval of 1.6. For toxic extracts, the maximum tolerated dose was used for the chemotherapeutic trials.

Helminth material

A rat adapted strain of *Nippostrongylus braziliensis* Travassos, 1914, (Nematoda: Trichostrongylidae) was used in the laboratory as the primary screen. It was maintained in the laboratory rat by bimonthly passage. Young rats were injected subcutaneously in the cervical region with 2000 infective larvae in a volume of 0.2 ml for maintenance, using an 18 gauge needle attached to an insulin syringe (Standen, 1963).

Coprocultures

The droppings of rats artificially infected with *N. braziliensis* were collected and soaked in water for 4 hours. Excess water was then decanted, the faeces crushed in a glass mortar, transferred to plastic containers in lots of about 5.0 g and shaken with glass beads. The material was then mixed with about 1 litre of water and strained through several layers of gauze. The filtrate was transferred to jars. The supernatent solution was then discarded and the sediment mixed with vermiculite in labelled plastic petri dishes and incubated at 27°C for 7 days.

The infective 3rd stage larvae (L3) were recovered from 7 to 14 day old vermiculite—faecal cultures using modified Baermann apparatus. The L3 obtained were washed several times with distilled water and their number determined by dilution counting. The volume was then adjusted to give 200 L3 in 0.2 ml for screening, and 2000 L3 in 0.2 ml for maintenance.

Artificial infection of rats

Rats were infected subcutaneously in the cervical region with 200 L3 per 0.2 ml per rat using an 18 gauge needle attached to an insulin syringe on day 0. Fresh faecal pellets were collected from each rat by squeezing them out of the rectum into labelled plastic tubes 7 days after infection. Helminth eggs were recovered and examined qualitatively by flotation using 33% zinc sulphate at a specific gravity of 1.180. Rats not shedding *Nippostrongylus* ova and those shedding other helminth ova were discarded from the experiment.

Chemotherapeutic trials

This was done essentially as described by Cavier (1973). Trials commenced on the 10th day after experimental infestation. Five rats were used to test each extract. Animals were dosed orally on the 10th, 11th and 12th days of infection with the estimated maximum dose using an 18 gauge needle fitted with a plastic canula. For each batch of trials, five animals were dosed with water at 5 ml/kg and were used as controls.
On the 14th day the animals were starved and were killed on the 15th day in a chloroform chamber. The rats were autopsied and the first 15 cm of the small intestine removed, sectioned longitudinally, enclosed between two plates of thick glass, and examined under a dissection microscope. The number of worms easily visible were counted.

The screen was tested with oxfendazole (Systamex (R), supplied by the Welcome Foundation Ltd, London, England) at the beginning of the trials.

**Interpretation of results**

Results were analysed according to the method recommended by Cavier (1973). The percentage of deparasitization was calculated using the formula

\[
\frac{N - n}{N} \times 100
\]

where \( N \) = average number of worms found in control animals, and \( n \) = average number of worms found in groups of treated animals. A deparasitization of 50% was considered significant.

**Results**

Information on about 300 plant species used as anthelmintics in man and his animals throughout Africa was collected. Most of the plants reported in the literature have been used against helminthiasis in man. About 30 plant species were found to be used by Fulani herders in Kaduna State for the control of helminthiasis in cattle.

In the pilot toxicity studies, none of the 18 plants tested had a lethal action in rats at the doses tested.

**Chemotherapeutic trials**

The results are summarized in Table 1. Table 2 presents a summary of background information on the plants found to be effective.
Table 1. Summary of screening of plants against *N. braziliensis* in rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant or reagent</th>
<th>Part used</th>
<th>Dose (g/kg)</th>
<th>% deparasitization</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <em>Acacia albida</em></td>
<td>bark</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <em>Aframomum melegueta</em></td>
<td>root</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <em>Afromosia laxiflora</em></td>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <em>Aloë barteri</em></td>
<td>leaf juice</td>
<td>5.0 (ml/kg)</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>very active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <em>Anogeissus leiocarpus</em></td>
<td>bark</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. <em>Annona senegalensis</em></td>
<td>bark</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. <em>Blumea aurita</em></td>
<td>leaf juice</td>
<td>5.0 (ml/kg)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <em>Boswellia dalzellii</em></td>
<td>bark</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <em>Calotropis procera</em></td>
<td>root</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. <em>Cassia occidentalis</em></td>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. <em>C. tora</em></td>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>–8</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. <em>Diospyros mespiliformis</em></td>
<td>bark</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. <em>Guiera senegalensis</em></td>
<td>leaf</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>–4</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. <em>Khaya senegalensis</em></td>
<td>bark</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>–21</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. <em>Lawsonia inermis</em></td>
<td>root</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>–16</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. <em>Tamarindus indica</em></td>
<td>root</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>–23</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. <em>Terminalia avicennioides</em></td>
<td>root</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>very active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. <em>Vitex doniana</em></td>
<td>root</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. <em>Oxfendazole</em> (Systamex®)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>very active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Traditional uses of plants with anthelmintic activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Vernacular names</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <em>Aloë barteri</em></td>
<td>Hausa: zabuwa</td>
<td>The leaf juice is used in Nigeria topically for the treatment of guinea-worm. (Dalziel, 1937).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <em>Anogeissus leiocarpus</em></td>
<td>Hausa: marke</td>
<td>The bark and seed are used in Nigeria for treatment or prevention of worm infestation in equine species. (Dalziel, 1937; Lely, 1925; Oliver, 1959; Oliver, 1960).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Igbo: atara</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yoruba: ayin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <em>Annona senegalensis</em></td>
<td>Hausa: gwandar dañigbo</td>
<td>uburu-ocha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <em>Cassia occidentalis</em></td>
<td>Hausa: ra’dore</td>
<td>The leaves are used in West Africa for the treatment of guinea-worm and as a vermifuge for children. (Dalziel, 1937).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Igbo: okamo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ashanti: ananse dua</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <em>Diospyros mespiliformis</em></td>
<td>Hausa: kanya</td>
<td>The bark is used in Nigeria as a vermifuge for horses. (Dalziel, 1937).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Igbo: onye-koj</td>
<td>Yoruba: kanran</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Six of the 18 plants tested showed significant activity against *N. braziliensis* in rats. *Aloë barteri* (leaf juice) and extracts of *Terminalia avicennioides* (root), *Annona senegalensis* (bark), *Cassia occidentalis* (leaf), *Anogeissus leiocarpus* (bark) and *Diospyros mespiliformis* (bark) were found to be effective, with deparasitizations of 92, 89, 75, 69, 60 and 58% respectively.

The alcoholic extracts of the plants *Aframomum melegueta* (root), *Afrormosia laxiflora* (leaf), *Boswellia dalzelli* (bark), *Calotropis procera* (root), *Cassia tora* (leaf), *Guiera senegalensis* (leaf), *Khaya senegalensis* (bark), *Lawsonia inermis* (root), *Tamarindus indica* (root), *Vitex doniana* (root) and the leaf juice of *Blumea aurita* were found to be ineffective.

Oxfendazole (Systamex®) gave a deparasitization of 100%.

**Discussion**

The results indicate that *Aloë barteri, Terminalia avicennioides, Annona senegalensis, Cassia occidentalis, Anogeissus leiocarpus* and *Diospyros mespiliformis* are effective against adult *Nippostrongylus braziliensis* in rats at non-toxic doses. The chemotherapeutic links between *N. braziliensis* and trichostrongyles in sheep and between *N. braziliensis* and hookworms in dogs and man have been established (Whitlock, 1945; Standen, 1963).

The results therefore justify secondary screening of these plants in sheep and dogs.

The results of this study do not rule out the possibility that some of the apparently inactive plants do possess activity, even against other trichostrongyles, since *N. braziliensis* is more resistant to anthelmintics than most other strongyloids (Standen, 1963; Cavier, 1973). For example had *N. braziliensis* alone been used to screen phenothiazine, the drug might not have been discovered (Gordon, 1957). It is desirable to include several representative groups or families in screening tests before discarding a compound (Standen, 1963).

These plants have not previously been tested for activity against helminths, but species of *Aloë* and *Cassia* have been used extensively as indirect irritant purgatives. In fact these were once considered as the most important drug plants of the African continent (Githens, 1948). Following oral administration, they are absorbed and metabolized, possibly in the liver, to give active anthraquinones, which are then secreted into intestine giving their observed purgative action (Brander and Pugh, 1977).

The synthetic 1:8 dihydroxyanthraquinone is highly effective against all the large bowel parasites of sheep i.e. *Oesophagostomum columbianum, O. venulosum, Chabertia ovina* and *Trichuris spp.*, and to possess moderate activity against *Haemonchus contortus* at non-toxic doses (Gordon, 1955).

It seems possible that the anthelmintic factors in *Cassia occidentalis* and *Aloë barteri* are precursors of anthraquinones. A species related to *A. barteri, A. barbadensis*, has been shown to be active against plant nematodes (Mahmood et al, 1982).

Although the seeds of *C. occidentalis* are known to contain the heat labile toxic material chrysarobin, the roots, leaves and stems are toxic to cattle only when large amounts are
consumed (Nwude, 1977), and no toxic signs were observed with the leaves at the dose tested in rats in this study.

The anthelmintic factors contained in *Anogeissus leiocarpus*, *Annona senegalensis* and *Terminalia avicennioides*, all of which were found to be effective in this study, are unknown, but related species have been studied for action against other organisms. *Annona squamosa* has been shown to be active against the plant nematodes *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Rotylenchulus reniformis* by Mahmood et al (1982), while *Annona senegalensis* and *Terminalia mollis* are molluscicidal against *Bulinus globosus* (Adewumi and Sofowora, 1980). However, *Terminalia chebula* was reported to be inactive against *Ascaridia galli* in chickens (Sharma et al, 1967).

*Diospyros Mollis* has been reported to be used as a traditional anthelmintic in Thailand and the berries were used in antihookworm mass chemotherapy for more than a decade until implicated as the cause of blindness in humans (Sadavongvivad, 1980). The anthelmintic principle in *D. mollis* is Diospyrol (1,1', 8,8'-tetrahydroxy 6-6'-dimethyl-2, 2'-binaphthalene) (Sen et al, 1975). It is possible that the plant *Diospyros mespiliformis*, which we found to be effective, contains components similar to *D. mollis*. The plant is therefore recommended for detailed toxicological investigation in order to determine its effect on the eye in animals as a prerequisite to its use as an anthelmintic.

Further studies are recommended with other laboratory models both for the plants found to be effective and those found to be inactive against *N. braziliensis* in order to determine the spectrum of activity of the former and possible action against other helminths with the latter. Different parts of the plants found to be effective should be screened and their toxicity and activity tested using secondary screens.
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SUMMARY

THE CLIMATES, soils and vegetation of the Ethiopian Rift Valley were compared with those of other areas of Africa with reference to the screening of forage species.

The climates of the Rift Valley are diverse and range from hot and arid to cool (subtropical) and humid. In general most of the Ethiopian Rift Valley is similar to the semiarid and subhumid regions of East Africa with their bimodal rainfall. There is also an area in the north of the valley with a climate similar to that of the Sahel region of West Africa.

The soils of the Rift Valley are largely derived from recent volcanic rocks and, by comparison with many areas of Africa, their base status is generally good. Constraints to forage production include low phosphorus levels, micronutrient imbalances and in some cases poor physical structure. The areas with soils most similar to those of the Rift Valley of Ethiopia are the equivalent rifts in Kenya, Tanzania and Zambia.

Three major vegetation zones are found in the Rift Valley: the subhumid zone, the semi-arid zone and the arid zone.

The grass floras from 20 areas in West and East Africa, including three from the Ethiopian Rift Valley, were compared using Hartley's agrostological index. Classification based on cluster analysis of these sites showed that the semi-arid and arid areas at the northern and southern ends of the Rift Valley were closest to areas in the Sahel region of West Africa, while an area at a higher altitude in the central Rift Valley was close to an area in the Rift Valley of Kenya and to two sites in Sudan.

Introduction

ILCA's Forage Legume Agronomy Group aims to evaluate tropical forage and pasture species for potential use throughout Africa. Although the Ethiopian Rift Valley seems attractive as a location for such evaluation, because of its ease of access and range of climates and soils, it was first necessary to establish of which areas of Africa it is representative in terms of plant environments.

The aim of this study was to briefly review the climates, soils and vegetation of the Rift Valley and to relate these to other areas of Africa. Clearly the conclusions reached depend in part on the level of detail at which comparisons were made. For this initial assessment broad-scale comparisons were made; more detailed studies of individual regions within the Rift Valley will have to await the availability of more data.
The limits of the Rift Valley for the purposes of this study are shown in Figure 1. The limits of the study area along the escarpments of the valley correspond to the 1800 m contour. Above this altitude the vegetation is quite different from the semiarid steppe and savanna woodland communities of the Rift Valley floor, and below 1800 m frosts are of infrequent occurrence and of negligible importance in determining the nature of the vegetation.

**Figure 1.** Map of the Ethiopian Rift Valley showing units of the study area and 1000 m and 1800 m contours.

The Rift Valley of Ethiopia, like its extension in East and central Africa, was formed by extensive downfaulting of the earth's crust at the end of the Tertiary and at the beginning of the Pleistocene period. It forms part of a series of fractures in the earth's crust extending from the Dead Sea in the north, via the Red Sea and the rifts of East and central Africa, to Mozambique.
in the south. In Ethiopia it has a general northeast to southwest trend and extends over
approximately 750 km. South of Nazareth (lat. 8°20’) it takes the form of a relatively narrow
corridor (35–80 km wide) demarcated by faults at the edges of both the Ethiopian and the
Somalian plateau.

Since the end of the Tertiary period the Ethiopian Rift Valley has been the scene of intense
volcanic activity and further minor faulting. Consequently the geological formations are almost
entirely volcanic in origin and include both alkaline (basalts) and acidic (rhyolites, ignimbrites,
pumices and ash) rock types.

One consequence of the volcanic origin of the bedrock of the Rift Valley is the extensive and
serious erosion in certain areas. This is particularly noticeable where the soils overlie
ignimbrite—rock types deposited from incandescent volcanic clouds escaping from cracks in the
crust, which opened after the formation of the rift. These young volcanic rocks are generally rich
in base elements but in many cases are deficient in phosphorus.

Climate

The climate of the Ethiopian Rift Valley

Rainfall

From November to February northeast winds prevail, giving settled dry weather throughout most
of the valley. During this period there is little cloud, diurnal temperatures are high and relative
humidities low. Between March and May more unsettled weather is experienced due to the
convergence of moist southeast winds from the Indian Ocean with the northeast airstream. This
brings heavy rains south of latitude 6°30’ (Lake Abaya), but north of this latitude rainfall is
normally light and very unreliable. From July to October the main rains come to the northern Rift
Valley with the wet winds from the Indian and Atlantic oceans converging over the highlands.
Intense rainfalls associated with convective thunderstorms are frequently experienced at the
beginning of this period. In the southern part of the valley, however, there is little rainfall
between June and August and a secondary peak in September and October. There are thus
two rainfall regions within the valley.

The average annual rainfall for all stations in the Rift Valley below the 1700 m altitude is 754
mm (n = 29). All such locations can be considered to be on the floor of the valley. This estimate
is probably high due to the location of most stations in the highest rainfall areas. Figure 2 shows
isohyets of mean annual rainfall for the Rift Valley. These suggest that a value between 600 and
700 mm annually is a reasonable average value for most of the floor of the valley.
Rainfall increases with altitude along the Rift Valley escarpment to an approximate annual average of 1600 mm at the 3000 m contour. However above about 1800 m the correlation of rainfall with altitude is particularly poor due to orographic effects.

From the viewpoint of climatic classification the area of the Rift Valley includes four zones:

1. Arid zone: median annual rainfall less than 400 mm.

2. Semi-arid zone: median annual rainfall between 400 and 700 mm.
3. Subhumid zone: median annual rainfall between 700 and 1000 mm.

4. Humid zone: median annual rainfall above 1000 mm.

Approximate estimates for the overall areas of the semi-arid and subhumid zones within the Rift Valley are 35% and 55% respectively.

**Temperature**

Records for temperatures from stations in the Rift Valley are even fewer and more fragmentary than those for rainfall, and to date data have been obtained for only 13 stations. These data are summarised in Table 1. There is a reasonable correlation between temperature and altitude: on average mean annual temperatures decrease by approximately 1.55°C for every 100 m increase in altitude.

**Table 1. Mean annual temperatures for some stations in the Rift Valley.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>No. of years</th>
<th>Ann. mean (Min.°C)</th>
<th>Ann. mean (Max.°C)</th>
<th>Ann. mean (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asela</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaba Colito</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awassa</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bekewle (Conso)</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gato</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mega</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melka Guba</td>
<td>not known</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miereb Abaya</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyale</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neghelli</td>
<td>1480</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertele</td>
<td>1460</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wondo Chabicha</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yavello</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highest monthly temperatures are normally recorded in the dry season between November and March. Lowest monthly minimum temperatures are also recorded during the dry season when night skies are clear.

The incidence of low temperatures may influence the growth of plants at altitudes above 1400 m. It is known that many tropical legumes cease to grow at temperatures between 10 and 12°C (Fitzpatrick and Nix, 1970) and that some show considerable limitation in growth at around 15°C.
Length of growing season

The Ethiopian Mapping Agency has recently published a length-of-growing-season map for the whole of Ethiopia on a 1:2 000 000 scale (EMA, 1983). The length of growing season is calculated in days for which rainfall exceeds 50% of the potential evapotranspiration calculated from Penman's formula. Very approximate estimates can be made for the proportion of the total area of the Rift Valley with different lengths of growing season as follows:

- < 60 days 10%
- 60–75 days 5%
- 75–90 days 5%
- 90–120 days 10%
- 120–150 days 15%
- 150–180 days 25%
- 180–210 days 20%
- 210–240 days 10%

It is remarkable that, according to these estimates, over 50% of the area of the valley has a growing season in excess of 150 days. The shortest growing seasons (60 days) are found at the northern and southern extremities of the valley. The longest growing seasons (over 210 days) are found around the lakes Abaya and Chamo where the eastern and western escarpments of the Rift Valley most closely approach one another.

The climates of the Rift Valley and other areas of Africa

The important climatic zones in the Rift Valley are the semi-arid zone (400–700 mm rainfall) and the subhumid zone (700–1000 mm rainfall).

Since rainfall is of overriding importance in determining plant growth, the main basis of comparison has been the annual quantity and duration of rainfall. Seasonal distribution has also been taken into account. Temperatures have been considered, but only where extreme temperatures are likely to influence the distribution of tropical or subtropical plant species.

The Sahel

The Sahel comprises a vast area of semi-arid and arid land. The climatic limits of the region are normally taken to lie between the 600 mm rainfall isohyet in the south and the 100 mm isohyet in the north. The most obvious feature of rainfall in the Sahel is its restriction to a period in summer of 2 to 4 months, with little or no rainfall during the rest of the year. The actual duration of the growing season varies from an average of 120 days at the southern edge of the region to about 45 days in the north (FAO, 1978).

The areas of the Rift Valley which are likely to be most similar to the Sahel would therefore have a mean annual rainfall between 100 and 600 mm, with a unimodal distribution centred sharply on July and August and mean annual temperatures between 27.5 and 29.0°C. The most similar area is the extreme northern part of the Rift Valley in the Middle Awash valley. It is not argued
that this area represents an exact climatic homologue to anywhere in the Sahel region—indeed the seasonal distribution of rainfall is broader than for most areas of the Sahel. However it does appear more similar than other areas of the valley, a conclusion supported by the data on vegetation.

**The northern Sudanian savanna**

The Sudanian savanna is a band of tree or shrub savannas stretching across West Africa between the Sahel to the north and the zone of dense tropical forest in the south. We are concerned here only with the northern portion of the region having an annual rainfall between 650 and 1000 mm and corresponding approximately with the subhumid zone as defined above. The dry season lasts for 6 to 8 months and mean annual temperatures vary between 26.0 and 29.5°C.

Although a large part of the Ethiopian Rift Valley has rainfall between 650 and 1000 mm annually, much of this area lies in the southern portion of the valley with a bimodal distribution pattern.

Furthermore most of the areas with a subhumid climate lie at altitudes above 1300 m with average annual temperatures below 25°C and average minimum temperatures below 17.5°C. These areas are relatively cool compared to the northern Sudanian region of West Africa. However, much of the northern Rift Valley has mean temperatures for this period between 17.5 and 22.5°C. While these figures are 5 to 7°C lower than the corresponding values for the northern Sudanian zone, they are probably not limiting to the growth of many tropical legumes.

**East Africa**

The climate of East Africa is extremely complex. Griffiths (1972) describes 52 climatic regions for the area covering 30 different rainfall seasons. This complex pattern can be somewhat simplified by dividing the area into a part with unimodal rainfall and a part with bimodal rainfall. The region as a whole, and particularly Kenya, is also well known for the unreliability of its rainfall.

Stations in the Rift Valley of Kenya, like those in the southern Rift Valley of Ethiopia, have a bimodal rainfall distribution.

Although no temperature data are available for stations in Kenya, the relationship between temperature and altitude for the Kenyan Rift Valley should be similar to that for the Ethiopian Rift Valley. If this is the case there should be a reasonable similarity between areas at the same altitude in the Ethiopian and Kenyan portions of the Rift Valley. There are also other parts of the semi-arid and subhumid zones of East Africa, particularly in Tanzania, that may be climatically similar to the Rift Valley of Ethiopia.

**Other areas**

Although there are large areas of central and southern Africa that have semi-arid or subhumid climates, they differ from the Ethiopian Rift Valley in two important aspects:

1. South of approximately 7°S the rains fall between November and April, precisely the reverse of the case in Ethiopia.
2. Over much of this area low temperatures are experienced, at least for short periods, during the dry months when cold air penetrates the region from the south. Consequently night frosts can be experienced at this time of the year at altitudes as low as 1000 m.

Conclusions

The climate of much of the Ethiopian Rift Valley can be described as cool, semi-arid or subhumid with either bimodal or unimodal rainfall distribution. Only below an altitude of about 1100 m are hot conditions found. Much of the southern Ethiopian Rift Valley is climatically similar to the Rift Valley in Kenya and, possibly to that of Tanzania. There may be more general similarities between this part of the valley and other semi-arid and subhumid parts of East Africa as a whole. In the extreme north, below 1100 m, there is an area in the Middle Awash valley which shows some climatic similarities to the Sahel region of West Africa.

Soils

Soils of the Ethiopian Rift Valley

The information on soils was drawn from the maps in Makin et al (1975) and FAO (1965). Areas for major soil units within the southern Rift Valley were computed from King and Birchall (1975) and for the northern portion they were derived from planimetric measurements on the soils map of the Awash River basin in FAO (1965). The legend of the latter map was interpreted in terms of the approximate equivalent units in the FAO classification system. The FAO system of classification was used (and adapted) because it permits comparisons between the Rift Valley and other parts of Africa, based on the FAO/UNESCO Soil Map of Africa (1977).

Major soil types

Thirteen major units and a further six subunits in the FAO/UNESCO soil classification are of importance in the Rift Valley.

Table 2 shows the estimated extent of each of the major soil units within the Rift Valley and the proportion of the total area occupied by these units. For our purposes, histosols and gleysols can be ignored as being permanently flooded or waterlogged. Saline soils (solonchaks and solonetz), while used for grazing to some extent, are of sufficiently small area to be of little importance overall. The most important groupings in terms of total area covered are thus: vertisols (19.2%), cambisols (17.9%), fluvisols (16.2%), regosols (15.8%), lithosols (9.5%), andosols (7.1%) and acriosols (6.1%). All remaining soil units taken together account for less than 10% of the total area.
Table 2. Estimated extent of FAO soil units within the Ethiopian Rift Valley and the proportion of the whole area covered by each unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAO soil unit</th>
<th>Total area (km$^2$)</th>
<th>% of whole area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferric acrisols</td>
<td>3 367</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromic cambisols</td>
<td>3 671</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric cambisols</td>
<td>6166</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric fluvisols</td>
<td>8 529</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcaricfluvisols</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histosols</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric gleysols</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric nitosols</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitric andosols</td>
<td>3 888</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellic vertisols</td>
<td>6 229</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromic vertisols</td>
<td>4 330</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric regosols</td>
<td>8 669</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithosols</td>
<td>5 210</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luvic xerosols</td>
<td>1780</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haplic xerosols</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthic solonchaks</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthic solonetz</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>54 968</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The soils of the Rift Valley and other areas of Africa

The soils of the Rift Valley of Ethiopia are quite diverse. Seventeen out of 106 FAO suborders are important in the region, a reasonable proportion for a small area in African terms. However, there are certain peculiar features of the Rift Valley soils that distinguish the valley from many other areas of the continent. Firstly, the soils are for the most part derived from young rocks of volcanic origin. The result is that many of the soils are of good nutrient status since leaching has not proceeded to a very marked degree. Approximate estimates based on the figures in Table 2 would suggest that 46% of the area has soils of excellent base status, 31% of the area has a moderate base status while only 23% of the area has soils of poor base status. Such a high proportion of `good' soils must be relatively unusual for other African regions. Overall the most frequent limitation to plant growth in the Rift Valley is likely to be availability of water rather than soil fertility.

The other important feature of the soils of the area is the absence of certain major soil units (e.g. arenosols, luvisols and ferrasols) which are of widespread occurrence in other areas of Africa.
The total area and proportion of sub-Saharan Africa occupied by various soil units is shown in Table 3. This reveals some interesting features of these units as regards their importance in tropical Africa as a whole. Firstly, the 17 units listed together only account for 27% of the total area of tropical Africa. Secondly, if one divides the soil units into those of `high', `medium' and `low' base status one finds that `high' base status units account for 6.6% of the total area of tropical Africa, `medium' for 7.3% and `low' for 12.9% of the total area. This is exactly the reverse order to that for the Ethiopian Rift Valley. Thirdly, 11 of the 17 soil units are proportionally better represented in the Rift Valley than in sub-Saharan Africa as a whole.

**Table 3. Total area in km² and proportion of sub-Saharan Africa occupied by some soil units of importance in the Rift Valley of Ethiopia.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FAO soil unit</th>
<th>Total area (km²)</th>
<th>% of sub-Saharan Africa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferric acrisols</td>
<td>589 290</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromic cambisols</td>
<td>226 370</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric cambisols</td>
<td>245 920</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric fluvisols</td>
<td>332 270</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcaric fluvisols</td>
<td>85 790</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histosols</td>
<td>17 450</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric gleysols</td>
<td>237 380</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric nitosols</td>
<td>404 290</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitric andosols</td>
<td>1 440</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellic vertisols</td>
<td>344 810</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromic vertisols</td>
<td>597 080</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutric regosols</td>
<td>524 590</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithosols</td>
<td>1 646 310</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luvic xerosols</td>
<td>17 630</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haplic xerosols</td>
<td>398 330</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthic solonchaks</td>
<td>72 950</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthic solonetz</td>
<td>93 190</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Vegetation**

**Vegetation of the Ethiopian Rift Valley**

No complete vegetation survey exists for the Rift Valley as a whole and general descriptions are vague and concentrate almost entirely on woody vegetation. The following general description of the vegetation of the region must thus be regarded as provisional. The diversity of vegetation types in the area is however apparent.
General description

Makin et al (1975) divide the southern Rift Valley into the following four ecoclimatic zones:

Zone 1: Humid to dry-humid lands, now mostly under coffee or other intensive agricultural use; formerly forest or montane grassland.

Zone 2: Dry subhumid or semi-arid lands characterised by evergreen shrubs, Combretum or allied vegetation.

Zone 3: Semi-arid lands with relatively low or erratic rainfall, characterised by dryland acacias with some broad-leaved trees and shrubs.

Zone 4: Arid lands, mostly dry thorn bushland unsuitable for rainfed agriculture.

Zone 1 lies almost entirely above 1800 m altitude and therefore is not considered in this study. Zones 2 to 4 correspond in a very general way to the subhumid, semiarid and arid climatic zones defined above, but the exact limits of each zone in terms of rainfall are not clearly defined.

Table 4 shows the approximate area and proportion of the southern Rift Valley occupied by each ecoclimatic zone and also the relationship of these zones to those defined by Pratt et al (1966) for East Africa.

**Table 4.** Areas for the major ecoclimatic zones of the southern Rift Valley and corresponding zones in Pratt et al (1966)’s classification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eco-climatic zone</th>
<th>Total area (km²)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Pratt’s zonal equivalent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11 200</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13 760</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8 220</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>V &amp; VI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Zone 2 or the subhumid zone has a tree cover characterised by the presence of broad-leaved *Combretum* and *Terminalia* species. Important species include *Acacia hockii*, *A. abyssinica*, *Albizia gummifera*, *A. schimperiana*, *Combretum aculeatum*, *C. molle*, *Croton macrostachyus*, *Cordia abyssinica*, *Erythrina abyssinica*, *Ficus sycamores*, *Heeria reticulata*, *Terminalia brownii*, *T. schweinfurthii* and *Vernonia abyssinica*. At lower altitudes (1300 to 1500 m) evergreen thicket is common and is dominated by *Carissa edulis*, *Dodonaea viscosa*, *Euclea schimperi*, *Rhus natalensis* and *Olea africana*. The dominant grasses include *Eragrostis superba*, *Heteropogon contortus*, *Hyparrhenia hirta*, *H. rufa*, *Themeda triandra* and *Andropogon* spp.
Zone 3 or the semi-arid zone is characterised by the presence of acacias as the dominant species of the tree and shrub layers. Physiognomically there are vegetation types ranging from closed acacia woodland to scattered and open acacia bushland. The most common Acacia species are A. brevispica, A. mellifera, A. nilotica, A. nubica, A. reficiens, A. seyal and A. tortilis. They are accompanied by many broad-leaved trees and semi-evergreen shrubs such as Acokanthera brownii, Balanites aegyptium, Cadaba farinosa, Capparis tomentosa, Commiphora africana, Croton macrostachyus, Dichrostachys cinerea, Euphorbia tirucalli, E. candelabra, Harrisonia abyssinica, Sclerocaryea birrea and Terminalia brownii. Dominant grasses include Aristida kenyensis, Chloris pycnothrix, Hyparrhenia anthistirioides, Panicum atrosanguineum and Pennisetum schimperi.

Zone 4 or the arid zone, with less than 450 mm annual rainfall, is characterised by dry thorn bushland. The common shrub species include Acacia etbaica, A. horrida, A. mellifera, A. nilotica, A. nubica, A. reficiens, A. senegal, A. seyal, and A. tortilis. Trees and shrubs commonly associated with these include Boscia coriacea, Cadaba farinosa, C. rotundifolia, Commiphora spp., Dobera glabra, Grewia spp., Sausvieria ehrenbergii, Salvador persica and Sterculia africana.

The grass cover is discontinuous, with up to 60% bare ground in very dry or heavily grazed areas. In all areas other than those that are seasonally waterlogged or saline, Chrysopogon plumulosus is the dominant grass accounting for up to 65% of the herbaceous cover. Ephemeral annual grasses are abundant, particularly species of the genera Aristida and Eragrostis.

Vegetation of the Rift Valley and other areas of Africa

Phytogeographic relationships

According to Ibrahim (1978), Ethiopia lies within the Sudano-Zambezian phytogeographic region of Africa which comprises the largest formation of the continent and can be characterised as tropical, with an annual dry season of 4 to 9 months, annual rainfall between 300 and 1500 mm and vegetation which includes steppe, savanna and dry to subhumid woodland and forest. More specifically it comes within the Sudanian subregion with a dry tropical climate and rainfall up to 1000 mm. The highlands of Ethiopia are, of course, excluded, forming part of the Afro-montane region. At a third level of organisation Ibrahim includes Ethiopia in the Afro-Oriental domain which covers the lowlands of Tanzania, Kenya, Somalia and Ethiopia. Vegetation ranges from steppe to thorn woodland. Ibrahim sets an attitudinal limit of 1100 m for this domain but it probably extends considerably higher than this in the Ethiopian Rift Valley.

In plant geographic terms the lowlands of Ethiopia belong with the rest of East Africa, with most of Uganda excluded. However, they have more general connections throughout the Sudano-Zambezian region. In particular White (1965) suggests that the Sahel region, which can be characterised as wooded steppe with Acacia and Commiphora spp., represents a floristically impoverished western extension of the rich Afro-Oriental domain.

Of the tree species found in the Ethiopian Rift Valley, Acacia nilotica, A. seyal, A. senegal, and A. sieberiana occur throughout much of the Sudanian subregion, including the Sahel, while A. nubica and A. mellifera have an Afro-Oriental and eastern Sudanian distribution. The grass Schoenfeldia gracilis is a more strictly Sahelian species but is also known from the lowlands of Ethiopia (Froman and Persson, 1974). Other important grass species from the
Ethiopian Rift Valley which have a general Sudano-Zambezian distribution pattern include, *Andropogon gayanus*, *Aristida* spp., *Bothriochloa insculpta*, *Cenchrus ciliaris*, *Chloris gayana*, *C. pycnothrix*, *Cymbopogon giganteus*, *Eragrostis cylindritifora*, *Hyparrhenia filipendula*, *H. rufa*, *Imperata cylindrica*, *Panicum maximum*, *Pennisetum ramosum*, *Setaria sphacelata*, *Sporobolus pyramidalis* and many others (Wickens, 1976).

**Application of Hartley’s agrostological index**

Hartley (1963) has devised a technique for comparing grass floras on the basis of the proportion of different tribes of grasses in the flora of a given area. Using a previous analysis of the climatic relationships of some of the more important tribes of tropical grasses, he was able to show that the proportions of these tribes within the flora of a given area provided a reasonable indication of the prevailing environmental conditions.

The first step in using this analysis to compare Ethiopian and other grass floras was to try to quantify the relationship between the proportion of different grass tribes in the floras considered with climatic factors. This was possible for annual rainfall even though in some cases the figures used were taken from rather vague references or, in the cases of some of the larger areas, were the mean figure from a wide range.

Figures 3 and 4 show the relationship between mean annual rainfall and the proportion of different grass tribes in the floras of 15 localities in West Africa, Sudan and Ethiopia. It can be seen that there is a good positive correlation ($r = 0.88$) between annual rainfall and percent *Andropogoneae* in a grass flora, while *Aristideae* show a good negative correlation ($r = -0.81$) with rainfall. The proportion of *Eragrostideae* is negatively correlated with rainfall but the correlation is poor ($r = -0.64$), while the proportion of *Paniceae* does not appear to be correlated with rainfall at all. It should be noted that the majority of observations were from the semi-arid and arid zones and that the relationships determined here do not necessarily extend to wetter climatic zones.
Figure 3. Relationship between rainfall and proportion of (A) Andropogoneae and (B) Aristideae in different grass floras.
Figure 4. Relationship between rainfall and proportion of (A) Eragrostideae and (B) Paniceae in different grass floras

Key to abbreviations used in Figures 3 and 4.
CH    Chilalo, Ethiopia
DM    Dalliol-Maouri, Niger
FS    Ferlo-Sud, Senegal
GA    Gallayel, Senegal
GO    Gomoko, Central African Republic
JM 1  Jebel-Marra 1, Sudan
JM 2  Jebel-Marra 2, Sudan
JM 3  Jebel-Marra 3, Sudan
KK    Kessem Kabana, Ethiopia
The data on tribal composition of the 20 grass floras considered in this study are shown in Table 5. Only the proportion of the larger tribes is included, together with annual rainfall (where known) and the approximate latitude for each site. The method used in classifying these grass floras was cluster analysis. In this method clusters of observations are formed based on the Euclidean distance (i.e. the square root of the sum of squares of differences between values of observations for two sites). The Euclidean distance between 2 sets of clusters (j and 1) is:

\[ d_{jk} = \sqrt{\sum (x_{ij} - x_{ik})^2} \]

**Table 5.** Proportion of major tribes in the grass floras of 20 African locations together with rainfall and latitude (where known).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribes location</th>
<th>Andropogoneae</th>
<th>Eragrostideae</th>
<th>Paniceae</th>
<th>Aristideae</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Total species</th>
<th>Rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Gallayel Senegal</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>15040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Ferlo-Sud Senegal</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>13°40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Niono, Mali</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>14°20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Leo Upper Volta</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>11°20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Nord-Sanam Niger</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>15°25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Sud-Tamesna Niger</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>16°00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Dalliol-Maouri Niger</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>106.0</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>13°00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Nord-Goure Niger</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>15°00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Gomoko R.C.A</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>4°50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Jebel-Marra 1 Sudan</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>12°30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Jebel-Marra 2 Sudan</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>12°30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Jebel-Marra 3 Sudan</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>12°30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Kessem-Kabana Ethiopia</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>9°15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Chilalo Ethiopia</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>8°00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 S. Rangelands Ethiopia</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>124.0</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>4°30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Themeda Kenya</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hyparrhenia Uganda</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Acacia Uganda</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Acacia/Combretum Uganda</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Themeda Uganda</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 1. Mosnier (1967)  
2. Diallo (1968)  
5. Peyre de Fabregues (1963)  
8. Peyre de Fabregues (1965)  
9. Audru and Boudet (1964)  
10. Wickens (1976)  
11. Wickens (1976)  
12. Wickens (1976)  
16. Lind and Morrison (1974)  
17. Langdale-Brown et al (1964)  
18. Langdale-Brown et al (1964)  
19. Langdale-Brown et al (1964)  
20. Langdale-Brown et al (1964)

Initially each site is considered to be in a cluster of its own. At each step the two clusters with the shortest Euclidean distance between them are amalgamated and treated as one cluster. This process of combining clusters continues until all sites are combined into one cluster. This algorithm is known as the average distance or average linkage. A tree diagram of the clusters is then constructed to describe the sequence of clusters formed. This is shown in Figure 5.
A particularly interesting feature of the classification is that the Kessem-Kabana and southern rangelands of the Ethiopian Rift Valley are closer in tribal composition to a number of localities in the Sahel of West Africa than to the Chilalo area of the Rift Valley or to most East African sites. By contrast the Chilalo area of the Rift Valley is closest to two of the Jebel-Marra sites in Sudan and also quite close to the Themeda grasslands of Kenya. Given the inadequacy of some of the floristic data and the problems of estimation of rainfall, the classification correlates reasonably with climatic conditions.

Comparison by shared species

A second method of comparing vegetation of different areas is to use shared species to group related, areas. For this purpose a very simple index, Soroensen's quotient of similarity, was adopted:

\[ Q = \frac{2j}{a + b} \]

where
- \( j \) = species shared between two areas;
- \( a \) = total number of species in area a; and
- \( b \) = total number of species in area b.

In this index, shared species are weighted by a factor of 2 to stress their importance. When the quotient is calculated for all combinations of the areas considered, they can be arranged in the form of a Trellis diagram where individual sites are ranked in an order that brings together those with close quotients of similarity. The areas can then be divided on a subjective basis into 'communities' with similar indices.

Because computation of shared species by hand is highly time-consuming, only 12 of the 20 sites listed in Table 5 have been included in the analysis. These have been selected to include
the full range of geographic locations and of annual rainfall covered by all the sites. They also include all three locations from the Ethiopian Rift Valley.

The Trellis diagram for the sites analysed is shown in Figure 6. The shading in the upper quadrant has been added to assist interpretation and shows values for the index between 0.00 and 0.20, 0.21 and 0.40, 0.41 and 0.60 respectively. Firstly, the values are generally low with only 4 out of 66 exceeding 0.40. Secondly, it is clear that there is a group of West African sites (Gallayel, Niono; Sud-Tamesna and Leo) with a high proportion of shared species.

**Figure 6.** *Trellis diagram showing relationships between 12 grass floras from Africa using Soroensen's index of similarity to group floras according to shared species.*

A major grouping of sites includes those in the Ethiopian Rift Valley with those from East Africa. Here the value of the index is lower (0.21–0.40), with the highest values occurring within the subregions, i.e. the East African sites are most similar to each other as are the Ethiopian sites.

The two types of analysis of the grass floras carried out here clearly provide different types of information concerning the similarity of the areas studied. Hartley's agrostological index tells us about the general environmental conditions, and more particularly about the degree of aridity or
otherwise of an area. Analyses based on shared species provide information on present-day pathways of dispersal of species and on the phytogeographic history of the areas concerned.

Conclusions

Climatically much of the Ethiopian Rift Valley is very similar to the Rift Valley of Kenya, and possibly to parts of Tanzania. However the region is clearly diverse, with climates ranging from hot and arid to cool (subtropical) and humid. Both temperatures and rainfall vary with altitudes, although in the latter case the relationship is only approximate due to orographic effects. While frosts are probably of little importance within the Rift Valley as defined above, it is concluded that low temperatures may well affect the growth and distribution of tropical legumes at altitudes above 1400 m. In the extreme north of the Rift Valley there is an area of hot, lowlying country with unimodal rainfall centred on July and August, which has some similarities to the climate of the Sahel region of West Africa. The climatic comparisons have been limited by lack of suitable data for other areas of Africa.

The soils of the Rift Valley, mainly derived from young volcanic rocks, are generally of good base status. In this they differ markedly from soils of many other areas of Africa, where a combination of poor parent materials and intensive weathering has produced soils impoverished in mineral nutrients. Constraints to forage or crop production in the Rift Valley relate to the low phosphorus status of many soils, micronutrient imbalances and, in the case of vertisols and saline soils, difficult physical conditions. It is possible that for the purposes of screening forage species in the Rift Valley, soil types (e.g. acrisols and nitosols) of low fertility can be located that have a range of constraints for plant growth similar to the highly weathered arenosols and luvisols characteristic of many other parts of Africa. The importance attached to locating such impoverished soils will depend on the relative weight given to screening for climatic or edaphic adaptation of forage species.

In phytogeographic terms the Rift Valley belongs to the Sudano-Zambezian region of Africa, with rainfall between 300 and 1500 mm annually and 4 to 9 months of annual dry season. In areas with annual rainfall between 300 and 700 mm the dominant woody species are acacias, but above 700 mm broad-leaved trees and shrubs are dominant. Many of the common acacia species are very widely distributed and are also dominant in the Sahelian domain of West Africa which is extremely similar, in physiognomic terms, to many areas of the Rift Valley of East Africa and Ethiopia, although floristically impoverished.

The use of Hartley's agrostological index also suggests that there is a clear relationship between some of the lower-lying areas of the Ethiopian Rift Valley and the Sahel region of West Africa, while the areas at higher altitudes appear more similar to other areas in East Africa.

The other method of floristic comparison used here, based on the proportion of shared species in different grass floras, is probably of less value for establishing agronomically similar areas. It is probably influenced by historical factors in the evolution of the floras concerned, and certainly by the ease of dispersal of species between different areas. Many of the shared species between two or more sites will have either pantropical or palaeotropical distribution patterns which contribute little relative information about the areas concerned.

Attempting to use soils as a means of comparing areas has many drawbacks. Soils are normally of secondary importance to climate (in particular rainfall) in determining where plant species will grow. Also the soil units used for mapping purposes often do not reflect the problems faced by
the plant in growing in those soil types. Many of the major soil, units are of such widespread
distribution that they are of little use for the purposes of classifying different areas.

The use of climatic information for comparing different areas avoids many of these drawbacks
and has been tried with some success in the past (e.g. Russell and Moore, 1970). However
Williams and Burt (1982) highlight two difficulties with this approach. The first is the theoretical
one that plants respond to more than just climate. The second is the difficulty in obtaining
reliable and comparable climatic data for many areas in the tropics.

On theoretical grounds plants themselves should act as the best indicators of all the factors that
influence their ability to survive in a particular area. For the grass floras examined in this study
there does seem to be a reasonable correlation between the proportion of certain families and
rainfall at least in the semi-arid and subhumid areas of Africa.

In screening forage species, the range of adaptability of species already in use, especially those
in commercial production, is of particular importance. In Table 6 the adaptability of some of the
important tropical genera is shown with respect to water stress, temperature stress and poor
soils.

Table 6. Adaptability of some genera of tropical forage legumes in commercial use to water
stress, heat stress and poor soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Water stress</th>
<th>Thermal stress</th>
<th>Poor soils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stylosanthes</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentrosena</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmodium</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macroptilium</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonotonia</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lotononis</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leucaena</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Humphreys (1980), Skerman (1977) and Summerfield and Bunting (1978).

a – low adaptability
+ moderate adaptability
++ good adaptability
+++ very good adaptability

The widest range of adaptability is shown by Acacia spp. This genus of some 800 species of
trees and shrubs has worldwide distribution and in Africa alone is found at altitudes from sea
level to almost 3000 m and on a wide variety of soils. The much smaller
genus Macroptilium also has reasonable adaptability to these conditions.

Adaptation to temperature stress, particularly low temperatures, appears more widespread than
adaptation to water stress amongst these genera.
Adaptation to poor soils is widespread among all but one of the genera considered.

If these genera are representative both of those in commercial use and those likely to come into commercial use, it suggests that the most critical parameter in the screening process is water stress. In other words so long as it is possible to locate areas with a range of different rainfall regimes in which to carry out screening, soils and temperature regimes are of secondary importance. The conclusions drawn from the table are in fact supported by the screening currently taking place with new lines of existing commercial species both in Australia and South America. This work suggests that there is a much greater range of genetic adaptability available in these species than is currently being exploited in commercially available cultivars (B. Grof, pers. comm.).
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