Thirty Years’ Learning to Improve Rainwater and Land Management in the Blue Nile Basin of Ethiopia

The Nile Basin Development Challenge (NBDC) is funded by the CGIAR Challenge Program on Water and Food (CPWF) to improve the resilience of rural livelihoods in the Ethiopian highlands through a landscape approach to rainwater management.

The first project of the Program reviewed past research and development experiences with sustainable land and water management in Ethiopia. This brief summarizes key points from the study—online at http://mahider.cgiar.org/handle/10568/3317.

The study approached the subject from a broadly historical perspective, tracing changes in policies and strategies from the 1970s to the present.

Broad areas of investment in the Blue Nile Basin in the last 30 years mainly focused on land and water management, without explicit investment in rainwater management systems (RMS)—defined as interventions (technical, institutional, policy) that enable water to be captured, stored, and efficiently utilized. This broadly includes soil and water conservation, sustainable land management, rainwater harvesting, conservation farming, and
micro-irrigation management of water for crops, livestock, agroforestry, and fish productivity.

Two broad concepts

The study is based on two broad concepts: The first is a landscape approach to rainwater management. Like ‘integrated watershed management’ (IWM), it shares a systematic integrated systems paradigm. IWM, however, emphasizes hydrological boundaries while the landscape perspective considers broad social, economic, and institutional networks that cut across hydrological boundaries. In the landscape approach, the aim of research is not necessarily to maximize the output of one element of the system but to optimize the range of services of the entire watershed resource system.

The second is an innovation system paradigm. Based around the notion of a learning platform, the underlying idea is that to optimize relevance and uptake of research results, research must be carried out from the beginning as a partnership of multiple stakeholders learning together.

Key messages

First of all, Ethiopia and its development partners have invested more in improving rainwater and land management than any other country in Africa.

In the past three decades, Ethiopia has adapted and improved its policies and implementation strategies. It has adopted participatory approaches, a livelihood focus, and an integrated watershed management paradigm.

The launch of the Sustainable Land Management (SLM) Program increased awareness by government of the need to use water more productively (captured in the term, ‘water-centered growth’), allied donor and development communities for collective investment and action, and initiated a holistic approach to natural resource management (NRM) in Ethiopia.

The NBDC capitalizes on the good experiences of the ongoing SLM Program and offers significant opportunities to create a new paradigm for sustainable land and water management.

Sustainable land and water management for improved livelihoods and systems is achieved when researchers closely work with communities to test and promote institutional and technological innovations on watersheds. The government needs to further strengthen policy support for sustainable demand-driven, research-based rainwater management programs.

Ethiopia has a long history of large-scale research on SLM. Three decades of research have produced a large body of knowledge on land degradation, performance of various land management and soil water conservation technologies, soil and water conservation (SWC) interventions, the effectiveness of various implementation strategies, and the impacts of policies on incentives and productivity.

However, the results are often contradictory. There has been very little systematic comparative research on diverse SWC technologies, their performance, the conditions for which specific technologies are most appropriate, and accompanying crop, land, and water management practices that enhance their productivity.

In general, water management practices and technologies, ways to improve the productivity of water used by crops, livestock, and agroforestry, and the outcomes and social and economic impacts of these technologies are not well-researched in Ethiopia.

More broadly, implementation programs have rarely included an applied research component. Today, the Ethiopian government has comprehensive and well-thought out policies to promote agricultural and rural development, water resources development, environmental conservation, and poverty reduction, among others.

Historically, however, SLM programs were driven from the top and there is evidence that SWC structures promoted by government were often not perceived positively by farmers. There are many cases of inappropriate technologies being promoted and construction of structures that were not used. There are also excellent examples of community-owned and managed SLM that enabled communities to maintain and sustain the productivity of their agricultural systems.
In recent years, government programs, integrated rural development programs, and NGOs all began to adopt a new approach to implementation. The lead program in this was MERET (‘Managing Environmental Resources to Enable Transitions’), a three-decade collaboration of the World Food Program and the Ministry of Agriculture.

While current approaches to promoting SLM are far more participatory and community-driven than in the past, there are still challenges and limitations.

- Programs tend to promote several ‘best-practice’ packages with little recognition of the value of farmer knowledge and indigenous practices.
- Some SLM programs have not completed the transition from reducing land degradation as a goal to improving water and land management to increase and sustain productivity.
- There is no specific policy with regard to the management of rainwater—specifically so-called ‘green water.’ Managing water for productivity and ecosystem functions should start from rainfall and examine the entire continuum, from field level to large-scale infrastructure options.
- Many RWM programs had mixed outcomes, not because the technologies were not useful but that implementation was weak. Much implementation was not sufficiently linked to research.
- The national research system has been dominated by crop breeding, identification of improved or new varieties, and soil research. Support to land and water management and RMS was very limited.
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