



Policy Brief

Rethinking social protection in the drylands: Lessons from pastoralist mutual assistance and solidarity networks

Summary

Social protection is a program that helps people manage shocks and crises and improve their wellbeing. The main types are social assistance, social insurance, labour markets and informal safety nets.

In the Horn of Africa, formal social protection is typically provided by government led mechanisms and sometimes through humanitarian agencies.

However, declining humanitarian and development aid threatens this model, creating a protection vacuum for people in drylands, exactly as multiple crises hit them.

Thus, we see a growing recognition that informal or semi-formal protection mechanisms of communities, rooted in social and kin-based mutual relationships, can play important roles, especially in fragile contexts with limited state infrastructure and financial base.

As the search for complementary informal and formal systems accelerates, this brief explores tensions and synergies between them and ways to improve and sustain the delivery of social protection in pastoral areas.

Key messages

- Recognize the existing multi-faceted informal social assistance that communities have long used and relied on, not just during crises. Formal social protection can supplement, but not supplant, this.
- Fragmented social protection approaches fail to cushion people against multiple intersecting crises.
- National social protection policies typically fail to fully integrate and improve informal mechanisms.
- Informal mechanisms are horizontal, mutual and driven by social relations based on kinship, region and friendship. Formal mechanisms are often top-down, technocratic and driven by political interest and market logic. Different drivers reach different results.
- Social protection for pastoralist areas should shift from universal targeting to context-sensitive targeting through locally trusted channels and institutions.
- We need to better understand the two systems, how they complement one another and strategies to bundle or layer them more effectively.

Opportunities to act

- Researchers and academics to broaden their research and methodology choices to better understand linkages between formal and informal social protection.
- Governments to embed informal social protection into national social policies and provide mechanisms to nurture the agency and operation of informal systems without undermining them.
- Governments to create enabling environments for private sector actors delivering innovative and inclusive social assistance programs – such as banks, insurance and telecommunication companies – that align with the mobile nature of pastoralist communities.
- Investors to support targeted research and learning on the interconnectedness of formal and informal social protection, including how they complement one other and can best be strengthened and supported to safeguard the lives, assets and resources of pastoralist communities.

Social protection contributes to social stability and cohesion in areas that are structurally excluded from public services.

However, it is underfunded and its reach is limited. In Sub-Saharan Africa, 75% of the population is left out of formal coverage (World Bank, 2024).

Facing these challenges, this brief explores the potential for complementary ‘informal’ risk and protection mechanisms to fill the gaps, providing more and better support than formal mechanisms can do alone.

It also examines how social protection – formal and informal – can better respond to the specific needs of pastoralist communities – through innovative finance, innovative targeting and connected strategies.

It argues that there are now many opportunities to make formal social protection more effective by leveraging and supporting the informal systems that underpin pastoralist lives and livelihoods; and enabling the beneficiary pastoralists to layer different forms of social protection according to the severity of the shocks as well as their own capacities and resources.

Informal risk management

Pastoralists in the drylands have long relied on various informal social risk management mechanisms and adaptive strategies. These are sustained by diverse social networks and proactive investment in social relations based on mutual trust and solidarity. Evolving over time, they help both to manage crises and to deal with everyday needs.

Innovative finance

Finance gaps in formal social protection can be filled by exploring alternative funding instruments. This may include encouraging local governments to allocate a percentage of development funds for social protection, linking public works to match collective labour in pastoralist areas, or by working with the private sector.

A business example is the Dahabshiil money transfer company that matches donations by their clients when sending remittances to Somalia. As shown in the image below, the company encourages clients to add charity contributions to their remittances, matching each contribution and delivering them through a trusted foundation.



We Did It. Thank You!

This Ramadan, our community showed up, and the impact speaks for itself. Together, you donated \$54,361 toward Somalia drought relief. Dahabshiil matched every dollar, bringing the total to \$108,722 going directly to the Dahabshiil Charity Foundation on the ground in Somalia.

That's the power of giving together.

None of this would have been possible without every single person who added a donation to their transfer. Whether you gave \$1 or \$1,000, you were part of something real, and something that will make a genuine difference to families who needed it most.

Inclusive targeting

Targeting in formal social protection is tied to complex institutional arrangements, state capacities to reach people, and functional delivery systems.

In many pastoralist areas, such delivery and targeting systems are not always available, leading to eligible individuals missing support, for example through limited civil registration or low literacy.

Moreover, delivery is often through universal cash, food and services that may misalign with pastoralists' seasonal calendars and needs (Ali and Hobson, 2009), for instance by providing food that is not part of pastoralist diets. Instead of delivering 'one size fits all', shock-responsive social protection should be adapted to match specific pastoralist needs.

In many pastoralist areas, Index-Based Livestock Insurance is used to enhance resilience against shocks like drought. While such interventions have improved household wellbeing and reduced chronic poverty (Janzen and Carter, 2018), flawed targeting, inadequate payments, gender blindness, and competing expectations risk exclusion (Begeant and Barrett, 2017; Johnson et al., 2019; Taye, 2025).

This exclusion can be overcome by improved targeting and working with trusted local agencies and leaders (Porisky et al., 2023; Lind et al., 2022).

The logic of individualized targeting does not always fit in pastoralist contexts where livestock and resources are owned and managed collectively (Johnson et al., 2023). Adopting more collective targeting, such as through collective index insurance might improve the sustainability of such programs in the longer term (Santos et al., 2021).

Lessons can also be drawn from the ways that pastoralist social assistance is delivered collectively through joint labour relations, collective savings groups and collective resource governance (Johnson et al., 2023; Mohamed 2022).

Linking strategies and systems

Linking formal and informal social protection offers flexibility in designing, targeting and delivering anticipatory and responsive assistance tailored to the needs of pastoralist communities and better matching the rhythms of their livelihood calendars.

It also diversifies options available to pastoralists, reducing their vulnerability and dependence on any single mechanism.

However, it is vital that the two systems, especially the formal ones, do not unintentionally compete, contradict or undermine activities of the other – this requires excellent coordination and communication as well as mutual understanding and respect.

More

- Bageant, R. and Barrett C.B. 2017. Are there gender differences in demand for index-based livestock insurance? *Journal of Development Studies* 53(6): 932-952. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2016.1214717>
- Ali A., and Hobson, M. 2009. Social protection in pastoral areas. London: Overseas Development Institute. <https://odi.org/en/publications/social-protection-in-pastoral-areas>
- Janzen, S.A. and Carter, M.R. 2018. After the Drought: The Impact of Microinsurance on Consumption Smoothing and Asset Protection. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 101(3): 651-671. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aay061>
- Johnson, L., et al. 2022. Uncertainty in the Drylands: Reimagining In/formal Insurance from Pastoral East Africa. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 55(8): <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X231168396>
- Johnson, L., et al. 2019. Competing Expectations in an Index-Based Livestock Insurance Project. *Journal of Development Studies* 55(6): 1221-1239. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2018.1453603>
- Lind, J., et al. 2022. Targeting social transfers in Ethiopia's agro-pastoralist and pastoralist societies. *Development and Change* 53(2): 279-307. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dech.12694>
- Mohamed, T. S. 2022. The role of the moral economy in response to uncertainty among Borana pastoralists of Northern Kenya, Isiolo County. PhD thesis. Brighton: University of Sussex. <https://hdl.handle.net/10779/uos.23494508>
- Porisky, A., et al. 2023. Kenya's 'universal' social pension: The politics of registration in Marsabit County. *World Development* 164: 106164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2022.106164>
- Santos, F.P., et al. 2021. Dynamics of informal risk sharing in collective index insurance. *Nature Sustainability* 4: 426-432 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00667-2>
- TalkRemit. Double Your Impact: Help Families Facing Drought in Somalia. <https://www.talkremit.com/blog/double-your-impact-help-families-facing-drought-in-somalia/>
- Taye, M. 2025. Financializing Drought Risk: Introducing Neoliberal Assumptions into Africa's Drylands. *Journal of Development Studies* 61(6): 890-913. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220388.2024.2441696>
- World Bank. ASPIRE: The atlas of social protection indicators of resilience and equity. Washington, DC: World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/data/datatopics/aspire>



In April 2026, the Jameel Observatory for Food Security Early Action convened an ‘evidence capitalization workshop’ with partners to synthesize insights and key messages on issues and opportunities that can advance early action for dryland resilience and prosperity in the Horn of Africa. This brief and accompanying short video are products of this exercise.

This brief was authored by: Tahira Mohamed, Jameel Observatory for Food Security Early Action and International Livestock Research Institute; Abdishakur Diriye, University of Edinburgh

We acknowledge inputs of the authors and reviewers as well as the organizations that finance their work. More information on these briefs: jameelobservatory.org/evidence-capitalization

Cite this brief: Mohamed, T.S. and Diriye, A. 2026. Rethinking social protection in the drylands: Lessons from pastoralist mutual assistance and solidarity networks. Policy Brief. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh.

The Jameel Observatory for Food Security Early Action is an international partnership led by the University of Edinburgh collaborating with the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Save the Children, the Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab (J-PAL) and Community Jameel.

jameelobservatory.org

With a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 international licence, June 2026
Images front and back pages by Nick Danziger for the Jameel Observatory