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This presentation draws on three different studies from three different projects.

All three were focused on natural resource governance, but all three in the end had implications for land use planning.
An institutional assessment of the Mt. Marsabit governance system

- Study focused treated Mt. Marsabit as a landscape ecosystem

- Considered on institutions and governance as they were prior to the implementation of new constitution
At what level do these actors operate?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Actor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marsabit District</td>
<td>ALRMP, NEMA, DSG, DEC, DSC, County Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mt. Marsabit</td>
<td>KFS, KWS, MECOG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>EMCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Ward Councilors, Traditional Institutions, NGOs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mt. Marsabit – institutional linkages

- Those governance actors with greatest levels of accountability and legitimacy were poorly connected to
- Key coordination forums
- Those governance actors with the greatest ability to mobilize resources
- This compromised the overall capacity of the institutional system
Institutional dimensions of climate change adaptation in Middle Kaiti, Makueni County

- WRUAs and CFAs, key local organizations

- A need for collaboration amongst them, and vertically with the County
The hilly landscape of middle Kaiti, Makueni
Middle Kaiti Landscape, Makueni County

- We identified the landscape as a “problemshed”
- Linkages at local, watershed, landscape levels weak but improving
- Linkages up to County level poor

Many of the components are in place, but the whole is less than the sum of the parts
Study of natural resource governance structures at Garba Tula, Isiolo County

- Rangeland planning based on a traditional rangeland territory and customary institutions
- Good participatory engagement at community and rangeland level
- Efforts later to institutionalize the plan at County level have stalled
- Challenges with horizontal relationships with other pastoralists
- *Rangeland planning, NRM, LUP are all multi-level*
3.2.1 What is the Purpose of 1st stakeholder consultation?

Guide: The purpose of stakeholder engagement at this level is to:

- **Build Consensus on the need for the plan**
- **create awareness**
- **Identify issues**
- **Define planning units**
- **Capture Stakeholder aspirations**
- **Develop a vision and set objectives of the plan**

- What will be the planning units?
- How to do planning at multiple levels?
- Using LUP to strengthen institutional linkages