







# **List of Descriptors**

Medicago (Annual) \* (E,F)

Mung bean \* (E)

Panicum miliaceum

and P. sumatrense (E)

Oat \* (E)

Oca \* (S)

Oil palm (E)

Papaya (E)

Peach \* (E)

Pear \* (E)

A.1	4005	D1 11 - ( /E E)	1002
Almond (revised) * (E)	1985	Pearl millet (E,F)	1993
Apple (E)	1982	Phaseolus acutifolius (E)	1985
Apricot * (E)	1984	Phaseolus coccineus * (E)	1983
Avocado (E,S)	1995	Phaseolus vulgaris * (E,P)	1982
Bambara groundnut (E,F)	2000	Pigeonpea (E)	1993
Banana (E,S,F)	1996	Pineapple (E)	1991
Barley (E)	1994	Pistacia (excluding Pistacia vera) (E)	1998
Beta (E)	1991	Pistachio (E,F)	1997
Black pepper (E,S)	1995	Plum * (E)	1985
Brassica and Raphanus (E)	1990	Potato variety * (E)	1985
Brassica campestris L. (E)	1987	Quinua * (E)	1981
Buckwheat (E)	1994	Rice * (E)	1980
Capsicum (E,S)	1995	Rocket (E)	1999
Cardamom (E)	1994	Rye and Triticale * (E)	1985
Carrot (E,S,F)	1999	Safflower * (E)	1983
Cashew (E)	1986	Sesame * (E)	1981
Cherry * (E)	1985	Setaria italica	
Chickpea (E)	1993	and <i>S. pumilia</i> (E)	1985
Citrus (E,F,S)	1999	Sorghum (E,F)	1993
Coconut (E)	1992	Soyabean * (E,C)	1984
Coffee (E,S,F)	1996	Strawberry (E)	1986
Cotton (Revised) (E)	1985	Sunflower * (E)	1985
Cowpea (E)	1983	Sweet potato (E,S,F)	1991
Cultivated potato * (E)	1977	Taro (E,F,S)	1999
Echinochloa millet * (É)	1983	Tea (E,S,F)	1997
Eggplant (E,F)	1990	Tomato (E, S, F)	1996
Faba bean * (E)	1985	Tropical fruit * (E)	1980
Finger millet (É)	1985	Vigna aconitifolia	
Forage grass * (E)	1985	and <i>V. trilobata</i> (E)	1985
Forage legumes * (E)	1984	Vigna mungo	
Grapevine (E,S,F)	1997	and <i>V. radiata</i> (Revised) * (E)	1985
Groundnut (E,S,F)	1992	Walnut (E)	1994
Jackfruit (E)	2000	Wheat (Revised) * (E)	1985
Kodo millet * (E)	1983	Wheat and Aegilops * (E)	1978
Lathyrus spp. (E)	2000	White Clover (E)	1992
Lentil * (E)	1985	Winged Bean * (E)	1979
Lima bean * (E,P)	1982	Xanthosoma (E)	1989
	1982	Yam (E,S,F)	1997
Lupin * (E,S)	1991	14111 (12,0,1)	1///
Maize (E,S,F, P)		IPGRI publications are available free of char	ge to the
Mango (E)	1989	ii Gra publications are available free of Char	50 10 1110

1991

1980

1985

2001

1989

1985

1988

1985

1983

IPGRI publications are available free of charge to the libraries of genebanks, university departments, research institutions, etc. On request to Head, Editorial and Publications Unit, titles may also be made available to individuals who can show that they have a need for a personal copy of a publication. E, F, S, C and P indicate English, French, Spanish, Chinese, and Portuguese respectively. Titles marked with \* are available only as photocopies. Various descriptor lists are available for downloading in portable document format from IPGRI's web site (URL: <a href="http://www.ipgri.cgiar.org/">http://www.ipgri.cgiar.org/</a>).

# Descriptors for All June All June Spon Spon

The International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI) is an autonomous international scientific organization, supported by the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR). IPGRI's mandate is to advance the conservation and use of genetic diversity for the well-being of present and future generations. IPGRI's headquarters is based in Rome, Italy, with offices in another 19 countries worldwide. It operates through three programmes: (1) the Plant Genetic Resources Programme, (2) the CGIAR Genetic Resources Support Programme, and (3) the International Network for the Improvement of Banana and Plantain (INIBAP). The international status of IPGRI is conferred under an Establishment Agreement which, by January 2000, had been signed and ratified by the Governments of Algeria, Australia, Belgium, Benin, Bolivia, Brazil, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chile, China, Congo, Costa Rica, Côte d'Ivoire, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Ecuador, Egypt, Greece, Guinea, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Iran, Israel, Italy, Jordan, Kenya, Malaysia, Mauritania, Morocco, Norway, Pakistan, Panama, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Senegal, Slovakia, Sudan, Switzerland, Syria, Tunisia, Turkey, Uganda and Ukraine.

Financial support for the Research Agenda of IPGRI is provided by the Governments of Australia, Austria, Belgium, Brazil, Bulgaria, Canada, China, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, F.R. Yugoslavia (Serbia and Montenegro), Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Republic of Korea, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Macedonia (F.Y.R.), Malta, Mexico, the Netherlands, Norway, Peru, the Philippines, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, the UK, the USA and by the Asian Development Bank, Common Fund for Commodities, Technical Centre for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation (CTA), European Environment Agency (EEA), European Union, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), International Development Research Centre (IDRC), International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), Internationale en recherche agronomique pour le développement (CIRAD), Nordic Genebank, Rockefeller Foundation, United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Taiwan Banana Research Institute (TBRI) and the World Bank.

The ECP/GR Allium Working Group is made of members, nominated by the National Coordinators, who are responsible for representing the activities and interests of their country with regard to Allium genetic resources. A Chair, elected by the members, coordinates the group. The Working Group members ensure effective links between ECP/GR and the respective stakeholders at the national level. Working Group members and other scientists from participating countries carry out an agreed workplan with their own resources as inputs in kind to the Programme. A central element in the Working Groups' activities is the ECP/GR Allium Database (http://www.hri.ac.uk/site2/research/PGB/ecpgr/ecpgr.htm), which is managed by Genetic Resources Unit, Horticulture Research International, Wellesbourne, United Kingdom. This database has the dual role of providing users with information on the germplasm maintained in Europe and providing the Group with a tool allowing it to take informed decisions and give recommendations regarding the management of national collections such as priority-setting, rationalization and safety-duplication. Other activities of the Working Group include the planning of joint research or collecting projects, the promotion of the utilization of genetic resources (e.g. through the establishment and evaluation of European core collections) and the regional coordination of in situ and ex situ conservation activities.

The Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center (AVRDC) was established in 1971 to help improve the nutrition, health and incomes of people in developing countries through improved vegetable varieties and methods of vegetable production, marketing and distribution, which take into account the need to preserve the quality of the environment.

#### Citation

IPGRI, ECP/GR, AVRDC. 2001. Descriptors for Allium (*Allium* spp.). International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy; European Cooperative Programme for Crop Genetic Resources Networks (ECP/GR), Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center, Taiwan

#### ISBN 92-9043-506-2

IPGRI encourages the use of material from this publication for educational or other non-commercial purposes without prior permission from the copyright holder. Acknowledgement of IPGRI's material is required. This publication is available to download in portable document format from URL: <a href="http://www.ipgri.cgiar.org/">http://www.ipgri.cgiar.org/</a>

IPGRI	ECP/GR Secretariat	AVRDC
via dei Tre Denari 472/a	c/o IPGRI	P.O. Box 42
00057 Maccarese	via dei Tre Denari 472/a	Shanhua
Rome	00057 Maccarese	Tainan 741
Italy	Rome, Italy	Taiwan

<sup>©</sup> International Plant Genetic Resources Institute 2001

# **CONTENTS**

PREFACE	V
DEFINITIONS AND USE OF THE DESCRIPTORS	1
PASSPORT	3
1. Accession descriptors	3
2. Collecting descriptors	5
MANAGEMENT	ç
3. Management descriptors	Ģ
4. Multiplication/regeneration descriptors	11
ENVIRONMENT AND SITE	13
5. Characterization and/or evaluation site descriptors	13
6. Collecting and/or characterization/evaluation site environment des	criptors 14
CHARACTERIZATION	16
7. Plant descriptors	16
EVALUATION	25
8. Plant descriptors	25
9. Abiotic stress susceptibility	27
10. Biotic stress susceptibility	28
11. Biochemical markers	30
12. Molecular markers	30
13. Cytological characters	31
14. Identified genes	31
BIBLIOGRAPHY	32
CONTRIBUTORS	34
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	37
ANNEX I. Basic list of minimum discriminating descriptors for <i>Allium</i> sp	pecies 38
ANNEX II. Collecting form for allium	41

## **PREFACE**

Descriptors for Allium is a revision of a list of descriptors that appeared in Genetic Resources of *Allium* (AGPG/IBPGR/81/77, IBPGR 1982). This revised descriptor list is based on the work of a team of ECP/GR *Allium* descriptors crop subgroup members and experts from AVRDC. A draft version of the revision prepared in the internationally accepted IPGRI format for descriptor lists was subsequently sent to a number of international experts for their comments and amendments. A full list of the names and addresses of those involved is given in 'Contributors'. The 1982 descriptor numbers are given in parentheses beside the present descriptors for cross-referencing purposes.

IPGRI encourages the collecting of data for all five types of descriptors (see Definitions and Use of Descriptors), whereby data from the first four categories—*Passport, Management, Environment and Site,* and *Characterization*—should be available for any accession. The number of descriptors selected in each of the categories will depend on the crop and their importance to the crop's description. Descriptors listed under Evaluation allow for a more extensive description of the accession, but generally require replicated trials over a period of time.

Although the suggested coding should not be regarded as the definitive scheme, this format represents an important tool for a standardized characterization system and it is promoted by IPGRI throughout the world.

This descriptor list provides an international format and thereby produces a universally understood 'language' for plant genetic resources data. The adoption of this scheme for data encoding, or at least the production of a transformation method to convert other schemes into the IPGRI format, will produce a rapid, reliable and efficient means for information storage, retrieval and communication, and will assist with the utilization of germplasm. It is recommended, therefore, that information should be produced by closely following the descriptor list with regard to ordering and numbering descriptors, using the descriptors specified, and using the descriptor states recommended.

This descriptor list is intended to be comprehensive for the descriptors that it contains. This approach assists with the standardization of descriptor definitions. IPGRI, however, does not assume that curators will characterize accessions of their collection utilizing all descriptors given. Descriptors should be used when they are useful to the curator for the management and maintenance of the collection and/or to the users of the plant genetic resources.

Multicrop passport descriptors were developed jointly by IPGRI and FAO, to provide consistent coding schemes for common passport descriptors across crops. They are marked in the text as [MCPD], along with a few additional specific descriptors used in the ECP/GR *Allium* database and indicated as [EA]. Please note that owing to the generic nature of the multicrop passport descriptors, not all descriptor states for a particular descriptor will be relevant to a specific crop. A key for the most important species of *Allium* is given in Annex I, which will help in their identification. In Annex II, the reader will find a Collecting form for *Allium* that will facilitate data collecting.

Any suggestions for improvement on the Descriptors for *Allium* will be highly appreciated by IPGRI, ECP/GR and AVRDC.

# DEFINITIONS AND USE OF THE DESCRIPTORS

IPGRI uses the following definitions in genetic resources documentation:

Passport descriptors: These provide the basic information used for the general management of the accession (including registration at the genebank and other identification information) and describe parameters that should be observed when the accession is originally collected.

Management descriptors: These provide the basis for the management of accessions in the genebank and assist with their multiplication and regeneration.

Environment and site descriptors: These describe the environmental and site-specific parameters that are important when characterization and evaluation trials are held. They can be important for the interpretation of the results of those trials. Site descriptors for germplasm collecting are also included here.

Characterization descriptors: These enable an easy and quick discrimination between phenotypes. They are generally highly heritable, can be easily seen by the eye and are equally expressed in all environments. In addition, these may include a limited number of additional traits thought desirable by a consensus of users of the particular crop.

**Evaluation descriptors:** The expression of many of the descriptors in this category will depend on the environment and, consequently, special experimental designs and techniques are needed to assess them. Their assessment may also require complex biochemical or molecular characterization methods. These types of descriptors include characters such as yield, agronomic performance, stress susceptibilities and biochemical and cytological traits. They are generally the most interesting traits in crop improvement.

Characterization will normally be the responsibility of genebank curators, while evaluation will typically be carried out elsewhere (possibly by a multidisciplinary team of scientists). The evaluation data should be fed back to the genebank, which will maintain a data file.

The following internationally accepted norms for the scoring, coding and recording of descriptor states should be followed:

- (a) the Système International d'Unités (SI) is used;
- (b) the units to be applied are given in square brackets following the descriptor name;
- (c) standard colour charts, e.g. Royal Horticultural Society Colour Chart, Methuen Handbook of Colour, or Munsell Color Chart for Plant Tissues, are strongly recommended for all ungraded colour characters (the precise chart used should be specified in the section where it is used);

- (d) the three-letter abbreviations from the *International Standard (ISO) Codes for the representation of names of countries* is used;
- (e) many quantitative characters which are continuously variable are recorded on a 1-9 scale, where:

1 Very low 6 Intermediate to high

2 Very low to low 7 High

3 Low 8 High to very high

4 Low to intermediate 9 Very high

5 Intermediate

is the expression of a character. The authors of this list have sometimes described only a selection of the states, e.g. 3, 5 and 7 for such descriptors. Where this has occurred, the full range of codes is available for use by extension of the codes given or by interpolation between them, e.g. in Section 10 (Biotic stress susceptibility), 1 = very low susceptibility and 9 = very high susceptibility;

(f) when a descriptor is scored using a 1–9 scale, such as in (e), '0' would be scored when (i) the character is not expressed; (ii) a descriptor is inapplicable. In the following example, '0' will be recorded if an accession does not have a central leaf lobe:

# Shape of central leaf lobe

- 1 Linear
- 2 Elliptic
- 3 Lanceolate
- (g) absence/presence of characters is scored as in the following example:

## Terminal leaflet

- 0 Absent
- 1 Present
- (h) blanks are used for information not yet available;
- (i) for accessions which are not generally uniform for a descriptor (e.g. mixed collection, genetic segregation), the mean and standard deviation could be reported where the descriptor is continuous. Where the descriptor is discontinuous, several codes in the order of frequency could be recorded; or other publicized methods can be utilized, such as Rana et al. (1991) or van Hintum (1993), that clearly state a method for scoring heterogeneous accessions;
- (j) dates should be expressed numerically in the format YYYYMMDD, where

YYYY - 4 digits to represent the year

MM - 2 digits to represent the month

DD - 2 digits to represent the day.

# **PASSPORT**

All descriptors listed under Passport, belonging to the multicrop passport descriptors category, are indicated in the text as [MCPD]

# 1. Accession descriptors

1.1 Institute code [MCPD]

Code of the institute where the accession is maintained. The codes consist of the 3-letter ISO 3166 country code of the country where the institute is located plus a number. The current set of Institute Codes is available from FAO website (http://apps3.fao.org/wiews/). If new Institute Codes are required, they can be generated online by national WIEWS administrators.

#### 1.2 Accession number

(1.1) [MCPD]

This number serves as a unique identifier for accessions within a genebank collection, and is assigned when a sample is entered into the genebank collection. Once assigned this number should never be reassigned to another accession in the collection. Even if an accession is lost, its assigned number should never be re-used. Letters should be used before the number to identify the genebank or national system (e.g. IDG indicates an accession that comes from the genebank at Bari, Italy; CGN indicates an accession from the genebank at Wageningen, The Netherlands; PI indicates an accession within the USA system).

#### 1.3 Donor institute code

(1.2) [MCPD]

Code for the donor institute. (See instructions under Institute Code, 1.1)

#### 1.4 Donor accession number

(1.3) [MCPD]

Number assigned to an accession by the donor. (See instructions under Accession Number, 1.2)

1.5 Other identification number(s) associated with the accession (1.4) [MCPD] Any other identification (numbers) known to exist in other collections for this accession. Use the following system: INSTCODE:ACCENUMB;INSTCODE: ACCENUMB;... INSTCODE and ACCENUMB follow the standard described above and are separated by a colon. Pairs of INSTCODE and ACCENUMB are separated by a semicolon without space. When the institute is not known, the number should be preceded by a colon.

**1.6 Genus** (1.5.1) [MCPD]

Genus name for taxon. Initial uppercase letter required.

**1.7 Species** (1.5.2) [MCPD]

Specific epithet portion of the scientific name in lowercase letters. The abbreviation 'sp.' is allowed.

## 1.7.1 Species authority

[MCPD]

Provide the authority for the species name

1.8 Subtaxa [MCPD]

Subtaxa can be used to store any additional taxonomic identifier. The following abbreviations are allowed: 'subsp.' (for subspecies); 'convar.' (for convariety); 'var.' (for variety); 'f.' (for form).

## 1.8.1 Subtaxa authority

[MCPD]

Provide the authority at the most detailed taxonomic level

#### 1.9 Ancestral data

(1.6) [MCPD]

Provide any information about either pedigree or other ancestral information

#### 1.10 Accession name

[MCPD]

Either a registered or other formal designation given to the accession. First letter uppercase. Multiple names separated with semicolon without space.

# 1.10.1 Synonyms

Include here any previous identification other than the current name. Collecting number or newly assigned station names are frequently used as identifiers.

#### 1.11 Common name

(1.12) [MCPD]

- 1 Dry bulb onion
- 2 Shallot
- 3 Japanese bunching onion/Welsh onion
- 4 Garlic
- 5 Leek
- 6 Kurrat
- 7 Great-headed garlic/elephant garlic
- 8 Chive
- 9 Rakkyo
- 10 Chinese chive/Oriental garlic/Nira
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **1.12 Remarks**)

# 1.12 Remarks

The Remarks field is used to add notes or to elaborate on descriptors with value '99' (=Other)

# 2. Collecting descriptors

#### 2.1 Collecting institute(s)

(2.2)[EA]

Name and address of the institute(s) and individual(s) collecting/sponsoring the collection of the sample(s)

## 2.2 Collecting number

(2.1) [MCPD]

Original number assigned by the collector(s) of the sample, normally composed of the name or initials of the collector(s) followed by a number. This item is essential for identifying duplicates held in different collections.

# 2.3 Collecting date of sample [YYYYMMDD]

(2.3) [MCPD]

Collecting date of the sample where YYYY is the year, MM is the month and DD is the day. Missing data (MM or DD) should be indicated with hyphens. Leading zeros are required.

## 2.4 Country of origin

(2.4) [MCPD]

Code of the country in which the sample was originally collected. Use the three-letter abbreviations from the *International Standard (ISO) Codes for the representation of names of countries*. The ISO 3166-1: Code List can be found at:

http://www.un.org/Depts/unsd/methods/m49alpha.htm.

# 2.5 Location of collecting site

(2.5; 2.6) [MCPD]

Location information below the country level that describes where the accession was collected. This might include the distance in kilometers and direction from the nearest town, village or map grid reference point (e.g. 7 km south of Curitiba in the state of Parana).

# 2.6 Latitude of collecting site<sup>1</sup>

(2.7)[MCPD]

Degree (2 digits), minutes (2 digits) and seconds (2 digits) followed by N (North) or S (South) (e.g. 103020S). Every missing digit (minutes or seconds) should be indicated with a hyphen. Leading zeros are required (e.g. 10----S; 011530N; 4531--S).

# 2.7 Longitude of collecting site<sup>1</sup>

(2.8)[MCPD]

Degree (3 digits), minutes (2 digits) and seconds (2 digits) followed by E (East) or W (West) (e.g. 0762510W). Every missing digit (minutes or seconds) should be indicated with a hyphen. Leading zeros are required (e.g. 076----W).

# 2.8 Elevation of collecting site [m asl]

(2.9) [MCPD]

Elevation of collecting site expressed in meters above sea level. Negative values are allowed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To convert longitude and latitude in degrees (°), minutes ('), seconds ("), and a hemisphere (North or South and East or West) to decimal degrees, the following formula should be used:

 $d^{0}m's''=h^{*}(d+m/60+s/3600)$ 

where h=1 for the Northern and Eastern hemispheres and h=-1 for the Southern and Western hemispheres, i.e. 30°30'0"S= -30.5 and 30°15'55"N=30.265.

## 2.9 Collecting/acquisition source

(2.10) [MCPD]

Coding listed below follows strictly major descriptor states of the MCPD

- 10 Wild habitat
- 20 Farm or cultivated habitat
- 30 Market or shop
- 40 Institute/Experimental Station/Research organization/Genebank
- 50 Seed company
- 60 Weedy, disturbed or ruderal habitat
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **2.17 Remarks**)

## 2.10 Collecting source environment

Use descriptors 6.1.1 to 6.1.5 in section 6

## 2.11 Preliminary assessment of daylength requirement

[EA]

This preliminary assessment has to be defined in accordance with the latitude from which the accession originated

#### **Example varieties**

0 Unknown

1 Short day (23°S–23°N) Tropicana, Creole, Violet de Galmi and

Bauku

2 Short to intermediate day Grano and Granex

(23°-32°N or S)

3 Intermediate day (32°–40°N or S) Babosa and Ben Shemen

4 Long day (>40°N or S) Pukekohe Longkeeper and Rijnsburger

# 2.12 Biological status of accession

(2.11) [MCPD]

Coding listed below follows strictly major descriptor states of the MCPD

- 100 Wild
- 200 Weedy
- 300 Traditional cultivar/landrace
- 400 Breeding/research material
- 500 Advanced/improved cultivar
- 999 Other (specify in descriptor **2.17 Remarks**)

#### **2.13** Type of sample (2.15)

Type of plant material collected. If different types of material were collected from the same source, each sample (type) should be designated with a unique collecting number and a corresponding unique accession number.

- 1 Vegetative
- 2 Seed
- 99 Other (specify which part of the plant in descriptor **2.17 Remarks**)

#### 2.14 Number of plants sampled

(2.13)

Appropriate number of plants collected in the field to produce this accession

#### 2.15 Ethnobotanical data

## 2.15.1 Ethnic group

Name of the ethnic group of the donor of the sample or of the people living in the area of collecting

#### 2.15.2 Local vernacular name

(2.12)

Name given by farmer to crop and cultivar/landrace/clone/wild form. State local language and/or dialect if the ethnic group is not provided.

#### 2.15.2.1 Translation

Provide translation of the local name into English, if possible

#### 2.15.3 History of plant use

- 1 Ancestral/indigenous (always associated with the place and community)
- 2 Introduced (but in unknown distant past)
- 3 Introduced (time and introduction known)

## 2.15.4 Parts of the plant used

- 1 Seed
- 2 Root/rhizome
- 3 Bulb/clove
- 4 Leaf sheath/pseudostem
- 5 Leaf blade
- 6 Scape
- 7 Flower/inflorescence
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **2.17 Remarks**)

#### 2.15.5 Plant uses

(2.16)

- 1 Food
  - 1.1 Raw salad
  - 1.2 Fresh cooked
  - 1.3 Stored/cooked/bottled/canned
  - 1.4 Freezing
  - 1.5 Pickling
  - 1.6 Dehydrated
- 2 Medicinal
- 3 Ornamental
- 4 Forage
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor 2.17 **Remarks**)

#### 2.15.6 Cultural characteristics

Is there any folklore associated with the collected Allium type (e.g. taboos, stories and/or superstitions)? If so, describe it briefly in descriptor **2.17 Remarks**.

- 0 No
- 1 Yes

#### 2.15.7 Prevailing stresses

Information on main associated biotic (pests and diseases) and abiotic (drought) stresses

#### 2.15.8 Cultural practices

- 2.15.8.1 Sowing date [YYYYMMDD]
- 2.15.8.2 First harvest date [YYYYMMDD]
- 2.15.8.3 Last harvest date [YYYYMMDD]

# 2.15.9 Cropping system

- 1 Monoculture
- 2 Intercropped (specify crop in descriptor **2.17 Remarks**)

#### 2.15.10 Mode of reproduction

(4.2.6) [EA]

- 1 Vegetative
- 2 Seed
- 3 Both

#### 2.15.11 Associated flora

Other dominant crop/plant species, including other *Allium* species, found in and around the collecting site

# 2.15.12 Seasonality

- 1 Available only in season/at particular period
- 2 Available throughout the year

#### 2.16 Photograph

(2.14)

Was a photograph(s) taken of the accession or habitat at the time of collecting? If so, provide an identification number(s) in descriptor **2.17 Remarks**.

- 0 No
- 1 Yes

#### 2.17 Remarks

Specify here any additional information recorded by the collector or any specific information on descriptors with value '99' (=Other)

# **MANAGEMENT**

# 3. Management descriptors

#### 3.1 Accession number

(Passport 1.2)

## 3.2 Population identification

(Passport 2.2)

Collecting number, pedigree, cultivar name, etc., depending on the population type

## 3.3 Storage address

(Building, room, shelf number/location in medium-term and/or long-term storage)

# 3.4 Type of germplasm storage

(1.10) [MCPD]

- 10 Seed collection
- 20 Field collection
- 30 In vitro collection
- 40 Cryopreserved collection
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **3.10 Remarks**)

#### 3.5 Accession size

Approximate number or weight of seeds or plants of an accession in the genebank

#### 3.6 Acquisition date [YYYYMMDD]

(1.7) [MCPD]

Date on which the accession entered the collection. Missing data (MM or DD) should be indicated with hyphens. Leading zeros are required.

# 3.7 Location of safety duplicates

[MCPD]

Code of the institute where a safety duplicate of the accession is maintained. See instructions under 1.1 Institute Code.

#### 3.8 In vitro conservation

#### 3.8.1 Type of source explant/ introduction method

- 1 Seed or zygotic embryo
- 2 Meristem
- 3 Shoot tip
- 4 Somatic embryo
- 5 Other organ via callus or suspension culture
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor 3.10 Remarks)

#### 3.8.2 Date of introduction in vitro [YYYYMMDD]

	3.8.3	1 A minute material
		1 Apical or axillary bud
		2 Somatic embryo
		99 Other (specify in descriptor <b>3.10 Remarks</b> )
	3.8.4	Regeneration process
		1 Organogenesis
		2 Somatic embryogenesis
		99 Other (specify in descriptor <b>3.10 Remarks</b> )
	3.8.5	Number of individuals introduced in vitro
	3.8.6	Number of replicates per genotype
	3.8.7	Last subculture date [YYYYMMDD]
	3.8.8	Medium used at the last subculture
	3.8.9	Number of plants at the last subculture
	3.8.10	Location after the last subculture
	3.8.11	Next subculture date [YYYYMMDD]
3.9	Cryopres	servation
	3.9.1	Type of material for cryopreservation
		1 Seed
		2 Apical or axillary bud
		3 Somatic embryo
		99 Other (specify in descriptor <b>3.10 Remarks</b> )
	3.9.2	Introduction date in liquid nitrogen [YYYYMMDD]
	3.9.3	Number of samples introduced in liquid nitrogen
	3.9.4	End of storage period [YYYYMMDD]
	3.9.5	Number of samples taken from liquid nitrogen

#### 3.9.6 Type of subcultured material for recovery

(After liquid nitrogen)

- Seed
- 2 Apical or axillary bud
- 3 Somatic embryo
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **3.10 Remarks**)

#### 3.9.7 Regeneration process

- Organogenesis
- Somatic embryogenesis
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **3.10 Remarks**)

#### 3.9.8 **Number of recovered samples**

#### Location after the last subculture 3.9.9

#### 3.10 Remarks

Any additional information may be specified here

# 4. Multiplication/regeneration descriptors

4.1 Accession number

(Passport 1.2)

#### 4.2 Population identification

(Passport 2.2)

Collecting number, identifier number, pedigree, cultivar name, etc., depending on the population type

- 4.3 Multiplication/regeneration site location
- 4.4 Collaborator's name
- 4.5 Sowing/planting date [YYYYMMDD]
- 4.6 **Cultural practices**

#### 4.6.1 Field spacing

4.6.1.1 Distance between plants [cm]

> 4.6.1.1.1 Number of plants per m<sup>2</sup>

4.6.1.1.2 Number of plants per 1-m row

## 4.6.1.2 Distance between rows [cm]

#### 4.6.1.3 Fertilizer application

Specify types, doses, frequency of each and method of application

#### 4.6.1.4 Water availability

If irrigated, specify frequency in descriptor 4.11 Remarks

- 1 Irrigated
- 2 Rain-fed

# 4.7 Plant/seedling vigour

Recorded in the nursery after 25 days of sowing at 4–5 leaf stage of development

- 3 Poor
- 5 Medium
- 7 Good

# 4.8 Number of plants established

# 4.9 Previous multiplication and/or regeneration

(1.8)

- 4.9.1 Location
- **4.9.2 Sowing date** [YYYYMMDD]

#### 4.10 Number of times accession regenerated

(1.10)

Since the date of acquisition

#### 4.11 Remarks

Any additional information may be specified here

#### **ENVIRONMENT AND SITE**

# 5. Characterization and/or evaluation site descriptors

# 5.1 Country of characterization and/or evaluation (3.1)(See instructions in descriptor 2.4 Country of origin) 5.2 Site (3.2)5.2.1 Latitude 5.2.2 Longitude 5.2.3 Elevation [m asl] Name and address of farm or institute 5.2.4 5.3 Evaluator's name and address (3.3)5.4 Sowing date [YYYYMMDD] 5.5 **Transplanting date** [YYYYMMDD] 5.6 Harvest date [YYYYMMDD]

#### 5.7 Evaluation environment

Environment in which characterization/evaluation was carried out

- 1 Field
- 2 Screenhouse
- 3 Greenhouse
- 4 Laboratory
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **5.9 Remarks**)

# 5.8 Environmental characteristics of site

Use descriptors 6.1.1 to 6.1.5 in section 6

#### 5.9 Remarks

Any other site-specific information

# 6. Collecting and/or characterization/evaluation site environment descriptors

This standard section has been reduced according to the relevance of descriptors for *Allium* documentation

# 6.1 Site environment

# 6.1.1 Higher level landform (general physiographic features)

The landform refers to the shape of the land surface in the area in which the site is located (adapted from FAO 1990)

- 1 Plain
- 2 Basin
- 3 Valley
- 4 Plateau
- 5 Upland
- 6 Hill
- 7 Mountain

# 6.1.2 Land element and position

Description of the geomorphology of the immediate surroundings of the site (adapted from FAO 1990). (See Fig. 1)

1	Plain level	17	Interdunal depression
2	Escarpment	18	Mangrove
3	Interfluve	19	Upper slope
4	Valley	20	Midslope
5	Valley floor	21	Lower slope
6	Channel	22	Ridge
7	Levee	23	Sea coast
8	Terrace	24	Beachridge
9	Floodplain	25	Rounded summit
10	Lagoon	26	Summit
11	Pan	27	Coral atoll

13 Open depression or almost-flat terrain)14 Closed depression 29 Coral reef

15 Dune16 Longitudinal dune17 Other (specify in appropriate section's Remarks)


28 Drainage line (bottom position in flat

#### 6.1.3 Slope [°]

Estimated slope of the site

12 Caldera

#### 6.1.4 Slope aspect



The direction that the slope faces. Describe the direction with symbols N, S, E, W (e.g. a slope that faces a southwestern direction has an aspect of SW).

#### 6.1.5 Soil drainage

(Adapted from FAO 1990)

- Poorly drained
- Moderately drained
- Well drained

#### 6.2 Remarks

Provide here any additional information related to the site (i.e. if data collected refers to collecting or to characterization/evaluation sites)

## **CHARACTERIZATION**

# 7. Plant descriptors

#### 7.1 Vegetative

Observations should be made on fully developed plants at the beginning of flowering, unless otherwise indicated

# **7.1.1** Foliage colour (onion, garlic, chive, leek) (4.1.1)

Recorded on fully developed plants, at flowering stage

- 1 Light green
- 2 Yellow green
- 3 Green
- 4 Grey-green
- 5 Dark green
- 6 Bluish green
- 7 Purplish-green
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **7.4 Remarks**)

## **7.1.2** Leaf length [cm] (chive, leek, onion)

(4.1.2)

Record the average length of longest leaf of 5–10 fully developed plants. Provide a description relative to the standard variety.

# 7.1.3 Leaf width/diameter [cm] (onion, leek, chive)


Record the maximum width of the longest leaf of 5–10 fully developed plants. For cylindrical leaf, flatten it to measure easily.

- 3 Narrow
- 5 Medium
- 7 Broad

#### 7.1.4 Density of leaves (leek)

(See Fig. 2)

- 3 Low
- 5 Medium
- 7 High








Fig. 2. Density of leaves (UPOV)

#### 7.1.5 Foliage attitude (garlic, leek, onion, chive) (4.1.3)

- Prostrate (or spreading)
- 5 Intermediate
- 7 Erect

#### 7.1.6 Foliage cracking (leek, onion)

- Weak
- Medium
- Strong

#### 7.1.7 Cross-section of leaf (chive, leek)

(4.1.4)

- 1 Circular
- 2 Semi-circular
- 3 Square
- 4 Pentagonal
- 5 V-shaped
- 6 Flat
- 7 Triangular
- Concave
- 99 Other (i.e. 'mixed population' specify in descriptor 7.4 Remarks)

#### 7.1.8 Degree of leaf waxiness (chive, onion, leek) (4.1.6)

- Weak
- Medium
- 7 Strong

#### 7.1.9 Shaft length

(6.1.4)

Provide information on shaft length of the standard cultivar used as control for each evaluation trial

#### 7.1.9.1 Shaft length (leek)

(Leaf sheath/pseudostem). Measured on 5–10 plants from base to first splitting leaf (cross)

- 3 Short
- 5 Intermediate
- Long

#### 7.1.9.2 Shaft length (garlic)

(6.1.4)

(Pseudostem). Measured on mature plants in the ground, from soil level to the inner lamina notch

- Short (<18 cm)
- 5 Intermediate
- 7 Long (>27 cm)

#### 7.1.10 Shaft diameter (leek)

(6.1.5)

(Leaf sheath/pseudostem). Measured on mature harvested plants at the median point after removal of dead and dying leaves.

- 3 Narrow
- 5 Intermediate
- 7 Broad

# **7.1.11** Shape of mature dry bulbs (all *Allium*)

(4.1.10)

(See Fig. 3)

- 1 Flat
- 2 Flat globe
- 3 Rhomboid
- 4 Broad oval
- 5 Globe
- 6 Broad elliptic
- 7 Ovate (elongated oval)
- 8 Spindle
- 9 High top
- 99 Other (i.e. mixture, specify in descriptor **7.4 Remarks**)




Fig. 3. Shape of mature dry bulbs

(See Fig. 4.) Based on Burba's method of demonstrating the forms by means of longitudinal sections:

- 1 Circular, basal plate prominent
- 2 Heart-shaped, basal plate retracted
- 3 Broadly ovate, basal plate even




Fig. 4. Shape of mature bulbs (garlic)

#### 7.1.13 Nature of storage organ<sup>2</sup> (wild taxa)

- 0 None
- 1 Bulb, single large
- 2 Bulbs, aggregated
- 3 Rhizomes
- 4 Cloves
- 5 Foliage leaf bases
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **7.4 Remarks**)

#### **7.1.14** Population uniformity of bulb shape (onion) (4.1.11)

Recorded on harvested bulbs with dry skin

- 1 Uniform (homogeneous)
- 2 Variable
- 3 Highly variable

#### 7.1.15 Bulb skin colour (onion)

(4.1.12)

(4.1.9)

Recorded on harvested bulbs with dry skin

- 1 White
- 2 Yellow
- 3 Yellow and light brown
- 4 Light brown
- 5 Brown
- 6 Dark brown
- 7 Green (chartreuse)
- 8 Light violet
- 9 Dark violet
- 99 Other (i.e. 'mixed population' specify in descriptor **7.4 Remarks**)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If bulb is present, record descriptors 7.1.14 through 7.1.17.

#### 7.1.16 Bulb skin colour (garlic)

(4.1.12)

#### 7.1.16.1 Outer skin colour of compound bulb (garlic)

- 1 White
- 2 Cream
- 3 Beige
- 4 White stripes
- 5 Light violet
- 6 Violet
- 7 Dark violet
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor 7.4 Remarks)

#### 7.1.16.2 Skin colour of the clove<sup>3</sup> (garlic)

This character is highly subjective based on opinions about the colour ranges and because of the variation with environmental conditions and the age of the cloves. Use a colour chart to indicate intensity of colour.

- 1 White
- 2 Yellow and light brown
- 3 Brown
- 4 Red
- 5 Violet
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **7.4 Remarks**)

#### 7.1.17 Bulb skin thickness (onion)

(6.1.1)

Recorded on harvested bulbs with dry skin

- 3 Thin
- 5 Medium
- 7 Thick

## 7.1.18 Bulb flesh colour (onion)

(6.1.2)

- 1 White
- 2 Cream
- 3 Green/white
- 4 Violet/white
- 99 Other (specify in descriptor **7.4 Remarks**)

<sup>3</sup> a) No green types have been recorded in European collections. Possibly such forms are confined to Elephant Garlic (A. ampeloprasum).

b) Stripes of other colours can be scattered over the compound bulb and found only in several cloves of the bulb.

c) The older the cloves the paler the appearance.

d) The clearest distinction is between the white and yellow types.

#### 7.1.19 Number of cloves per compound bulb (garlic)

Observed on three bulbs

- 1 1
- 2 4
- 3 5 - 10
- 4 11 - 15
- 5 16 - 20
- 6 >20
- Around 50

#### 7.1.20 Bulb structure type (garlic)

The following scores are based on 'fan-shaped' groups of cloves derived from the axil of one leaf base. Botanically a fan group is the 'collective' from a set of collateral buds. It is possible to distinguish the number of fan groups within a compound bulb (states 1 and 2). Where few buds develop per axil the individual cloves are larger. It is then difficult to distinguish the number of axillary 'groups', and is easier to score clove numbers (states 3 to 5)4. (See Fig. 5)

- 1 Regular multi-fan groups (or multi-shelled)
- 2 Regular two-fan groups
- 3 Regular multi-cloved radial
- 4 Regular quadruple
- 5 Regular two-cloved
- Irregular




Fig. 5. Bulb structure type (garlic)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> When we look at the structure very thoroughly, we may see that the structures in states 3 and 4 are derived from two 'fan groups' based on the remnants of the leaf bases, but to distinguish these under field scoring conditions is not possible.

# 7.1.21 Shape of the compound bulb in horizontal section (garlic)

- 1 Circular
- 2 Elliptic
- 99 Other (i.e. 'mixture' specify in descriptor 7.4 Remarks)

## 7.1.22 Weight of cloves (garlic)

Average weight of 10 cloves (of 5–10 plants) (outer whorl only)

- 1 < 2g
- 2 4 g
- 3 > 4-6 g
- 4 > 6-10 g
- 5 > 10-15 g
- 6 > 15 g

# 7.1.23 100-bulbil weight (garlic)

(Outer whorl only). Specify if side or top sets bulbils in descriptor **7.4 Remarks**.

- 1 Low (<10 g)
- 2 Medium (10–30 g)
- $3 \quad \text{High (>30 g)}$

# **7.1.24** Number of bulbils (topsets) (garlic and other *Allium* sp.) (4.1.7)

Average number of 5–10 inflorescences from different plants

- 0 Absent
- 1 Few (<30)
- 2 Many (>30)

#### 7.1.25 Average number of bulbs per cluster (shallot)

Average taken from bulbs with dry skin around each bulblet from at least 10 clusters

- 0 Absent
- 1 Scarse
- 3 Few
- 5 Medium
- 7 Many

# **7.1.26** Average weight of whole cluster (shallot)

Average taken from at least 10 clusters

# 7.1.27 Bulb hearting (onion)

(6.1.3)

- 1 Single heart
- 2 2–3 hearts
- 3 More than 3 hearts

#### 7.1.28 Presence of bulblets (offsets)

Observed on taxa producing bulblets at the mature bulb stage

- 0 Absent
- 1 Present

#### 7.2 Inflorescence and fruit

# **7.2.1 Ability to flower** (garlic, onion, shallot, chive)

- 0 No
- 1 Yes

## **7.2.2** Ability to produce scape (garlic)

- 0 Scape absent
- 1 Semi-bolters (the ones that produce scapes but never develop heads)
- 2 Flowering plants (the ones that produce flower heads, with topset and/or flowers)

## 7.2.3 Scape length

Average scape length of 5–10 plants with fully bloomed primary umbels, relative to a standard variety as listed in **2.11** 

# 7.2.4 Internal structure of mature scape

- 1 Hollow
- 2 Solid
- 3 Thick-walled with small canal

# 7.2.5 General fertility (chive, shallot, garlic)

- 1 Sterile
- 2 Male sterile
- 3 Female sterile
- 4 Fertile
- 99 Other (i.e. 'mixture' specify in descriptor **7.4 Remarks**)

# **7.2.6** Flower number in umbel (for all flowering *Allium* sp.)

Recorded in at least five fully bloomed primary umbels

- 0 Absent
- 1 Few (<30)
- 2 Many (>30)

7.2.7	Flow 1 2 3 3 4 5 6 7 8 99	Wer colour (chive) White Cream Yellow Pink Lilac Blue Purple Green Red Other (i.e. 'mixed' specify in descriptor 7.4 Remarks)	(4.2.4)
7.2.8	Dat	e of 50% flowering [YYYYMMDD] (chive)	
<b>7.2.9</b> Recorded		nber of days to maturity n 50% have fallen tops	
7.2.10	Ant 1 2 3 4 5 6 99	her colour Yellow Orange Beige Grey Green Purple Other (i.e. 'mixture' specify in descriptor 7.4 Remarks)	(4.2.5)
Seed			
7.3.1	<b>See</b> 1 2 99	ed coat colour (chive) Brown Black Other (i.e. 'mixed population' specify in descriptor 7.4 Re	(6.3.1)
<b>7.3.2</b> (Average		-Seed weight [g] 2 to 3 samples)	

# 7.4 Remarks

7.3

Any additional information, especially in the category of 99= 'other' under various descriptors above, may be specified here

## **EVALUATION**

# 8. Plant descriptors

# 8.1 Vegetative

# 8.1.1 Leaf dry matter content [% DW] (chive)

Weighed samples from a minimum of five plants per plot should be dried at 80°C to constant weight, reweighed and the dry matter content for the accession presented as a percentage

- 3 Low (<10%)
- 5 Medium
- 7 High (>13%)

# **8.1.2** Dry matter content of storage organ [g/100 g, FW] (6.1.7)

Weighed samples from a minimum of five bulbs should be dried at 80°C to constant weight, reweighed and the percentage dry matter content calculated for each sample. The mean dry matter content for the accession is recorded as a percentage. Alternatively use a refractometer after calibration.

# **8.1.3** Storage life of storage organs (onion) (6.1.8)

The length of storage life depends on storage temperature, which should be recorded during storage-life trials. When stored under ambient conditions, record monthly means of max and min taken from a local meteorological station. Use storage organs of uniform size and free from bruises, pests and diseases, of five replications each consisting of 50 units. Include a control variety in the test system. The storage life will be assessed as the number of weeks from 100% top fall to 50% breakdown of storage organs. Bulbs with storage roots are to be discounted and the sprouting expressed as a percentage of the original number minus the number of rotted bulbs. The storage life is recorded in number of weeks.

# 8.1.4 Lachrymatory potency of storage organ<sup>5</sup> (6.1.10)

- 0 Eye not irritated
- 3 Weak eye irritant
- 7 Strong eye irritant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The pyruvic acid test provides a good indication for pungency and lachrymatory potency.

# 8.1.5 Biochemical composition

Indicate the plant part used in descriptor 8.3 Remarks

#### 8.1.5.1 Total soluble solids

(Refractometer)

#### 8.1.5.2 Content of pyruvic acid

Provide reference

#### 8.1.6 Flavour strength of storage organ

(6.1.11)

(See Freeman and Mossadeghi 1970)

The determination of total pyruvate from the storage organ tissues will provide a good estimation of flavour strength. Absorbance at a wavelength of 525 nm to be measured on extracted juice as an equivalent of flavour strength using a spectrophotometer.

- 3 Low
- 5 Medium
- 7 High

## 8.1.7 Daylength requirement (1) (onion)

(6.2.4)

The measurement of maturity time will give an indirect estimation of daylength requirement

The recommended procedure for determination of daylength requirement of a genotype is: a standard control cultivar should be grown with the test accession at a standard plant density and the response of the test genotype to daylength assessed when 50% of test plants have fallen tops; these data to be related temporally with a similar stage in the control variety. The data to be recorded as plus or minus the number of days to designate lateness or earliness in comparison with the standard, i.e.

- +20 = the test accession exhibited 50% fallen tops 20 days after a similar stage in the control
- -15 = the test accession exhibited 50% fallen tops 15 days before a similar stage in the control

# 8.1.8 Daylength requirement (2) (onion)

(6.2.5)

Genetic material, which does not complete its ripening under experimental conditions, to be assessed as the percentage of plants reaching the maximal bulbing ratio of five. Bulb ratio is the ratio between maximal bulb diameter and minimal neck diameter.

- 0 No maturation (bulbing)
- 1 Maturation under the experimental field conditions

# 8.1.9 Cold requirement for bolting [%]

(6.2.6)

Accessions should be tested relative to a control cultivar. The average degree of bolting of the control and the test accession to be recorded as a percentage. The relative amount of bolting will be calculated as a ratio of the amount of bolting in the control cultivar: (% test x 100)/(% control). This index will serve to identify the cold requirement for bolting in developmental and introduced material. It may be necessary in certain locations to have several staggered sowing dates to fully assess this character?

#### 8.2 Inflorescence and fruit

(6.2)

# **8.2.1** Time of (50%) flowering relative to a standard variety (6.2.3)

The standard variety and test accession should be planted at the same time. The commencement of flowering should be recorded for the test relative to the standard. The data to be presented as (–3), i.e. three weeks earlier or (+2), two weeks later than the standard.

## 8.2.1.1 Time of flowering

- 3 Early
- 5 Medium
- 7 Late

# 8.2.2 Inflorescence fragrance

- 3 Light
- 5 Medium
- 7 Strong

**8.3** Remarks

Specify here any other additional information

# 9. Abiotic stress susceptibility

Scored under artificial and/or natural conditions, this should be clearly specified. These are coded on a susceptibility scale from 1 to 9, viz.:

- 1 Very low or no visible sign of stress susceptibility
- 3 Low
- 5 Intermediate
- 7 High
- 9 Very high

#### 9.1 Reaction to low temperature

(7.1)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This is valid providing the control cultivar bolts. If the tested accession had 27 or 97 bolters out of 100 but the control had 0, then the ratio of both counts is exactly the same (indefinite).

10.3.4

10.3.5 10.3.6

	9.2	Reaction	to high temperature	(7.2)
	9.3	Reaction	to drought	(7.3)
	9.4	Reaction	to high soil moisture	(7.4)
	9.5	Reaction	ı to soil salinity	(7.5)
	<b>9.6</b> Specif	<b>Remarks</b> y any addi	tional information here	
In e	each cas d-beari coded 1 Ve 3 Lo 5 In 7 Hi	se, it is impose, it is impose, set on a susce ry low or r	usceptibility ortant to state the life cycle tested, i.e. seedling, etorage organ. Record such information in descriptibility scale from 1 to 9, viz.: no visible sign of susceptibility	
	10.1	Arthropo	ods	
		•	Causal organism	Common name
		10.1.1	Aceria tulipae	Onion mite
		10.1.2	Acrolepiopsis (Acrolepia) assectella	Leek moth
		10.1.3	Agrotis spp., Faronta spp.	Cutworm
		10.1.4	Delia (Hylemya) antiqua, Delia (Hylemya) alliar	onion fly
		10.1.5	Limonius spp.	Wireworm
		10.1.6	Liriomyza (Phytobia) cepae	Leaf miner
		10.1.7	Rhizoglyphus echinopus	Bulb mite
		10.1.8	Thrips tabaci	Potato thrips
	10.2	Nematod	des	
		10.2.1	Ditylenchus dipsaci	Stem and bulb nematode
		10.2.2	Longidorus vineacola	Needle nematode
		10.2.3	Paratrichodorus minor	Stubby root nematode
	10.3	Fungi		
		10.3.1	Alternaria porri	Purple blotch
		10.3.2	Aspergillus niger	Black mould
		10.3.3	Botrytis allii (B. aclada)	Black mould

Botryotinia porri (Botrytis byssoidea)

Botryotinia squamosa (Botrytis squamosa)

Botryotinia fuckeliana (Botrytis cinerea)

Damping-off, neck rot

Leaf blight

Gray mould

	10.3.7	Cercospora duddiae	Leaf spot
	10.3.7	Mycosphaerella (Cladosporium) allii-cepa	Leaf spot
	10.3.9	Colletotrichum circinans	Onion smudge
	10.3.10	Colletotrichum lindemuthianum	Anthracnose
	10.3.11	Fusarium oxysporum	Basal rot
	10.3.12	Fusariun culmorum	Rot
	10.2.13	Glomerella cingulata	Anthracnose
	10.3.14	Mycosphaerella (Heterosporium) allii	Leaf spot
	10.3.15	Leptotrochila porri	White spot
	10.3.16	Leveillula (Oidiopsis) taurica	Oidium
	10.3.17	Peronospora destructor	Downy mildew
	10.3.18	Phyllosticta allii	Leaf blight
	10.3.19	Phytophthora porri	Downy mildew
	10.3.20	Puccinia (porri) allii	Rust
	10.3.21	Pyrenochaeta terrestris	Pink root
	10.3.22	Rhizoctonia solani	Damping off
	10.3.23	Sclerotium cepivorum	White rot
	10.3.24	Sclerotium rolfsii	White rot
	10.3.25	Pleospora herbarum/tarda (Stemphylium botryosum)	Leaf blight
	10.3.26	Urocystis cepulae = U. magica	Onion smut
10.4	Bacteria		
	10.4.1	Erwinia carotovora	Bacterial soft rot
	10.4.2	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Bacteriosis
	10.4.3	Burkholderia gladioli (Pseudomonas) pv. alliicola	Rot
	10.4.4	Pseudomonas syringae	Halo blight
	10.4.5	Burkholderia (Pseudomonas) cepacia	Sour skin
	10.4.6	Xanthomonas spp.	Leaf spot, Canker
10.5	<b>X</b> 7°		
10.5	Viruses	Out on well and druggly river	OVDV
	10.5.1 10.5.2	Onion yellow dwarf virus	OYDV LYSV
	10.5.2	Leek yellow stripe virus Leek white stripe virus	LWSV
	10.5.4	Shallot latent virus	SLV
	10.5.4	Shallot virus X	ShV-X
	10.5.6	Garlic latent virus	GarLV
	10.5.7	Garlic common latent virus	GarCLV
	10.5.8	Allexiviruses	Garce
	10.0.0		
10.6	Prokaryo	tes	
	10.6.1	Aster yellows phytoplasma	

# 10.7 Remarks

Specify here any additional information

### 11. Biochemical markers

Evaluation characters listed in this section are useful in Chive descriptors for evaluating susceptibility to Yellow leaf tips, Rust (*Puccinia*) and Downy mildew (*Peronospora*)

# 11.1 Isozyme

For each enzyme, indicate the tissue analyzed and the zymogram type. A particular enzyme can be recorded as 11.1.1; 11.1.2, etc. according to the international nomenclature system for enzymes.

### 11.2 Other biochemical markers

(e.g. anthocyanins)

### 12. Molecular markers

Describe any specific discriminating or useful trait for this accession. Report probe-enzyme combination analyzed. Below are listed some of the basic methods most commonly used. Evaluation characters listed in this section are useful in Chive descriptors for evaluating susceptibility to Yellow leaf tips, Rust (*Puccinia*) and Downy mildew (*Peronospora*).

# 12.1 Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP)

Report probe/enzyme combination (approach can be used for nuclear, chloroplast or mitochondria genomes)

# 12.2 Amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP)

Report primer pair combinations and accurate molecular size of products (used for nuclear genomes)

# 12.3 DNA amplification fingerprinting (DAF); random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD); AP-PCR

Accurately report experimental conditions and molecular size of products (used for nuclear genomes)

# 12.4 Sequence-tagged microsatellites (STMS)

Report primer sequences, and accurate product sizes (can be used for nuclear or chloroplast genomes)

# 12.5 PCR-sequencing

Report PCR primer sequences, and derived nucleotide sequence (can be used for single copy nuclear, chloroplast or mitochondrial genomes)

### 12.6 Other molecular markers

# 13. Cytological characters

#### 13.1 Chromosome number

#### **Ploidy level** 13.2

(2x, 3x, 4x, etc.)

## Meiosis chromosome associations

Average of 50 microspore mother cells, observed during metaphase 1

#### 13.4 **Number of satellite chromosomes**

#### 13.5 Other cytological characters

# 14. Identified genes

Describe any known specific mutant present in the accession

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- American Phytopathological Society. 1994. Common names for plant diseases. Am. Phytopathol. Soc., St. Paul MN, USA.
- Brewster, J.L. 1994. Onions and other Vegetable Alliums. CAB International, Wallingford, UK. Burba, J.L. 1999. Caracterización de cultivares y tipos clonales de ajo obtenidos e introducidos en Argentina. Pp. 76-79 in VI Curso/taller sobre producción, comercialización e industrialización de ajo. Vol. 1. INTA EEA, La Consulta, Mendoza, Argentina.
- CAB International. 1999. Crop Protection Compendium. CD-ROM. CAB International, UK.
- FAO. 1990. Guidelines for Soil Profile Description, 3rd edition (revised). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, International Soil Reference Information Centre, Land and Water Development Division. FAO, Rome.
- Freeman, G.G. and N. Mossadeghi. 1970. Effect of sulphate nutrition on flavour components of onion (Allium cepa). J. Sci. Food Agric. 21:610-615.
- Freeman, G.G. and R.J. Whenham. 1975. A survey of volatile components of some Allium species in terms of S-alk(en)yl-L-cysteine sulphoxides present as flavour precursors. J. Sci. Food Agric. 26:1869-1886.
- Gass, T., D. Astley, H.D. Rabinowitch and E.A. Frison, compilers. 1996. Report of a Working Group on Allium. Fifth Meeting, 25–27 May 1995, Skierniewice, Poland. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy
- Gridgeman, N.T. 1967. In Quality Control in the Food Industry (S.M. Herschdoerfer, ed.). Vol. 1. Academic Press, London.
- IBPGR. 1982. Genetic Resources of Allium. International Board for Plant Genetic Resources, Rome, Italy.
- Jones, H.A. and L.K. Mann. 1963. Onions and their Allies. Leonard Hill, London, UK.
- Kalloo, G. 1988. Vegetable Breeding, Vols. I–III. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Kornerup, A. and J.H. Wanscher. 1984. Methuen Handbook of Colour. Third edition. Methuen, London.
- Maggioni, L., D. Astley, H. Rabinowitch, J. Keller and E. Lipman, compilers. 1999. Report of a Working Group on Allium. Sixth Meeting, 23-25 October 1997, Plovdiv, Bulgaria. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy
- Molenaar, N. 1984. Genetics, thrips (Thrips tabaci L.) resistance, and epicuticular wax characteristics on nonglossy and glossy onions (Allium cepa L.). PhD thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- Munsell Color. 1975. Munsell Soil Color Chart. Munsell Color, Baltimore, MD, USA.
- Munsell Color. 1977. Munsell Color Charts for Plant Tissues, 2nd edition, revised. Munsell Color, Macbeth Division of Kollmorgen Corporation, 2441 North Calvert Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA.
- Rabinowitch, H.D. and J.L. Brewster. 1990. Onions and Allied Crops. Vols. I-III. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA.
- Rana, R.S., R.L. Sapra, R.C. Agrawal and Rajeev Gambhir. 1991. Plant Genetic Resources. Documentation and Information Management. National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (Indian Council of Agricultural Research), New Delhi, India.

- Royal Horticultural Society. 1966, c. 1986. R.H.S. Colour Chart (edn. 1, 2). Royal Horticultural Society, London.
- Stearn, William T. 1995. Botanical Latin. Fourth Edition. David & Charles Publishers, Newton Abbot, UK.
- UPOV. 1999. Guidelines for the Conduct of Tests for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability. Leek (*Allium porrum* L.). TG/85/6. International Union for the Protection of New Varieties of Plants (UPOV), Geneva.
- van Hintum, Th.J.L. 1993. A computer compatible system for scoring heterogeneous populations. Genet. Resour. & Crop Evol. 40:133-136.

# **CONTRIBUTORS**

Dr Dave Astley

Genetic Resources Unit

Horticulture Research International

Wellesbourne

Warwick CV35 9EF

UK

Email: Dave.Astley@hri.ac.uk

Dr Ietje W. Boukema

Centre for Genetic Resources The

Netherlands (CGN)

Plant Research International

Droevendaalsesteeg 1

PO Box 16

6700 AA Wageningen

**NETHERLANDS** 

Email: I.W.BOUKEMA@plant.wag-ur.NL

Dr L.M. Engle

Geneticist and Head

Genetic Resources and Seed Unit

Asian Vegetable Research & Development

Center (AVRDC)

PO Box 42

Shanhua Tainan 741

**TAIWAN** 

Email: l.m.engle@cgnet.com

Kaj Henriksen

Department of Horticulture

Danish Institute of Agricultural Sciences

Kirstinebjergvej 10

PO Box 102

DK-5792 Aarslev

**DENMARK** 

Email: k.henriksen@agrsci.dk

Dr Joachim Keller

Institut für Pflanzengenetik und

Kulturpflanzenforschung-Genebank

Corrensstrasse 3

D-06466 Gatersleben

**GERMANY** 

Email: keller@ipk-gatersleben.de

Dr Haim D. Rabinowitch

Dept. of Field Crops

Vegetables and Genetics

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

PO Box 12

76100 Rehovot

**ISRAEL** 

Email: rabin@agri.HUJI.AC.IL

# Reviewers

Barbara Hellier

Hort. Crops Curator

USDA, ARS, NPGS

Regional Plant Introduction Station

59 Johnson Hall, WSU

PO Box 646402

Pullman, WA 99164-6402

**USA** 

Email: bhellier@mail.wsu.edu

Dr Umesh Srivastava

Senior Scientist and Zonal Leader,

NATP on Plant Biodiversity

National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources

(NBPGR)

(Indian Council of Agricultural Research)

Pusa Campus

New Delhi - 110 012

**INDIA** 

Email: Umesh@nbpgr.delhi.nic.in

Dr Philipp W. Simon

**USDA ARS** 

Vegetable Crops Research Unit

University of Wisconsin Department of Horticulture

1575 Linden Drive Madison, WI 53706

**USAEmail:** 

Email: Psimon@facstaff.wisc.edu

Dr Michael J. Havey

USDA - ARS

Dept. of Horticulture 1575 Linden Drive University of Wisconsin Madison, WI 53706

USA

Tel: +1 608-262-1830 Fax: +1 608-262-4743

Email: mjhavey@facstaff.wisc.edu

Baruch Bar-Tel The Volcani Centre

Bet Dagan **ISRAEL** 

Email: ilpbr\_tu@netvision.net.il

Dr G. Kalloo

Director

Indian Institute of Vegetable Research

1 Gandhinagar Naira PB 5002; PO BHU Varanasi-221 005 (U.P.)

INDIA

Email: gkalloo@mailcity.com or pdveg@x400.nicgw.nic.in

Dr Akio Kojima

Chief of Allium Vegetables Breeding

Laboratory

National Research Institute of Vegetables

and Ornamental Plants and Tea

360 Kusawa, Ano Mie 514-2392 **JAPAN** 

Tel: +81-59-268-4652 Fax: +81-59-268-1339

Email: lukchik@nivot.affrc.go.jp

Dr Gert B. Poulsen Nordic Genebank

Box 41

230 53 Alnarp **SWEDEN** 

Email: Gert@NGB.se

Ms Teresa Kotlinska

Plant Genetic Resources Laboratory Research Institute of Vegetable Crops

Konstytucji 3 Maja 1/3

PO Box 110

96 100 Skierniewice

**POLAND** 

Email: TKotlin@linux.inwarz.skierniewice.pl

Mr E.M.D.S.N. Ekanayake

Research Officer

Germplasm Evaluation Division Plant Genetic Resources Centre

PO Box 59 - Gannoruwa

Peradeniya SRI LANKA Email: pgrc@slt.lk Kim Haeng-Hoon Genetic Resources Division National Institute of Agric. Science & Technology Rural Development Administration 249 Seodun-dong Suwon 441-707 REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Tel: +(82-331) 299-2791 or +(82-331) 294-6029

Email: Hhkim@seed.go.kr

Ms Helena Stavelikova Vegetable Section of Genebank Dept. Research Institute of Crop Production Slechtitelu 11 789 71 Olomouc – Holice CZECH REPUBLIC Email: Olgeba@pvtnet.cz

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

IPGRI, ECP/GR and AVRDC wish to place on record their sincere thanks to the numerous Allium workers around the world who have contributed directly or indirectly to the development of Descriptors for Allium.

Ms Adriana Alercia supervised and coordinated the production of the publication and provided scientific and technical expertise. Ms Helen Thompson provided assistance during the production process. Ms Daniela Scicchigno prepared the cover and layout.

The following IPGRI Staff provided substantial scientific advice: Drs Francisco Morales and Florent Engelmann.

Technical and scientific advice provided by Drs Dave Astley and Haim Rabinowitch is gratefully acknowledged.

ANNEX I. Basic list of minimum discriminating descriptors for *Allium* species

Allium species	IPGRI Descriptor Number	Name		
sativum	7.1.1	Foliage colour		
(garlic)	7.1.5	Foliage attitude		
	7.1.9.2	Shaft length		
	7.1.12	Shape of mature garlic bulb		
	7.1.16.1	Outer skin colour of compound bulb		
	7.1.16.2	Skin colour of the clove		
	7.1.19	Number of cloves per compound bulb		
	7.1.20	Bulb structure type		
	7.1.21	Shape of the compound bulb in horizontal section		
	7.1.22	Weight of cloves		
	7.1.23	100-bulbil weight		
	7.1.24	Number of bulbils (topsets)		
	7.2.2	Ability to produce scape		
	7.2.5	General fertility		
	7.2.6	Flower number in umbel		
ampeloprasum	7.1.1	Foliage colour		
(leek)	7.1.2	Leaf length		
	7.1.3	Leaf width/diameter		
	7.1.4	Density of leaves		
	7.1.6	Foliage cracking		
	7.1.7	Cross-section of leaf		
	7.1.8	Degree of leaf waxiness		
	7.1.9.1	Shaft length (leek)		
	7.1.10	Shaft diameter		
сера	7.1.1	Foliage colour		
(onion)	7.1.2	Leaf length		
	7.1.3	Leaf width/diameter		
	7.1.5	Foliage attitude		
	7.1.8	Degree of leaf waxiness		
	7.1.11	Shape of mature dry bulbs		
	7.1.14	Population uniformity of bulb shape		
	7.1.15	Bulb skin colour		
	7.1.17	Bulb skin thickness		
	7.1.18	Bulb flesh colour		

Allium species	IPGRI Descriptor Number	Name
schoenoprasum (chives)	7.1.27 7.2.1 8.1.3 8.1.7 8.1.8  7.1.1 7.1.2 7.1.5 7.1.8 7.2.1 7.2.5 7.2.6 7.2.7 7.2.8 7.3.1 8.1.1	Bulb hearting Ability to flower Storage life of storage organs Daylength requirement (1) Daylength requirement (2)  Foliage colour Leaf length Foliage attitude Degree of leaf waxiness Ability to flower General fertility Flower number in umbel Flower colour Date of 50% flowering Seed coat colour Leaf dry matter content

### COLLECTING FORM for allium

COLLECTING F						
SAMPLE IDENTIFICA	TION				=======================================	
COLLECTING INSTITU		=======	=======		=======================================	
COLLECTING No. (2.2	):	PH	HOTOGRAPH	No. (2.16):		
COLLECTING DATE O	F SAMPLE [YYYYMMD	DD] (2.3):				
SPECIES (1.7):	SUBTAXA(1.8):					
COMMON NAME (1.11)  1. Dry bulb onion  4. Garlic  7. Great-headed garlic/ elephant garlic  10. Chinese chive/Oriental garlic/Nira		5. Leek 6. Ku		6. Kurrat 9. Rakkyo	Rakkyo	
COLLECTING SITE L						
COUNTRY OF ORIGIN	I (2.4):					
LOCATION (2.5):	km:	direc	ction:		from:	
LATITUDE (2.6):	LONGITUDE (2.7):	ELE\	VATION (2.8):		m asl	
===========				.=======		
COLLECTING SITE E	NVIRONMENT					
COLLECTING/ACQUIS 10. Wild habitat 40. Institute, Exp. Stat 60. Weedy, disturbed of	ion, Research Org./Gen	ebank 50.	Farm or culti Seed compa Other (specif	•	30. Market or shop	
HIGHER LEVEL LAND 1. Plain 2. Bas	` '	4. Plateau	5. Uplan	d 6. Hill	7. Mountain	
SLOPE [°] (6.1.2): SLOPE ASPEC		CT (6.1.3):	(code N,S,E,W)		N,S,E,W)	
SAMPLE		=======	=======	:=======	=========	
BIOLOGICAL STATUS OF ACCESSION: (2.12): 100. Wild 200. We 400. Breeding/research material 500. Ac					300. Traditional cultivar/Landrace 999. Other (specify):	
TYPE OF SAMPLE (2.13): 1. Vegetative 2. Seed		d		99. Oth	ner (specify)	

#### NUMBER OF PLANTS SAMPLED (2.14): PREVAILING STRESSES (2.15.7): Mention the types of major stresses, i.e. abiotic (drought), biotic (pests, diseases, etc.) **ETHNOBOTANICAL DATA** LOCAL/VERNACULAR NAME (2.15.2): ETHNIC GROUP (2.15.1): PLANT USES (2.15.5): 1. Food 1.1 Raw salad 1.2 Fresh cooked 1.3 Stored/cooked/bottled/canned 1.4 Freezing 1.5 Pickling 1.6 Dehydrated 2. Medicinal 3. Ornamental 4. Forage 99. Other (specify): PARTS OF THE PLANT USED (2.15.4): 1. Seed 2. Root/rhizome 3. Bulb/clove 4. Leaf sheath/pseudostem 5. Leaf 7. Flower/inflorescence 6. Scape 99. Other (specify) \_\_\_\_\_ **CHARACTERIZATION** Plant descriptors Foliage colour (7.1.1): 1. Light green 2. Yellow green 3. Green 4. Grey-green 5. Dark green 6. Bluish green 7. Purplish-green 99. Other (specify) Leaf length [cm] (7.1.2): Foliage attitude (7.1.5): 3. Prostrate 5. Intermediate 7. Erect Cross-section of leaf (7.1.7): 1. Circular 2. Semi-circular 3. Square 4. Pentagonal 5. V-shaped 6. Flat 7. Triangular 8. Concave 99. Other (i.e. mixed population) Degree of leaf waxiness (7.1.8): 3. Weak 5. Medium 7. Strong Shape of mature dry bulbs (7.1.11): 1. Flat 2. Flat globe 3. Rhomboid 4. Broad oval 5 Globe 6. Broad elliptic 7. Ovate 8. Spindle 99. Other 9. High top Bulb skin colour (7.1.15): 1. White 2. Yellow 3. Yellow and light brown 4. Light brown 5. Brown 6. Dark brown 7. Green (chartreuse) 8. Light violet 9. Dark violet 99. Other (i.e. mixed population) Nature of storage organ (7.1.13) 1. Bulb, single large 3. Rhizomes 4. Cloves 0. None 2. Bulbs, aggregated 5. Foliage leaf bases 99. Other (specify) Inflorescence/fruit 1. Yes Ability to flower (7.2.1): 0. No 2. Male sterile 3. Female sterile General fertility (7.2.5): 1. Sterile 4. Fertile 5. Mixture Flower number in umbel (7.2.6): 0. Absent 1. Few (<30) 2. Many (>30)

# Collector's Notes:

Date of 50% flowering [YYYYMMDD] (7.2.8):

\_\_\_\_\_



FUTURE
HAR VEST
<a href="https://www.futureharvest.org">www.futureharvest.org</a>

IPGRI is a Future Harvest Centre supported by the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR)

ISBN 92-9043-506-2