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ABOUT THIS DATA NOTE | The Transforming Agrifood Systems in South Asia (TAFSSA) district agrifood systems assessment aims to provide a reliable, accessible, and integrated evidence base that links farm production, market access, dietary patterns, climate risk responses, and natural resource management with gender as a cross-cutting issue in rural areas of Bangladesh, India, and Nepal. It is designed to be a multi-year assessment. Using data collected in March–April 2023, this data note describes women’s say or agency in various household decisions, their physical and financial independence, their mobility, and their perceptions of domestic violence. This is one of a set of data notes that, together, provide a holistic picture of the agrifood system in the district.

Figure 1. District location in Nepal

Figure 2. Highlights from this data note
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<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Average years of education of adult women 20-79 years old</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>Women make decisions alone about own health in households with adult men</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OVERVIEW OF CONTENTS

TAFSSA's district agrifood systems assessment aimed to interview three respondents per household: a female adult (aged 20+ years), a male adult (aged 20+ years), and an adolescent (aged 10-19 years). Information on the household and respondent sampling strategy is provided at the end of this data note.

In this data note, you will get a picture of critical aspects of women's empowerment for the surveyed adult women (from the survey households), based on their responses. It begins with an introduction to background characteristics of these households, providing essential context for the sample. From there, we delve into indicators of women’s financial and physical independence.

You will also get insight into what decisions women can make. Respondents were asked who in the house is responsible for various decisions involving women’s own health, child-related matters, expenditures, agriculture, and food. Then we examine aspects of women’s mobility, as indicated by whether they need permission to travel to various destinations, including in the neighborhood, markets, healthcare facilities, or religious sites. You will also learn about their attitudes toward domestic violence against women in various scenarios that they may encounter in their daily lives.

Finally, we present a comparative picture for a selected set of these indicators between households with and without any adult male members. This provides a sense of the impact of adult men’s presence or absence on intrahousehold decision making and mobility among adult women. More detail about the measurement methods are found in the following pages.
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Table 1. Household and individual characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household characteristics</th>
<th>Individual characteristics</th>
<th>Adult female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of surveyed HHs</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female-headed, %</td>
<td>Mean age, years</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education of head, years</td>
<td>Education, mean years</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average household size, members</td>
<td>Married, %</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involved in agriculture, %</td>
<td>Employed, %</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has improved toilet, %</td>
<td>Primary occupation, %</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking water source</td>
<td>Unpaid household work</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piped into yard or plot, %</td>
<td>Farming</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public taps/standpipe, %</td>
<td>Casual farm labor (paid)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main source of income</td>
<td>Casual nonfarm labor (paid)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop cultivation, %</td>
<td>Salaried/self employed</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remittance, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary, %</td>
<td>Household head herself</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relation to household head, %</td>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of fuel used for cooking</td>
<td>Daughter/Son-in law</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw/grass, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG/natural gas, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Financial independence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Have own money to use</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have own bank account</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever used this bank account*</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Frequency of meeting or talking to maternal family members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Have own bank account</th>
<th>0%</th>
<th>50%</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Have own mobile bank account</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have own bank account</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever used this bank account*</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Figure 3 shows ownership of financial assets by respondents. They were asked direct questions about these assets that could be answered in yes or no. ‘Have own money to use’ denotes the percentage of respondents with personal funds, in any form, available for discretionary use. *267 women who answered yes to having own bank account were asked if they ever used it. Figure 4 shows the frequency of traveling outside of the home to meet maternal family members.

FINDINGS

✓ While 62% women have their own money to use, while about half the respondents have a bank account.
✓ 4 out of 10 women visit their maternal family members several times a year.
**Figure 5. Percent of decisions made by different household members in households with and without any adult men**

*Health & health expenditure*

- Own health
- Number of children
- Contraceptive method use
- Expenditure on women's health
- Expenditure on men's health
- Expenditure on children's health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Woman alone</th>
<th>Woman jointly with others</th>
<th>Spouse alone</th>
<th>Spouse jointly with others</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Own health</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contraceptive method use</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure on women's health</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure on men's health</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenditure on children's health</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This figure shows who in the household participates when decisions are being made about different health-related issues. ‘Others’ refers to household members other than spouse and/or non-household members.

**FINDINGS**

- Few women can make decisions alone. Most of them take decisions jointly with their spouse or other household members.
- Most women take decisions about their own health alone in households without adult men.
Figure 6. Percent of decisions made by different household members in households with and without any adult men

*Child-related matters*

- Woman alone
- Woman jointly with others
- Spouse alone
- Spouse jointly with others
- Others
- Woman jointly with spouse
- Woman and/or spouse jointly with others
- Not applicable

Households with adult men
N=334

Households without adult men
N=166

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision</th>
<th>Households with adult men</th>
<th>Households without adult men</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sending female child to school</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sending male child to school</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage of female children</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriage of male children</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This figure shows who in the household participates when decisions are being made about different child-related matters. ‘Others’ refers to household members other than spouse and/or non-household members.

**FINDINGS**

✓ Most women take decisions jointly with spouse in child-related matters.
✓ Proportion of decisions that spouse can take alone is lower in households without adult men.
✓ Very few women take decisions alone about marriage of male or female children.
Figure 7. Percent of decisions made by different household members in households with and without any adult men

**Agriculture & food**

![Bar chart showing the percentage of decisions made by different household members.]

- What to grow in the home/kitchen garden
- What crops to cultivate in the field
- Use of fertilizer
- What foods are bought for the household
- What foods to prepare on a day-to-day basis

Note: This figure shows who in the household participates when decisions are being made about different agriculture and food related issues. ‘Others’ refers to household members other than spouse and/or non-household members.

**FINDINGS**

- A substantial proportion of women take decisions related to home gardens and crop cultivation alone in households without adult men.
- Most women decide what foods to prepare in all households, with or without adult men.
Women’s decision-making power

9% of women from households with adult male members decide alone what crops to grow, whereas 59% of women from households without adult male members decide alone.
Figure 8. Respondent women needing permission from a household member to travel to various places in households with and without any adult men

Households with adult men  
N=334

Households without adult men  
N=166

Market

Nearby friend/family house

Temple, church or mosque

Public village meeting

Meeting of association

Outside village

See healthcare provider

Note: This figure shows the share of women who need to ask permission from a household member to travel outside of their houses to various places in and around the village. The respondents were presented with the option “not applicable” if they do not travel to a specific place that was asked about in the questionnaire.

FINDINGS
✓ Many women do not need permission from a household member to travel to nearby places, religious places or places within the village in households without adult men.
✓ Most women always need permission to travel outside the village.
Women’s physical autonomy

58% of women always need permission to travel to the market in households with adult men, while 29% always need permission in households without adult men.
**Figure 9. Share of respondent women who agree that a husband is justified in hitting his wife in different scenarios**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>When wife burns the food</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When wife refuses to have sex</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When wife neglects children</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When wife goes out without telling</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When wife argues with husband</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any of the above scenario</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This figure shows women’s perceptions about a husband hitting his wife. Women respondents were presented with multiple common scenarios and asked if they think a husband is justified in hitting his wife in those scenarios. “Any of the above scenarios” refers to responding yes to any one of the scenarios presented. The sample includes all households with or without adult men.

**FINDINGS**

✓ About 1/5th of the women respondents justify hitting from husband in any scenario presented to them.
KEY TAKEAWAYS

1. Average years of education of adult women 20-79 years old with an adolescent residing in their household is only 4 years.
2. Every 3 in 10 women are a part of workforce, with 43% of women engaged in farming activities.
3. Financial inclusion is limited, half of the women have a bank account but only 7% of women have a mobile bank account.
4. Decision-making agency of women is low, as women take most decisions jointly with their spouse or other household members. Women have the most say only in food preparation decisions.
5. Most women always require permission to travel outside village.
6. Few women agree that a husband is justified in hitting his wife in at least one day-to-day scenario.

KEY QUESTIONS FOR ACTION

1. What are the barriers to financial literacy and how could financial independence be promoted among women?
2. What strategies can promote equal say for women in household decisions, especially concerning their own health?
3. What measures can households endorse and support to improve women's autonomy?

SURVEY METHODOLOGY

Ward and household sampling

We selected 25 wards in the district with a probability proportional to the number of households that reside in each ward. Within each ward, we conducted a household listing to identify eligible households, that is, those with adolescents (10-19 years old). From the households with adolescents, we randomly invited 20 households to participate in the survey. If a household refused, we replaced that household with another randomly selected eligible household, to retain a total of 500 households in the district. Thus, the findings reported in this data note are representative of rural households from this district that include an adolescent.

Respondent selection

Within households, one adult female aged 20+ years, one adult male aged 20+ years, and one adolescent aged 10-19 years were selected as the respondents for the survey. When multiple adolescents were living in a household, the oldest adolescent was selected. In some households, an adult male was not available (often due to migration for work). In such households, the female was the only adult respondent (see Table 1 for respondent sample sizes). At the beginning of the interview, the adult in the household primarily involved in agriculture (either male or female) and the adult primarily responsible for food purchasing (either male or female) were identified as the primary respondents.
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