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Introduction

Southeast Asia is a hot spot for disease emergence as demonstrated for HPAI and SARS. In the face of disease emergence and its drivers such as urbanisation, agriculture intensification, and land use change, new integrated research approaches have been increasingly adopted in the region to facilitate collaboration across disciplines, groups and stakeholders. Those approaches include the Ecohealth and One Health concept. Both focus on integrated research but have a different history and characteristics. The EH approach was pioneered over the last decades by the International Development Research Centre, Canada (IDRC). The One Health concept builds up on Schwabe’s One Medicine, has been supported by the World Bank and is currently institutionalized by the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), FAO and WHO.

Materials and methods

To promote One Health and Ecohealth in Southeast Asia, various initiatives have been initiated over the last decade (Figure 1). For this poster we screened seven selected One Health and/or Ecohealth initiatives implemented since 2004 on their focus and characteristics. This included the following criteria:

- Focus on capacity building and curricula development
- Action research / case studies supported
- Networking between various initiatives facilitated
- Initiative was fully funded or co-funded
- Impact assessment carried out
- Scaling out demonstrated
- Evidence of various peer-reviewed international publications

Results

Summary and comparison on initiative focus and characteristics by given criteria (Note that numbers are randomly allocated to an initiative)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus and characteristics/initiatives</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capacity building (general)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curricula development</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action research / case studies funded</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecohealth</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One Health</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

- With various initiatives implemented Ecohealth and One Health has been well perceived in the region.
- All initiatives had some focus on capacity building, some had main focus on research.
- More activities focused on Ecohealth; some addressed both approaches.
- Generating peer-reviewed international publications a challenge, and typically low numbers, while large numbers of national papers produced.
- Strong networking between existing initiatives not always guaranteed
- All initiatives were donor-funded or co-funded which raises questions on sustainability.
- Scaling out evidence and impact assessments needs to be more addressed in the future.
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