

Dear Sir/Madam,

It is obvious that this report is developed on an abundant and sufficient scientific analysis and evaluation. Re-estimating and taking measures to reform the existing governance structure and decision-making process is a good way to enhance efficiency in the mobilization and allocation of the resources, and also to facilitate the participation of the stakeholders. However, each reformation is not an easy process and will take a long term to see its efficiency and results. In this case, we are affirmative with taking a first step with Option 1 and 2, with purposes not only better improving communications and transparency between the Consortium Board and the Fund Council, but balancing and intensifying the accountabilities of each.

Anyway, it is a fundamental element that the governing structure should be an effective and a long lasting one. Considering that the Consortium Board and the Fund Council are the result of the reformation taken in 2009, their performances and this kind of mechanism have been in operation for almost 6 years, it should be further improved and make it more perfect, rather than return to the origin. In this context, we assume Option 2 in particular is preferable one at this stage.

With kind regards,

Department of International Cooperation
Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS)