

Copyright Permission

Note: Permission of the Publisher has been given to the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) to post this article to its web site as allowed under the copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) which governs "fair use" or the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research."

The correct citation for this article is Delgado, Christopher L.; Rosegrant, Mark W.; Steinfeld, Henning; Ehui, Simeon K.; Courbois, Claude. 2001. **Livestock to 2020: the next food revolution**. *Outlook on Agriculture* 30(1): 27-29.

Reprinted with the permission of IP Publishing Ltd.

Thank you in advance for respecting these conditions. For additional information, contact IFPRI-library@cgiar.org.

Outlook ON **AGRICULTURE**

This article is an offprint from
the journal *Outlook on Agriculture*,
a major long-established resource for
reviews and analysis of developments
in agricultural science and
related disciplines

For article submissions, subscription
information and sample copies, please contact:
Outlook on Agriculture, IP Publishing Ltd,
Coleridge House, 4-5 Coleridge Gardens,
London NW6 3QH, UK.
Tel: +44 20 7372 2600
Fax: +44 20 7372 2253
E-mail: JEdmondIP@aol.com
Website: <http://www.ippublishing.com>

Outlook on Agriculture is a refereed journal.

Livestock to 2020: the next food revolution

Christopher Delgado, Mark Rosegrant,
Henning Steinfeld, Simeon Ehui and Claude
Courbois

Christopher Delgado, Mark Rosegrant and Claude Courbois are with the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), 2033 K St, NW, Washington, DC 20006, USA. Tel: +1 202 862 5617. Fax: +1 202 467 4439. E-mail: c.delgado@cgiar.org. Website: <http://www.ifpri.org>. Henning Steinfeld is with the Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Viale della Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome, Italy. Simeon Ehui is with the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), PO Box 5689, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Christopher Delgado and Mark Rosegrant are senior research fellows and Claude Courbois is a research analyst at the International Food Policy Research Institute. Henning Steinfeld is senior officer for livestock development planning at the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Simeon Ehui is coordinator of the Livestock Policy Analysis Project at the International Livestock Research Institute.

A revolution is taking place in global agriculture that has profound implications for human health, livelihoods and the environment. Population growth, urbanization and income growth in developing countries are fuelling a massive increase in demand for food of animal origin. These changes in the diets of billions of people could significantly improve the well-being of many rural poor. Governments and industry must prepare for this continuing revolution with long-term policies and investments that will satisfy consumer demand, improve nutrition, direct income growth opportunities to those who need them most, and alleviate environmental and public health stress.

Transformation of consumption and production

Unlike the supply-led green revolution, the 'livestock revolution' is driven by demand. From the early 1970s to the mid-1990s, the volume of meat consumed in developing countries grew almost three times as much as it did in the developed countries. Developing-world consumption grew at an even faster rate in the second half of this period, with Asia in the lead (see Table 1).

Beginning from a small base, developing countries have begun to catch up with developed-world consumption levels, but they still have a long way to go, primarily because of low income levels. People in developed countries obtain an average of 27% of their calories and 56% of their protein from animal food products. The averages for developing countries are 11 and 26%, respectively. The difference in

consumption levels gives an indication of the dramatic changes in store for global food production as the livestock revolution unfolds.

Production of animal food products grew most rapidly where consumption did. Total meat production in developing countries grew by 5.4% per year between the early 1980s and mid-1990s, more than five times the developed-world rate. Per capita production kept pace with the population in most developing regions, except in Sub-Saharan Africa (for meat) and West Asia/North Africa (for milk).

Whether these consumption trends will continue in the future is a question explored through IFPRI's global food model, which includes data for 37 countries and country groups and 18 commodities. Known as the International Model for Policy Analysis of Agricultural Consumption (IMPACT), the model's baseline scenario projects that consumption of

Table 1. Actual and projected meat consumption by region.

Region	Annual growth of total meat consumption		Total meat consumption		
	1982-94	1993-2020	1983	1993	2020
	(per cent)		(million metric tons)		
China	8.6	3.0	16	38	85
Other East Asia	5.8	2.4	1	3	8
India	3.6	2.9	3	4	8
Other South Asia	4.8	3.2	1	2	5
South-east Asia	5.6	3.0	4	7	16
Latin America	3.3	2.3	15	21	39
West Asia/North Africa	2.4	2.8	5	6	15
Sub-Saharan Africa	2.2	3.5	4	5	12
Developing world	5.4	2.8	50	88	188
Developed world	1.0	0.6	88	97	115
World	2.9	1.8	139	184	303

Sources: FAO annual data. Total meat consumption for 1983 and 1993 are three-year moving averages. The 2020 projections come from IFPRI's global model, IMPACT.

Notes: Meat includes beef, pork, mutton, goat and poultry. Suspected overestimation of meat production in China in the early 1990s suggests that actual 1993 consumption was 30 million metric tons (a 6.3% annual growth rate since 1983). If so, the level of world meat consumption for 1993 is overestimated here by at most 4.3% and by even less than that for 2020 because IMPACT incorporates pessimistic assumptions that are compatible with the conservative view for 1993.

meat and milk in developing countries will grow by 2.8 and 3.3% per year between the early 1990s and 2020. The corresponding developed-world growth rates are 0.6 and 0.2% per year. By 2020 developing countries will consume 100 million metric tons more meat and 223 million metric tons more milk than they did in 1993, dwarfing developed-country increases of 18 million metric tons for both meat and milk.

Growth rates for meat production up to 2020 again follow those for meat consumption quite closely in most regions. Meat production will grow about four times as fast in developing countries as it will in developed countries. By 2020 developing countries will be producing 60% of the world's meat and 52% of the world's milk. China will lead meat production and India, milk production.

Implications for world food prices

The increase in livestock production will require the annual feed consumption of cereals to rise by 292 million metric tons between 1993 and 2020. While some are concerned that such large increases will raise cereal prices substantially over time, the inflation-adjusted prices of livestock and feed commodities are in fact expected to

fall by 2020, although not as rapidly as they have in the past 20 years. In a 'worst case' scenario, which by common accord is much too pessimistic, it is assumed that feedgrain requirements per unit of meat will rise by 1% per year up to 2020 due to the increased industrialization of production and lack of a countervailing increase in livestock feeding efficiency. Even so, IMPACT shows that real maize prices in 2020 would rise to at most one-fifth above their present levels, remaining substantially below their levels in the early 1980s.

Even with increases in livestock productivity far below historical trends, enough meat, milk and feed will be available in 2020 without prices rising above 1992-94 levels. The key issue, then, is not availability, but the direct effect that rapidly escalating livestock production and consumption have on the poor, the environment and on human health.

Livestock and the poor

Far from being a drain on the food available to the poor, increased consumption of animal products can help increase the food purchasing power of the poor. Considerable evidence exists that the rural poor and landless, especially women, obtain a larger share of their income from livestock than better-off rural

people (with the main exceptions found in areas with large-scale ranching, such as parts of Latin America). Furthermore, livestock provide the poor with fertilizer and draught power, along with the opportunity to exploit common grazing areas, build collateral and savings, and diversify income. The livestock revolution could well become a key means of alleviating poverty in the next 20 years. But rapid industrialization of production, abetted by widespread current subsidies for large-scale credit and land use could harm this major mechanism of income and asset generation for the poor. Policy makers need to make sure that policy distortions do not drive the poor out of the one growing market in which they are presently competitive.

Livestock products also benefit the poor by alleviating the protein and micronutrient deficiencies prevalent in developing countries. Increased consumption of even small additional amounts of meat and milk can provide the same level of nutrients, protein and calories to the poor that a large and diverse amount of vegetables and cereals could provide.

Environmental sustainability and public health

At the low levels of calories consumed by the poor, the lack of access to animal products, not overconsumption, should be the concern of policy makers. The greater health risks from livestock products in developing countries come from animal-borne diseases such as avian flu and salmonella, microbial contamination from unsafe handling of foods, and a build-up of pesticides and antibiotics in the food chain through production practices.

The effects of the livestock revolution on the environment are also potentially worrying. Livestock typically contribute to environmental sustainability in mixed farming systems that strike a proper balance between crop and livestock intensification. In these systems, livestock provide the manure and draught power to sustain intensive crop production. But the larger concentrations of animals in periurban areas required to meet growing urban meat and milk demand have led to the

degradation of grazing areas and pollution problems. Policies have also encouraged overstocking or deforestation by shielding producers and consumers from the true costs of environmental degradation. In high-intensity systems, the large quantities of greenhouse gases and excess levels of nutrients produced by livestock pose dangers to the environment. This pollution should be, but rarely is, reflected in financial costs to the producer and consumer.

Conclusions for policy

Some want to halt the livestock revolution. But the ongoing nutritional transformation in developing countries driven by income, population and urban growth leaves little room for policy to alter the widespread increase in demand for animal food products. Policy can, however, help make the form of the revolution as beneficial as possible to the overall well-being of the poor. To do this, policy makers will have to focus on four key issues:

Small-scale producers have to be linked vertically with processors and marketers of perishable products. The poor find it difficult to gain access to productive assets such as credit and refrigeration facilities, and to information such as knowledge about microbial infection prevention. The integration of small-scale livestock producers and larger-scale processors would combine the environmental and poverty-alleviation benefits of small-scale livestock production with the economies of scale and human health benefits that can be had from larger-scale processing.

Policy can help facilitate the incorporation of smallholders into commercial production by remedying distortions that promote artificial economies of scale, such as subsidies to large-scale credit and grazing. Success in this effort will require political commitment as well as public and private partnership to develop the technologies and practices necessary to minimize risks from animal disease that are inevitable when animals from large numbers of small-scale producers are mixed in a single finishing or processing facility. Much greater attention should be given to livestock productivity and health issues, including in post-harvest processing and marketing.

Regulatory mechanisms for dealing with the health and environmental problems arising from livestock production need to be developed. Technologies that address environmental and public health dangers will not work unless there is regulatory enforcement to back them up. Such institutional developments are likely to occur when the political demands for better regulation become strong.

Above all, small-scale producers need to be included in the response to this dynamic opportunity. Lack of policy action will not stop the livestock revolution, but it will ensure that the form it takes is less favourable for growth, poverty alleviation and sustainability in developing countries.

Acknowledgments

This article first appeared as *2020 Brief 61* (May 1999), published by the International Food Policy Research Institute.

Further reading

¹Council for Agricultural Science and Technology (CAST), 'Animal agriculture and global food supply', *Task Force Report*, No 135, Ames, IA, 1999.

²C. Delgado, M. Rosegrant, H. Steinfeld, S. Ehui and C. Courbois, 'Livestock to 2020: the next food revolution', *Food, Agriculture, and the Environment Discussion Paper 28*, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC, 1999.

³C. de Haan, H. Steinfeld and H. Blackburn, *Livestock and the Environment: Finding a Balance*, Report of a study coordinated by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, the United States Agency for International Development and the World Bank, European Commission Directorate-General for Development, Brussels, 1997.

⁴Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), *FAO Statistics Database*, <<http://faostat.fao.org/default.htm>>, accessed January 1999.

⁵H. Fitzhugh, *Global Agenda for Livestock Research*, International Livestock Research Institute, Nairobi, 1998.

⁶M.W. Rosegrant, M. Agcaoili-Sombilla and N. Perez, 'Global food projections to 2020: implications for investment', *2020 Vision Discussion Paper*, No 5, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC, 1995.

⁷J. Von Braun and R. Pandya-Lorch, 'Income sources of malnourished people in rural areas: a synthesis of case studies and implications for policy', in J. von Braun and R. Pandya-Lorch, eds, *Income Sources of Malnourished People in Rural Areas: Microlevel Information and Policy Implications*, Working Papers on Commercialization of Agriculture and Nutrition, No 5, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC, 1991.