Farming smarter: How cover crops and integrated systems deliver climate action

Key Messages

- **Cover crops power climate action now.** Integrated farming with cover crops reduces the climate footprint, improves soil health, and fits planting cycles, making it a proven tool for ecological and economic resilience.
- **Healthier soil gives stronger harvests.** Cover crops build soil organic matter, biologically fix nitrogen[1], improve water retention, and suppress weeds and pests, which make harvests more reliable and resilient to weather shocks, supporting long-term farm viability.
- **Whole-farm systems unlock returns.** Treating the farm as one connected system cuts greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, protects soil, and boosts yields and incomes.
- **Inclusive access scales impact.** Ensuring women, youth, and smallholders can access seeds, tools, and advice accelerates adoption and amplifies benefits across communities.

Unlocking the power of integrated farming

Under Brazil's COP30 Presidency, focus is shifting from negotiation to action, using the first Global Stocktake (GST) as a compass to mobilize all stakeholders to halt and reverse deforestation by 2030, accelerate transitions, and drive transparent accountability. Agriculture and land use are central to this pivot, with cover crops[2] and integrated systems[3] offering immediate, practical solutions to cut agricultural emissions, build soil carbon, and stabilize yields under climate stress. Research shows these approaches mitigate nitrate losses, enhance soil organic carbon (SOC) and total nitrogen stocks, with the strongest benefits observed when incorporating nitrogen-fixing or deep-rooted species. These gains translate into lower nitrous oxide risk, improved nutrient cycling, and better water regulation outcomes that are measurable with standard farm and soil indicators and readily reportable under GST follow-up.

In regions like south Asia and sub-Saharan Africa, integrated systems and legume cover crops boost household food security and reduce reliance on external inputs. Adoption is higher when farmers have access to digital advisories, local seed systems, extensions services, and markets. These practices are ready for wide-scale use, enhancing mitigation, building resilience, and supporting rural livelihoods.

How integrated approaches advance COP30 goals

These practices directly operationalize GST guidance: they curb nitrogen losses and build SOC at farm scale, supporting transparent accounting of mitigation and resilience gains. They also align with deforestation-free supply goals by raising productivity on existing land and improving soil function, thereby reducing pressure for frontier expansion. Critically, integrated systems diversify incomes and buffer climate and market shocks for smallholders, offering concrete contributions to just transitions that can be embedded in Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs)/National Adaptation Plans (NAPs) updates and investment pipelines. Evidence from Andean and African smallholder systems demonstrates SOC accumulation under conservation tillage with cover crops, and shows that farmer assets, extension access, and livestock ownership are decisive for scaling factors that COP30 initiatives can target through finance, advisory services, and market partnerships. These practices are also directly aligned with COP30's emphasis on just and inclusive transitions, ensuring that smallholders, women, and youth can benefit from climate finance and market incentives when integrated systems are embedded in national policy frameworks.

For policymakers, negotiators, and practitioners alike, the evidence below shows how cover crops and integrated crop–livestock systems translate the COP30 linkages into MRV-ready action delivering interventions that ministries can finance and track, negotiators can embed in NDCs/NAPs and Article 6 pilots, and extension/value chains can scale on the ground.

Across contexts, the largest and most durable climate and resilience benefits arise when cover crops are embedded in whole-farm packages such as no-till/minimum till, diversified rotations, calibrated fertilizer use, and (where relevant) managed grazing. These packages deliver "ready-now" mitigation while strengthening yields and risk-management -exactly the dual outcomes sought by the COP30 Action Agenda.

Proven impact: What the field evidence tells us

- **Soil carbon and nitrogen gains (Mediterranean long-term trial):** In a 15-year systems trial comparing conventional tillage (CT), no-till (NT), cover crops, and nitrogen (N) regimes, NT increased soil organic carbon

1 Biological nitrogen fixation (BNF): The process by which certain plants, especially legumes, convert atmospheric nitrogen into forms usable by crops, through symbiosis with soil microbes. BNF reduces the need for synthetic fertilizers, improves soil fertility, and supports climate smart nutrient cycling.
2 Plants grown primarily to improve soil health, rather than for harvest, and sometimes ploughed into the soil at peak biomass. They reduce soil erosion, suppress weeds, pests, and diseases cycles, enhance soil fertility, structure and water retention, support biodiversity, and provide habitat for beneficial wildlife.
3 Farm level approaches that combine multiple, complementary practices, such as cover cropping, conservation agriculture, diversified crop rotations, and managed livestock. They aim to minimize trade-offs and maximize synergies across farm enterprises, optimizing resource use, enhancing ecological resilience, and reducing dependency on external inputs.

(SOC) in 0–30 cm by ~+0.61 Mg C ha^{-1} yr^{-1}, whereas SOC declined slightly under CT (−0.06 Mg C ha^{-1} yr^{-1}). Higher N rates and more productive cover crops further raised SOC and soil total N (STN), with the strongest treatment interactions in surface layers — consistent with greater carbon inputs from residues and cover-crop biomass[4].

- **Nitrogen leaching reductions and yield effects (Northern Europe):** Over 30 years of field-validated simulations show that catch crops markedly curtailed deep nitrate losses at 2 m: fodder radish ~79%, chicory ~71%, and ryegrass ~67% relative to no catch crop. Barley yields were slightly higher with radish (+2%) and slightly lower with chicory (−3%) and ryegrass (−5%), highlighting species-specific trade-offs and the need to match catch crops to local conditions. Systems with catch crops also increased soil total N and C stocks, indicating longer-term soil fertility gains alongside water-quality benefits[5].

- **Soil health and biodiversity (Mediterranean):** Under NT, surface soil (0–10 cm) organic matter rose from 2.19% (CT) to 3.31% (NT), alongside sharp gains in soil biology microbial biomass +71%, respiration +44%, and higher micro-arthropod abundance and diversity key indicators of nutrient cycling and soil function; these benefits were further amplified when NT was paired with appropriate cover crops and balanced nitrogen management[6].

- **Deep carbon in high-OM tropical highlands (Andes):** In potato-based Andisols, seven years of reduced tillage with cover crops increased whole-profile soil carbon (≈0–117 cm) by ~33–50% from ~1,224 to 1,636 t C ha^{-1} with a ~177% rise in the subsoil (A2 horizon); carbon accrued preferentially in smaller macroaggregates, indicating durable sequestration when disturbance is minimized and C inputs are sustained[7].

- **Adoption and enabling conditions (Central Africa):** Household-level econometrics show adoption and intensity of conservation practices respond to gender, extension access, technology use, farm–house distance, livestock assets, and soil fertility constraints underscoring the importance of tailored extension, inclusive design, and bundling practices (e.g., CA + cover crops + mixed systems) to reach smallholders[8].

- **Whole-farm modelling of integrated systems (South Asia):** Whole-farm modelling shows that integrating crop–livestock systems with residue retention and improved nutrient management increases soil health, reduces emissions intensity, and enhances system resilience[9,10].

Lessons from the field: Case studies in action

Mediterranean mixed rotations – field-scale carbon gains

Intervention: Transition from CT to NT with winter cover crops plus optimized N.
Outcome: SOC gains of ~0.61 Mg C ha^{-1} yr^{-1} in 0–30 cm; higher microbial activity and improved structure, especially in upper layers.
Lesson: Pair NT with productive cover crops and right-sized N to accelerate carbon accrual and soil function gains.

Northern European catch crops – water quality and productivity

Intervention: Species-specific catch crops (radish, chicory, ryegrass) deployed between main crops.
Outcome: Up to 79% reduction in nitrate leaching at 2 m; modest yield trade-offs depend on species and competition management.
Lesson: Match catch-crop rooting depth and phenology to climate/soil; integrate with fertilizer budgeting and sowing windows.

Andean highlands – deep carbon restoration under reduced tillage

Intervention: Reduced tillage + cover crops in potato rotations on Andisols.
Outcome: Profile-wide C increase (~33–50%), with large gains in subsoil and in aggregate-protected fractions.
Lesson: Conservation tillage with cover crops can rebuild deep C even in already OM-rich soils, with co-benefits for water regulation and erosion control.

Adoption levers for smallholders (SSA example)

Intervention: Extension and technology support targeted to household constraints, bundling cover crops within broader CA/mixed-system packages.
Outcome: Higher adoption intensity where extension services and livestock assets are present; design must be inclusive (gender-responsive) and context-specific.
Lesson: Invest in people and institutions-extension, cooperatives, input systems alongside agronomy.

Sapkota, T. B., Askegaard, M., Lægdsmand, M. and Olesen, J. 2012. Catch crop type and root depth: N leaching & barley yield. Field Crops Research 125:129–138.
5 Mazzoncini, M., Sapkota, T. B., Bàrberi, P., Antichi, D. and Risaliti, R. 2011. Long-term effect of tillage, N and cover crops on SOC and STN. Soil & Tillage Research 114(2): 165–174.
6 Sapkota A. 2023. Cover crop impacts on soil health & yield: long-term field + incubation. MSc thesis, University of Guelph.
7 Quintero, M. and Comerford, N. B. 2013. Conservation tillage & aggregated SOC in the Andes. Open Journal of Soil Science 3:361–373.
8 Ngaiwi, M. E., Molua, E. L., Sonwa, D. J., Meliko, M. O., Bomdzele, E. J. et al. 2023. Do farmers' socioeconomic status determine the adoption of conservation agriculture? Scientific African 19:e01498.
9 Varadan, R. J., Mamidanna, S., Kumar, S., Ahmed, S. Z. and Jaisankar, I. 2022. Whole farm modelling to assess sustainability and profitability of integrated farming systems in Tamil Nadu, India. *Agricultural Systems* 196:103347.
10 Kumar, S., Mamidanna, S., Rao, K. P. C. and Whitbread, A. 2018. Identifying low emissions development pathways – synergies and trade-offs: A case study of Mahbubnagar District, Telangana, India. *Science Forum 2018 Proceedings*. CGIAR Independent Science & Partnership Council.

Call to action

1. **Policy integration: Include integrated cover-cropping packages in NDC/NAP updates** with quantified SOC, N-leaching and yield indicators; align MRV with GST needs (soil C stock change, nutrient loss intensity, resilience metrics).

2. **Finance at scale:** Provide concessional finance for cover-crop seed systems, smallholder access to seeders/rollers, and advisory services; support multi-species mixes suited to local climate/soils.

3. **Target enabling conditions for adoption:** Strengthen extension services, empower women/youth, integrate livestock where feasible, promote local seed enterprises, peer-to-peer networks, and reduce transaction costs for farmer organizations.

4. **De-risk early adoption.** Implement results-based payments (SOC, N-loss reductions), integrate resilience gains into crop insurance, and incentivize low-emission, deforestation-free procurement.

5. **Research and innovation: Invest in long-term trials and living labs** to refine species mixes, quantify deep-soil C, and link biological indicators (e.g., acid phosphatase) to yield-based incentives.

6. **Invest in digital advisory systems.** Scaling is accelerated when farmers receive timely, location-specific guidance through mobile and Artificial Intelligence-powered platforms, complementing extension services.

7. **Youth and women leadership: Empower women and youth as delivery agents.**

Further reading

Castro-Nunez, A., Buritica, A., Holmann, F., Ngaiwi, M., Quintero, M. et al. 2024. Unlocking sustainable livestock production potential in the Colombian Amazon through paddock division and gender inclusivity. *Scientific Reports* 14(1): 13644. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-63697-2.

Ngaiwi, M. E., Molua, E. L., Sonwa, D. J., Meliko, M. O., Bomdzele, E. J. Et al. 2023. Do farmers' socioeconomic status determine the adoption of conservation agriculture? *Scientific African* 19:e01498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2022.e01498.

Sapkota, T. B., Askegaard, M., Lægdsmand, M. and Olesen, J. 2012. Catch crop type and root depth: N leaching & barley yield. *Field Crops Research* 125:129–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2011.09.009.

Quintero, M. and Comerford, N. B. 2013. Conservation tillage & aggregated SOC in the Andes. *Open Journal of Soil Science* 3:361–373. https://doi.org/10.4236/ojss.2013.38042.

Cite as: Ngaiwi, M. E.,, Quintero, M., Amenchwi, A. G., Kumar, S., Rusinamhodzi, L., Chiduwa M,. Castro-Núñez, A. and Sapkota, T. *Farming smarter: How cover crops and integrated systems deliver climate action*. CGIAR Issue Brief Series for Informing COP 30 Number X. CGIAR. Montpellier, France.

We would like to thank all funders who supported this research through their contributions to the CGIAR Trust Fund: https://www.cgiar.org/funders/