Africa RISING in the Ethiopian Highlands

Value chains, markets and economics: Africa RISING Science, Innovations and **Technologies with Scaling Potential from the Ethiopian Highlands**

¹Asfaw Negassa, ¹Barry Shapiro, ¹Teklu Kindu, ¹Asebe Abdena , ¹Jean Hansen, ¹Dirk Hoekstra and ²Willington Jogo ¹International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) & ²International Potato Center (CIP)

Key Messages

RISING

- Several promising scalable crop and livestock production technologies were identified with evidence of significant impact on production, productivity and farm household incomes: improved wheat seeds, potato seeds, forage seeds, potato, dairy animals and small ruminants.
- For example, farmers are aware of and willing to pay for improved forage seeds or planting materials-there is significant market potential for improved forage seeds.

Table 1 Summary of households' response to WTP questions

			WTP as a percentage of			
Type of forage	Willing-to-	Mean WTP	current mean			
seed	buy (%)	(ETB/Unit)	market price (%)			
Alfalfa	81	291.2	43.5			
Rhodes	79	211.4	47.0			
Pigeon Pea	71	143.0	57.2			
Desho	77	2.7	675.0			
Lablab	67	134.6	53.8			
Cowpea	64	150.4	60.2			
Oats	78	14.3	143.0			
Vetch	71	24.4	97.8			
Napier Grass	77	1.6	213.0			
Source: Survey data.						

However, sustainable scaling requires upgrading the whole value chains

Objectives and approach

- The main objective of the value chains and economic research was to investigate the potential market demand and commercial viabilities and hence scalability for selected crop and livestock value chains
- Data from multiple sources were used. Sample survey of households using structured survey instruments, group discussions, key informant interviews, participatory community assessment, telephone interviews, literature review and data was also obtained from secondary sources.
- A mixed analytical approach (involving statistical, econometric and financial models) was used to answer several research questions.

Key results

- There is significant awareness among smallholder farmers about the improved \bullet forage crops, approximately 87% of the sample farmers reported they are aware of improved forage crops of some kind.
- However, in terms of adoption only 51% of the sample households reported ever using improved forage crops

Table 2 Results of Break-even-point analysis for forage seeds

				Break-even point	
	Current Yield		Current selling		Yield
Type of FS	(Kg/ha/ year)	WTP (ETB/kg)	price (ETB/kg)	Price (ETB/kg)	(kg/ha/y ear)
Alfalfa	250	291.2	670.0	520.0	446.4
Rhodes grass	400	211.4	450.0	262.5	496.7
Pigeon Pea	900	143.0	250.0	78.0	489.5
Cowpea	650	150.4	250.0	131.0	565.2
Lablab	1400	134.6	250.0	61.0	631.5
Vetch	700	24.4	25.0	30.0	860.9
Oats	800	14.3	10.0	32.0	1,748.2
Desho	40,000	2.7	0.40	0.63	9,259.3
Napier Grass	1,000,000	1.6	0.75	0.08	48,461.3

There is strong evidence of significant potential market demand for improved forage \bullet seeds among smallholder farmers in Ethiopia, between 64–81% of the farm households surveyed were willing to buy improved FS, if FS is commercially available in the market.

Significance and scaling potential

- The scaling up strategies (either demand driven or supply driven) could be different for different improved FSs based on the comparison of WTP and breakeven point prices.
- For most FS, the amount of WTP is lower than the current market prices offered by NGOs. This indicates that (1) the NGOs and public FS projects have been providing some kind of subsidy to the FS producers; (2) Unstable FS price structure—significant drops in prices of FS could happen if the NGOs stop their participation leading to decrease in incentives for the private sector seed multiplication; (3) In a situation where WTP is less than breakeven prices, the private FS producers might find it unprofitable and cease production—especially for alfalfa, Rhodes grass, vetch and oats. *Con...*

Core partners

Water Management

Institute

For alfalfa, Rhodes grass, vetch and oats yield improvement and/or reductions in their costs of production is critical for commercial profitability.

- The variations in the amounts of WTP for different FS indicate the need for targeted supports for different FS: (1) Price support (in the short-run); (2) Promotional support; and (3) Productivity improvements.
- The demand for FS and PM is derived: the functioning of ulletlivestock and livestock products markets is also critical
- The mixed analytical approach proved useful to provide



FPR

(†)

This poster is copyrighted by the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI). It is licensed for use under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence. January 2017

FIAR

The CIP

important insights to inform the strategies for scaling up the adoption of various improved forage crops.

We thank farmers and local partners in Africa RISING sites for their contributions to this research. We also acknowledge the support of all donors which globally support the work of the CGIAR centers and their partners through their contributions to the **CGIAR** system



