



Fund

Fund Council

**12th Meeting (FC12)—Brussels, Belgium
November 4-5, 2014**

WORKING DOCUMENT

Consortium Response (22 Sept 2014)

*Submitted by:
Consortium Office*



A4NH

The response from A4NH to the written comments provided by ISPC and the CO are extensive and comprehensive. The ISPC, in particular raised serious concerns that fall into four broad categories:

- Impact pathways, theories of change and partnerships
- Gender
- Comparative advantage
- Overall A4NH research prioritization and portfolio management.

The response matrix addresses each of these points in a detailed manner and in our view the extension proposal is acceptable. However, there are two issues that will need to be considered in more detail for the new portfolio of CRPs given the extensive interest in food and nutrition security. These revolve around comparative advantage and mission creep. The response matrix addresses these points in a robust manner but further analysis and review is required which go beyond the current extension phase. The A4NH CRP will focus on the following areas during the extension phase:

Within the Value Chains for Enhanced Nutrition a focus on food systems including the double burden of under nutrition and obesity together with nutrition sensitive landscapes and sustainable diets.

For Biofortification and Integrated Programs and Policies an expansion to impact at scale through partnerships

Recommendation to approve.